

DECISIONS OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
PALAKKAD DATED 23.09.2025

Item No.01

Heard, this is to reconsider the request for permission for the functioning of a newly constructed bus stand at Cherplassery. This matter was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.06.2025 vide item No. 11 and the decision was adjourned for rectifying the defects pointed out by the joint inspection team. Now, the rectification report dated 09.09.2025 submitted by the team comprising officers of PWD, Police, Cherplassery Municipality and Motor Vehicles Deptt is perused. The report specifically suggests to obtain road fitness of the access road from Municipal Engineer, Cherplassery Municipality. Road fitness certificate of the access road is not submitted by the Municipal Authorities so far. Hence, ***decision on the request for approval of the Cherplassery bus stand is adjourned.*** Secretary is permitted to place the matter before this authority for re-consideration if required by circulation of papers as permissible under Rule 130 of KMV Rules, 1989, after the road fitness certificate is obtained.

Item No.02

Perused the decision of State Transport Authority, Kerala dated 08.08.2025 in Departmental Agenda Item-II(Additional) deciding to implement BS VI exhaust emission standards and the AIS-052 Code of Practice for bus body design and approval, when issuing fresh permits for new stage carriage routes. **Secretary is directed to implement the above decision.**

Item No.03

This request of Secretary, RTA, Malappuram (Ref: Decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 24.10.2024 on item No. 137) seeking prior concurrence for considering fresh stage carriage permit application on the inter-district route Kolapprambu-Perinthalmanna. As per the route enquiry report, the portion of the route connecting Kolapparambu-Alungal-Kanjirampara-Nallurpulli Colony(3.6 Km) is falling in Palakkad district. This portion of the route is not overlapping with any notified route or approved scheme. There is no virgin portion. Hence, the request for **concurrence for fresh stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to the prevailing Government Notification without prejudice to the right of the primary authority to take a decision on the application for fresh regular permit as per the existing rules and regulations.

Item No.04

All the applicants are heard through their respective counsels. These are requests for allowing maximum time for producing current records of suitable stage carriages subsequent to the grant of fresh regular stage carriage permits as per the various decisions of this authority in the meeting held on 19.6.2025. The decisions were seen communicated to the grantees by Secretary, RTA, Palakkad vide separate endorsements on 11.08.2025. Considering these requests, **as per Rule 159(2) of KMV Rules 1989, maximum time to submit/accept current records of suitable stage carriage as required in the respective decisions is allowed up to 10.12.2025.**

Item No.05

Heard the complainant and the permit holder of stage carriage KL 50 2223 through their respective counsels/representatives. It is reported that the complaint regarding route violation is genuine. As per Rule 185 of KMV Rules, 1989, **this authority is decided to suspend the above permit for a period of 05(five) days**. Secretary shall intimate the date of suspension to the permit holder through a proper written communication and shall ensure that the vehicle is not conducted service during the period of suspension. In lieu of suspension, if the permit holder agrees for composition, an amount of Rs. 5000/-(Rupees Five Thousand Only) can be realised.

Item No.06

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 50 M 699 operating on the intra-district route Panamarathuvayal-Sholayur. The proposed variation is for extension of route portion from Kattekkad to Karuvanpadi for a distance of 1.5 Km. Route enquiry report reveals that there is no overlapping with notified route or no virgin portion in the extension sought portion. The report further reveals that there is no additional trips in the proposed variation through notified route violating clause 19 of Government Notification. The enquiry reveals that the requested extension from Kattekkad to Karuvanpadi is beneficial to the travelling public and students. Hence, **variation of permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.07

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 48 A 0884 on the route Shornur-Pallippadam via Cheruthuruthy, Arangottukara, Karukaputhur with trips Shornur-Cherplassery via Kulappully, Kallurutty and Vallapuzha. As per the application, the proposed variations are (1) Karukaputhur to Chalissery via Madhupully, Peringode and Kallupalam (2) Desamangalam Koottupatha to Desamangalam. The route enquiry report is not specific whether the applied variation is extension/deviation. The violation of clause 19 of Government Notification is not mentioned in the report. Hence, Secretary is directed to conduct a specific enquiry and submit a detailed report with neat sketch showing existing route and variation sought portions with intermediate points by marking distances. For compliance of the above directions **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall also collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.08

