

**DECISIONS OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, PALAKKAD**  
**DATED 19.06.2025**

**Item no:1**

1. Perused the Judgment of Hon,ble STAT,Ernakulam dated 02.06.2018 in MVAA No. 162/2018.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Malakkulam-Palakkad. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 08.11.2021 as item No. 02 and adjourned with direction to the applicant to submit a modified time schedule by providing additional reasonable number of trips to remote(ill served) places Malakkulam and Mangalamdam considering the travelling need of the public. Applicant was reluctant to provide more number of trips to the above places and requested to consider the application as such. On perusal of existing time schedule, only in starting and halting trips service is proposed to the above places. The remaining trips are focussed to operate between Palakkad and Nemmara only. Such a proposal is with clear intention to circumvent the limits of overlapping distance with notified sector, but not with intention to provide better transportation facility to the travelling public. Hence, this authority arrives at the conclusion that the application is not intended to cater the travelling needs of the public, but to focus operation on the sector Palakkad-Nemmara which is a sufficiently served sector with enough stage carriages. For the above reasons, the **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

**Item no:2**

1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam dated 27.12.2024 in MVAA No. 248/2023.
2. Heard, re-considered the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Chittur Civil Station-Nemmara. This application was earlier considered and rejected by this authority in the meeting held on 27.06.2023 vide item No. 04. The above decision was impugned before the hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam in MVAA No. 248/2023. The judgment mentioned above set aside the decision of this authority. It is mentioned in the judgment that *the Secretary, RTA has not considered the relevant factors and field enquiry report was not called for.* The last decision dated 27.06.2023 was arrived at by this authority taking in to

consideration of the reports dated 15.06.2023 & 14.12.2022 of enquiry officer submitted by the Secretary. Applicant's counsel submitted before the Tribunal that they are willing to deploy another set of crew after the prescribed time limit of working hours. The same is re-iterated by the applicant before this authority while this application is re-considered. In compliance with directions in the above judgment, Secretary is directed to obtain a detailed specific report from the field officer with data comprising the list of stage carriages plying on the various sectors of the proposed route so as to ascertain whether it will cause unhealthy competition among other en-route operators to cause accidents. For compliance of the above above directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

**Item no:3**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 13.06.2025 in WP(C)No. 21743 of 2025.
2. Heard the counsels representing the applicant and the above petitioner. This is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Palakkad SBS-Padalikkad. Decision on this application was adjourned by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 04 for : (1) ascertaining the virgin portion in the proposal and also to obtain road fitness of the virgin portion (2) Specifying the intermediate point through which( Palayam/100 feet road) the service is proposed to operate between Mattumantha and Puthur (3) specifically reporting distance of overlapping with notified route from Chinmaya Junction to Moyan's School Junction via Victoria College. The specific report of the enquiry officer dated 21.05.2025 reveals that the portion of the route connecting Koppam Bye-pass junction-Sekharipuram Junction-Chinmaya Junction is virgin. The portion of the route from Chinmaya Junction to Moyan's School Junction is overlapping with notified route for distance of 0.5 Km. It is further reported the intended operation between Mattumantha and Puthur is via Palayam road. Road fitness certificate( No. EERD-DVOPKD/336/2025-A1-PWD dated 26.03.2025) is issued by the Executive Engineer, PWD Roads Division, Palakkad. Total route length of the proposed route is 18 Km. Total distance of overlapping with notified route in this proposal is 0.5 Km, which is within the limit prescribed in the Government Notification.
3. Considered the objections dated 19.07.2024 & 11.06.2025 submitted by the petitioner( Smt.Manjula). In these objections it mentioned that

there no provision to turn right( as per the traffic regulation) from Kalpathy-Kalmandapam Road ( at Kalmandapam) towards (right side) Stadium Bus stand. But in the proposal, there is no trips from the above Kalmandapam Jn towards Stadium Bus stand. As per the report of the enquiry officer dated 05.07.2024 all the trips in the proposal are from Stadium Bus stand to Kalmandapam Jn to proceed through Kalmandapam-Kalpathy Road. It is also reported that turning from Kalmandapam Jn towards Kalmandapam-Kalpathy road is feasible. All other points raised in these objections are answered in detail as above. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that this proposal is beneficial to the travelling public. On verification of reported frequency, it is revealed that except on the portion Tharekkad-Palakkad-Kalmandapam the portions of the proposed route are served with stage carriages with duration of 15 minutes to 3 Hours. The sector Kalleppully to Venoli ( 4 km) is served with stage carriages with 1 hour duration at present. While this application is considered, representations from Principal, Students and PTA of the College of Applied Science, Kottekkad(Venoli) are received pointing out their travelling difficulties for to and fro travel to the above college. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no:4**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kambrathuchalla-Palakkad SBS. The application was earlier considered in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 21 and decision was adjourned for specific report regarding the total route length and exact distance of overlapping