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 Y 1023 authorised to operate on the route Padalikkad-Palakkad. The proposed variation is for re-arrangement of existing trips and for revision of existing timings. As per the existing time schedule, there is a trip from Palakkad to Padalikkad at 11.10 am, which is seen shifted to 6.13 pm (to leave from Palakkad towards Padalikkad). Also there is an existing trip at 6.15 pm from Palakkad to Malampuzha, which is seen shifted to 11.10 am to leave from Palakkad to Malampuzha. The enquiry officer reports that the above change of trips from Palakkad to Padalikkad & Palakkad to Malampuzha will adversely affect the

existing travelling public. The report further reveals that there is no necessity for the proposed revision of timings under Rule 145(7) of KMV Rules, 1989. Hence, *application for variation of permit is rejected.*

Item No.09

1. Perused the judgment dated 25.08.2025 of hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WP(C)No.32066 of 2025.
2. Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 34 A 1209 operating on the intra-district route Managalamdam-Govindapuram. This permit was issued recently on 11.03.2025 with a settled set of timings. As per the application, the proposed variation is for change in halting place from Mangalamdam to Kambrathuchalla and re-arrangement of trips. From the proposed time-schedule, it is revealed that many existing timings are changed. Early morning trips between Mangalamdam and Kambrathuchalla is avoided in the guise of change of halting place from Mangalamdam to Kambrathuchalla. The report of the enquiry officer is not specific on the below points.
 1. Violation of clause 19 of the existing Government Notification.
 2. Comparative advantages of avoiding morning trips and proposed re-arrangement of trips in view of the travelling public.
 3. Necessity for revision of timings under Rule 145(7)(ii) of KMV Rule 1989 in the recently issued set of existing timings.

Secretary shall submit specific report clarifying the above points. For compliance of the above, *decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.* Secretary shall collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.10

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 65 E 1523 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Cheethavu-Palakkad. The proposed variation is for adding two singles between Nemmara and Palakkad. From the proposed time-sheet and route enquiry report, it is revealed that there is a re-arrangement of trips between Nemmara and Karakattuparambu so as to provide additional 2 singles between Nemmara and Palakkad SBS. The additional trips proposed are passing through notified route violating clause 19 of the existing Government Notification as per the route enquiry report. KSRTC vehemently objected to allowing this variation which is in violation of the existing Government Notification. For the above reason, **the application for variation of permit is not maintainable and hence rejected.** Secretary shall collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.11

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 32 3026 on the intra-district route Kozhinjampara-Koduvayur. Proposed variation is for extension of service from Kozhinjampara to Ahalya Hospital via Athicode, Menonpara, Kozhippara and Ahalya Gate. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.06.2025 vide item No. 109 and adjourned for specific report and clarifications. Specific report submitted before this authority reveals that the distance between Ahalya Hospital Gate and Ahalya Eye Hospital is 2 Km. The objections filed on stopping of buses in front of Ahalya Hospital are reported as not genuine. Consent of the Hospital authorities is obtained for conducting stage carriage service through their private road. There is no virgin

portion and no overlapping with notified route in the proposal. Proposed trips to extension sought portion are provided without changing the existing time-schedule. It is reported that the proposed extension will help the patients and staff of the hospital. Hence, **variation of permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings.** Secretary is also directed to realise application fee, if not paid.

Item No.12

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 49 3999 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Pattambi-Edappal. The proposed variation is for extension of service from Edappal to Naripparambu via Thattnpadi, Pothanoor. Prior concurrence for the applied variation of permit was obtained from RTA, Malappuram as per the decision dated 27.02.2025 vide item No. 68. Route enquiry report reveals that there is no overlapping with notified route or no increase in trips through notified route. There is no virgin portion. It is further reported that the proposed variation is beneficial to the travelling public. Hence, **variation of permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings.** Secretary shall collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.13

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 Z 4113 authorised to operate on the route Aloor-Pattambi. The proposed variation is for operating some trips between Aloor and Kakkattiri via Vattathani instead of via Kasumukku. It is not specific in the route enquiry report whether the portion between Aloor and Kakkattiri via Vattathani through which trips are now proposed is a new portion. The nature of variation(whether deviation/extension),

details of overlapping with notified route in the new proposed portion and violation of clause 19 of existing Government Notification etc are not specified in the report. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned** for specific report clarifying the above points.

Item No.14

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Ernakulam dated 03.05.2025 in MVAA No.18/2023.
2. Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 48 P 4412 operating on the route Nedumpura-Pattambi. The proposed variation is for extension of route portion from Pallikkal School to Kottambathur Harijan Colony. This application was last rejected by this authority since the above vehicle having a Gross Vehicle Weight of 10 Tonne is not suitable to operate through the virgin portion of the road due to the restriction imposed by PWD Authorities [Road Fitness Certificate No. A2-Gen/2022 dated 17.11.2022 issued by Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD Roads Sub Division, Thalappilly, Wadakkanchery]. The above road fitness certificate reveals that the road is fit for stage carriages having axle load up to 8.16 tonnes under normal weather conditions. This decision of RTA was impugned before the hon'ble Tribunal. The Tribunal set aside the above decision, by finding that the applicant was not heard. While the matter is re-considered, the applicant was heard through his counsel. The fresh communication received from the 3rd respondent (Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD Roads Sub Division, Thalappilly, Wadakkanchery) vide letter No. W-10/2021 Dated 25.07.2025 reiterates the earlier restriction with regard to the

fitness of the above road portion. For the above reasons, this authority is not in a position to allow extension to the applicant's stage carriage KL 48 P 4412 having GVW of 10 Tonnes through a road having maximum permissible axle load of 8.16 tonnes. Hence, **application for variation of permit rejected.**

Item No.15

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 C 4757 authorised to operate on the inter district route Kollengode-Ponnani as LSOS. Proposed variation is for : (1) Providing 2 single trips between Alathur & Nemmara. (2) Curtailing the route portions between Neeliyad and Ponnani & between Kulappully and Shoranur. The enquiry reveals that the proposed curtailment of route portion between and Neeliyad and Ponnani will adversely affect the travelling public & the additional trips sought between Alathur and Nemmara passing through notified route will violate clause 19 of Government Notification. This authority is of the view that the applied variation is against the interest of the travelling public. Hence, **application for variation of permit is rejected.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

Item No.16

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 P 4938 authorised to operate on the route Koppam-Thathanampulli. The proposed variation is to curtail the route portion from Thekkumala to Muthuthala via Karakuth & extension of route portion Alikkapparambu to Pattambi instead of via New gate. This fresh permit was recently issued on 18.02.2025 to meet the requirement

of travelling public of interior places of the existing route, especially passing through the virgin portion Thekkumala to Manjambra. In the proposed variation, trips through interior areas are seen avoided, which is against the interest of travelling public and students depending public transport system. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified time-schedule by retaining all the existing trips. On receipt of such a modified time schedule, secretary shall conduct a detailed enquiry and submit a report with neat sketch before this authority for re-consideration of the application. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall also collect the application fee, if not paid.

Item No.17

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 70 H 6666 authorised to operate on the route Palakkad-Velanthalavalm. The proposed variation is for providing two additional trips between Ahalaya Gate and Ahalya Hospital. The distance between Ahalya Gate and Ahalya hospital is ascertained as 2 Km as per the enquiry report dated 01.09.2025. From the proposed time schedule, it is revealed that 4 minutes are proposed to cover this 2 Km. This contravenes speed regulations. Hence, as per Section 71(2) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, applicant is directed to submit a modified time schedule in conformity with the existing provisions of speed. On receipt of the same, Secretary shall ascertain whether it complies with the existing provisions of speed. For compliance of the above, decision on the application for **variation of permit is adjourned.**

Item No.18

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 51 F 6444 authorised to operate on the route Ponpilaya-Cherplassery. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Ponpilaya to Manakkalpadi(2 Km). There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. The proposed extension sought portion is ill-served. The enquiry further reveals that the extension will be beneficial to the travelling public who are mainly depending other transportation means now. Hence, **variation of permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings. Secretary shall collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.19

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 AJ 7505 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Malampuzha-Ottappalam. Proposed variation is for curtailing route portion between Palakkad and Malampuzha(12 Km) and Palakkad to Olavakkode(4 Km). Route enquiry report reveals that the proposed curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public. Hence, this authority is of the view that the variation of permit by curtailing the existing route portion is against the interest of public. Hence, **variation of permit is rejected**. Secretary shall collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.20

Heard, this is to re-consider the application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 53 N 9584 authorised to operate on the route Kulathur-Cherplassery. Proposed variation is for extension of

route from Vilayur to Palolikulambu via Peradiyur, Kandengavu, Thudikkal. In the sought for extension, the portion of the route from Peradiyur to Palolikulambu(4.6Km) is reported as virgin and road fitness certificate for this virgin portion is issued by the PWD authorities vide letter No. EERD-DVOPKD/1798/2024-A4-PWD dated 10.01.2025. The route enquiry report reveals that the extension sought portion connecting Peradiyur-Kandengavu-Thudikkal-Palolikulamb is with less public transport system and extension will be highly beneficial to the travelling public and students of the proposed route. There is no overlapping with notified scheme in the proposed extension. Hence, **Variation of permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings. Secretary shall also collect application fee, if not paid.

Item No.21

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 07.08.2025 in WP(C) No. 29212/2025.
2. Heard, this is an application dated 21.05.2025 for renewal of regular permit valid up to 03.07.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 12 C 0549 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Akalaur-Pudussery. Vehicle was non-operational on the above permit for the last 05 years. Explanation submitted by the permit holder in reply to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules 1989 is perused. This authority is satisfied that the permit holder was prevented by sufficient causes from making the vehicle operational on the route for the entire period of currency of permit. Hence, **renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

3. After endorsing the above granted renewal of permit, **Secretary shall consider the application for replacement in accordance with law.**
4. In view of the above decisions in Sl.No. 02 & 03, application for **temporary permit is disposed as in-fructuous.** Secretary shall realise application fee, if not paid.

Item No.22

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 50 M 0594, authorised to operate on the intra-district route Pampampallam-Mannarkkad as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted,** subject to (1) Final verdict of Apex Court (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (4) remittance of application fee, if not paid

Item No.23

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 01.08.2025 in WP(C)No. 23240 of 2025.
2. As per the direction of hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment, belated application dated 29.04.2025 filed by Sri.Palanivel Ramaswamy for renewal of permit No.KL99/323/1999 valid up to 18.11.2024 in respect of stage carriage KL 14 S 977 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Malampuzha-Palakkad Kava as town service, application for transfer of permit to the name Sri.Palanivel Ramaswamy (father of the deceased permit holder Sri.Sakthivel) & request to condone delay are considered. The objection filed by Sri.Dhanpal (the petitioner in the above writ petition) is seen withdrawn and the

disputes between Sri.Palanivel Ramaswamy and Sri.Dhanpal are seen settled as recorded in the above judgment. Hence, ***by condoning delay in filing applications for renewal of permit and transfer of permit (to the name of Sri.Palanivel Ramawamy) permit renewal and transfer of permit are allowed*** subject to : (1)remittance application fee (2)production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any. Secretary shall adhere to all usual procedures while endorsing renewal of permit and transfer of permit.

Item No. 24

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 BF 1400, authorised to operate on the inter-district route Kozhinjampara-Thrissur as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) Final verdict of Apex Court (2)production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (4)remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 25

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 17 B 1555, authorised to operate on the inter-district route Mappattukara-Thayyur as OS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3)remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 26

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 55 AA 1688, authorised to operate on the inter-district route Kumbidi-Padapparambu as ordinary service. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3)remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.27

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 11 Z 1880 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Kozhinjampara-Palakkad SBS. Permit was valid up to 19.10.2024. Vehicle was not operational for the last one and half years. Applicant not responded to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989. On perusal of records, a complaint is seen received alleging that the vehicle is not in existence. Hence, Secretary shall conduct an enquiry to ascertain the genuineness of the complaint. Along with the detailed report and after issuing due notice to the permit holder the application shall be placed before RTA again. Hence, **decision on the application for renewal of permit is adjourned.**

Item No.28

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 AJ 2399 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Thiruvilwamala-Palakkad. Vehicle is not operational for the last 05 years and under form G. Permit holder has not responded to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989. Secretary is directed to

ensure that the notice is served to the permit holder and place the application before this authority for re-consideration after issuing due notice to the applicant. Hence, **decision on the application for renewal of permit is adjourned.**

Item No.29

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 04.12.2024 in WP(C)No. 41459/2024.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 03 L 2440 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Kulakattukurissi-Palakkad. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 11.03.2025 vide item No. 44 and adjourned for obtaining reply to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989. The reasons submitted by the permit holder for non-operation of vehicle are found acceptable. Hence, **renewal of permit is granted**, subject to: (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid. As permitted by hon'ble High Court of Kerala, Secretary shall consider the application for replacement of stage carriage after endorsing granted renewal of permit as per the decision above. Hence, **decision on the application for replacement of vehicle is adjourned** for revalidating the permit.

Item No.30

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Ernakulam dated 25.04.2025 in MVAA No. 164/2023.
2. Heard. As per the decision of this authority dated 17.02.2021 on item No. 15, renewal of permit No. 9/644/2002 valid up to 15.05.2017 in respect of stage carriage KL 09 K 3007 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Edappalam-Veeramangalam was granted. But, the permit holder failed to produce current records of the vehicle and avail the granted renewal. On 25.11.2022, an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 09 K 3007 by KL 10 V 8669 and an application for further renewal were filed. This matter was considered by RTA, Palakkad in the meeting held on 18.03.2023 vide item No.115. RTA revoked the grant of renewal as per Rule 172(3)(a) of KMV Rules, 1989. Since renewal of permit was revoked, the application for replacement of vehicle was dismissed as infructuous. The vehicle covered by the permit KL 09 K 3007 was registered on 11.01.2002. The vehicle attained 20 years of age as on 10.01.22. The application for replacement was filed on 25.11.22 and while filing replacement application on 25.11.2022, this vehicle covered by the permit KL 09 K 3007 had already attained the then permissible age of 20 years(as on 10.01.2022). Even if the date of application for replacement (ie., 25.11.2022) is considered as the date of producing current records of the vehicle with respect to granted renewal made on 17.02.2021, it contravenes the Rule 172(3)(a) of KMV Rules, 1989. This authority only revoked the grant and not rejected the application for renewal of permit. Hence, in compliance with the direction of the hon'ble STAT in the above judgment, taking into consideration of submissions made by the appellant, in view of the facts above

and as per provisions in Motor Vehicles Act and Rules framed thereunder, the **revocation of grant of renewal of permit is confirmed.**

3. In view of the above decision in Sl. No.01, **application for replacement is disposed as infructuous.**

Item No.31

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 R 3699 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Meenakshipuram-Pullazhi as ordinary service. **Renewal of permit is granted,** subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.32

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Ernakulam dated 16.05.2025 in MVAA No. 37/2021.
2. Heard. The regular permit No. 9/447/1999 in respect of stage carriage KL 08 T 3830 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Nemmara-Kozhinjampara-Thachanadi was valid up to 08.09.2019. Permit renewal application dated 27.08.2019 was considered by this authority in the meeting held on 24.12.2019 vide item No. 26 and renewal of permit was granted. The decision granting renewal of permit is seen communicated vide endt. dated 31.01.2020. Grantee failed to produce current records of the vehicle covered by permit, but filed an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 08 T 3830 by KL 63 6322 on 12.03.2020. The application for replacement was considered by this authority in

the meeting held on 17.02.2021 vide item No. 16. Since grantee failed to produce current records of KL 08 T 3830 within the maximum permissible time allowable under Rule 172(3) of KMV Rules, 1989, the sanction accorded for renewal of permit was revoked and the application for replacement was rejected under Rule 174(2)(d) of KMV Rules, 1989. This decision was impugned before the hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam vide appeal No. 37/2021. The judgment dated 16.05.2025 in MVAA No. 37/2021 of the hon'ble Tribunal set aside the above decision, allowed the appeal and directed the matter to this authority for re-consideration and passing orders in accordance with law. Under the above circumstances, this matter is again considered after hearing the permit holder through his counsel. As per the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in Usman v. Regional Transport Authority, Malappuram (2015(4) KLT 590) (*that only a holder of permit can apply for the permission of the Regional Transport Authority for replacement of the vehicle covered by the permit of another vehicle of the same nature and mere producing the current records of the vehicle sought to be replaced does not give life to the expired permit. The holding of a permit or a renewed permit is a sine qua non to enable an applicant to apply for permission to replace the vehicle*). Hence, **application for renewal of permit is not maintainable and rejected.**

3. In view of the decision in Sl No. 02 above, **application for replacement is also not maintainable and disposed as infructuous.**

Item No.33

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 Y 5157 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Chalavara-Kundukadavu Jn as ordinary service. **Renewal of permit is**

granted, subject to: (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.34

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 29 E 5192 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Palakkad-Muthukurissi as ordinary service. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.35

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 55 Z 5292 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Chembra-Vilayur as ordinary service. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 36

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 52 V 5454, authorised to operate on the inter-district route Edathanattukara-Guruvayur as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) Final verdict of Apex Court (2) production of NOC from

the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (4)remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 37

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 30.06.2025 in WP(C) No. 23141/2025.
2. Perused the order of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 26.08.2025 in WP(C)No. 31607/2025.
3. Heard, this is an application dated 24.11.2021 for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 12 B 6878 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Shornur-Kulappully as OS. The vehicle was non-operational on the above route for the last five years. Notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989. The explanation submitted by the permit holder to the above notice is acceptable. Hence, **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.38

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 CA 7102 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Govindapuram-Thrissur as ordinary service. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.39

1. Heard, this is an application dated 11.01.2023 for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 05 U 7387 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Palakkad-Kalladikkode on the strength of regular permit valid up to 29.01.2023. The vehicle is non-operational on the above route for almost 05 years. The explanation submitted by the permit holder for non-operation is acceptable. Hence, **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.
2. After endorsing the above granted renewal of permit, **Secretary shall consider the application for replacement in accordance with law.**

Item No.40

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 51 F 8905 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Palakkad-Kozhikkode. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.41

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 CB 8928 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Palakkad-Thrissur Railway Station. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.42

1. Perused the judgment dated 08.11.2018 of hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam in MVAA No. 257/2018.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application submitted on 18.11.2023 for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 and replacement of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 by another stage carriage KL 10 W 5332[Permit No. 9/1272/2009 valid up to 01.06.2014 on the inter-district route Edappal-Pattambi]. The vehicle covered by the permit KL 12 A 9393 is the one registered on 21.08.2000 and had attained 22 years of age and has become un-suitable for operation as stage carriage and also the vehicle is under huge tax arrears. The above application for replacement was considered by RTA Palakkad in the meeting held 29.05.2018 vide item No. 41 and rejected the application for replacement of vehicle (by KL 10 T 7157) since applicant failed to avail the permit renewed by replacing the existing vehicle with suitable later model vehicle during the currency of permit after clearing the tax arrears in respect of KL 12 A 9393. Another belated application for renewal of permit and application for replacement of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 by KL 10 W 5332 are

filed on 18.11.2023 by relinquishing his claim for earlier replacement application. These applications were considered by RTA, Palakkad in the last meeting held on 19.06.2025 vide item No. 159 and decision on the applications were adjourned with direction to issue notices to the concerned parties in the above appeal for offering an opportunity to be heard.

3. The vehicle was non-operational on the above route for the last 11 years. The explanation submitted by the permit holder in reply to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989 and the reasons submitted before this authority for delay in submitting renewal applications are found not acceptable. The stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 covered by the permit is still under huge arrears of motor vehicles tax and become un-suitable for stage carriage operation due to the prevailing age restrictions. The applicant also failed to get the permit renewed from time to time. Hence, **sanction accorded for renewal of permit is revoked and applications filed for further renewal of permit are disposed as infructuous.**
4. Under the above circumstances, application for replacement of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 by KL 10 W 5332 is reconsidered. As per the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in Usman v. Regional Transport Authority, Malappuram (2015(4) KLT 590) (*that only a holder of permit can apply for the permission of the Regional Transport Authority for replacement of the vehicle covered by the permit of another vehicle of the same nature and mere producing the current records of the vehicle sought to be replaced does not give life to the expired permit. The holding of a permit or a renewed permit is a sine qua non to enable an applicant to apply for permission to replace the vehicle*). Hence, in view of the above decision, **application for replacement is also not maintainable and disposed as infructuous.**

Item No. 43

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 45 K 9785, authorised to operate on the inter-district route Guruvayur-Palakkad as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) Final verdict of Apex Court (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (4) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 44

Heard, this is a belated application dated 29.05.2025 for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 55 U 9943 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Karuvanpady-Valanchery on the strength of regular permit valid up to 21.05.2025. This authority is convinced that the applicant is prevented by good and sufficient causes from making application for renewal of permit in-time. Hence, delay condoned. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid. Secretary is also directed to verify the service of the vehicle after expiry of permit and realise compounding fee, if permit-less operation is detected.

Item No. 45

Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 BZ 9982, authorised to operate on the inter-district route Cherplassery-Thrissur as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) Final verdict of Apex Court (2) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (3) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (4) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 46

1. Perused the order of hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam dated 22.08.2025 in MP No. 1969/2025 in MVAA No. 236/2025.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for replacement of stage carriage KL 09 K 4005 by KL 08 CD 4540. This application was last considered by this authority and rejected the application as per Rule 174(3) of KMV Rules, 1989. This decision is impugned before the hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam. The above interim order directs to allow replacement provisionally. Since there is no provision in Motor Vehicles Act and Rules to allow provisional replacement, and also since the above appeal is still pending before the hon'ble Tribunal, **decision on the application for replacement is adjourned**, awaiting the final verdict of the hon'ble Tribunal in MVAA No. 236/2025.

Item No. 47

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 13.06.2025 in WP(C) No. 20153/2025.
2. Heard, this is an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 48 N 7321 covered by valid permit on the route Pallikkal School-Pattambi by another stage carriage KL 09 AS 0088. Material difference in terms of seating capacity is exceeding 25 % by increasing by number of seats, which will enhance travelling facility of the public and increase revenue in terms of motor vehicles tax to Govt. exchequer. Hence, **replacement is allowed**, subject to: (1) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues in respect of out-going vehicle. (2) production of NOC from HP Co., if applicable (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 48

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 49

Heard, **transfer of permit to the name of Smt.Sajna Shaji from the name of Sri.M.A.Abdul Khader(expired permit holder of stage carriage KL 09 Y 313) is allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 50

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 51

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 52

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 53

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 54

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 55

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 56

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 07.08.2025 in WP(C)No. 29337 of 2025.
2. Heard, this is an application dated 18.07.2025 received on 25.07.2025 for transfer of permit(No.KL99/618/2004 expired on 05.04.2009 on the inter-district route Pattambi-Kuttippuram) from the name of Sri.Kais to the name of Sri.Mujeeb.T.T.

On perusal of records and connected file, it is seen that as per the decision of RTA, Palakkad dated 08.06.2022 on item No.35, application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 K 2157(covered by the above permit) was rejected in the absence of countersignature on the permit, since the above vehicle was non-operational on the above route for many years & also since the above vehicle had attained age limit fixed for stage carriages. The above decision is under challenge before the hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam and another stage carriage KL 10 T 7892 operating on the above route on the strength of temporary permits issued as per various orders of hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam in MVAA No. 181/2022. This appeal is still pending before the hon'ble STAT. Hence, it is clear that the above vehicle KL 10 T 7892 mentioned in the application (for transfer of permit) is not covered by any valid regular permit. The judgment mentioned

above directs to consider the joint application within a time frame. Since the above vehicle KL 10 T 7892 is not covered by any regular permit, **application for transfer of permit is not maintainable and hence rejected.**

Item No. 57

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 58

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 59

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.60

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 61

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 62

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 63

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 64

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 65

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 66

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 67

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 68

1. Perused the judgment dated 19.08.2025 of hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WP(C) No. 28117/2025
2. Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 69

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 70

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 71

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 72

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 73

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.74

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 75

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No.76

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 77

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 78

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 79

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 80

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 81

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 82

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 83

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 84

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 85

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 86

Heard, this is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 51 A 8542 from the name of Sri.Manesh P.M to the name of Sri.Srijil.C.M. On perusal of records, an application for transfer of permit is already seen filed on 19.12.2024 seeking permission for transfer of permit from the name of Sri.Manesh P.M to the name of Sri.P.Ravi, which is also pending. Secretary is directed to hear all the above applicants and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration of this matter after issuing due notice to all the concerned. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for transfer of permit is adjourned.**

Item No. 87

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 88

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 89

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 90

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 91

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 92

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP Co. ; if applicable, clearance of Mvs. Tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

Item No. 93

Heard, this is an application for temporary permit in respect of stage carriage KL 48 2799 to operate on the route Sholayur-Moolengal-Anakatty in place of KL 10 N 0563. Route bus has no valid permit since 20.02.2020. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that there is no temporary need as stipulated under Section 87(1)(C) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, **application for temporary permit is rejected.**

Item No. 94

Heard, this is an application fresh Reserve Permit in respect of stage carriage KL 11 AB 4469 to operate in place of the below mentioned route buses to be used solely for substitute service.

Sl.No.	Vehicle No.	Permit No.	Validity	Route
1	KL50L1350	KL99/631/2000	31.05.2030	Kacheripadi- Kanjirappuzha- Palakkayam
2	KL50L2470	KL99/624/2000	17.04.2030	Mannarkkad- Madathilkundu
3	KL12D1199	KL99/665/1995	22.08.2030	Mylampadam- Irumbakamchola
4	KL50D5846	KL99/1097/2001	04.04.2030	Kandamangalam- Madathilkundu
5	KL50K7572	KL99/5001/2010	21.04.2030	Mylampadam- Kanjirapuzha-

Hence, **reserve bus permit is granted** in respect of stage carriage KL 11AB 4469 as per Rule 182 of KMV Rules, 1989, subject to the following conditions stipulated in SRO No. 880/75 and remittance of application fee.

The reserve bus shall be used solely for substitute service in place of the regular route stage carriages (route buses) for which reserve bus authorised to conduct substitute service and not for any other purpose during entire quarter.

Tax in respect of all route buses in place which the reserve bus authorised to ply, shall be paid within the prescribed period of the quarter.

The reserve stage carriage (reserve bus) shall be used only for 20 days at a time; subject to the maximum of two months only in one quarter in place of any one regular route stage carriage(route bus) .

The reserve bus shall be used only when the regular route stage carriage is kept off-road.

Item No. 95

Heard, this is an application fresh Reserve Permit. **Reserve bus permit is granted** in respect of stage carriage KL 50 5499 to operate in place of the below mentioned route buses

Sl.No.	Vehicle No.	Permit No.	Validity	Route
1	KL 54 Q 635	KL99/1021/2004	05.07.2029	Ponnani-Pattambi
2	KL 54 Q 624	KL99/1039/2004	13.09.2029	Pattambi-Ponnani
3	KL 54 Q 1572	KL99/1022/2004	02.12.2026	Pattambi-Ponnani
4	KL 10 AX 8396	KL99/1019/2004	12.02.2028	Pattambi-Ponnani

to be used solely for substitute service as per Rule 182 of KMV Rules, 1989, subject to the following conditions stipulated in SRO No. 880/75 and remittance of application fee.

The reserve bus shall be used solely for substitute service in place of the regular route stage carriages (route buses) for which reserve bus authorised to conduct substitute service and not for any other purpose during entire quarter.

Tax in respect of all route buses in place which the reserve bus authorised to ply, shall be paid within the prescribed period of the quarter.

The reserve stage carriage (reserve bus) shall be used only for 20 days at a time; subject to the maximum of two months only in one quarter in place of any one regular route stage carriage(route bus) .


The reserve bus shall be used only when the regular route stage carriage is kept off-road.

Item No. 96

1. Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 21 AE 6334 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Pollachi, Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.
2. Applicant is absent. Hence, **decision on the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 37 EA 9363 is adjourned.** Secretary shall place the application before this authority in the next meeting, after issuing due notice to the applicant.

Item No.97


Heard, this is an application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 53 V 1045 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Perinthalmanna-Palakkad. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to (1) production of NOC from the financier, if applicable (2) clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt.dues, if any (3) remittance of application fee, if not paid.



Member(RTA, Palakkad):
M.P.James,
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
Central Zone-I

JAMES, M. P.
Deputy Transport Commissioner
Central Zone - I
Thrissur
Thrissur, Pen No: 428529

Member(RTA, Palakkad):
Ajit kumar IPS
District Police Chief,
Palakkad



Chairman(RTA, Palakkad):
M.S. Madhavikutty IAS,
District Collector,
Palakkad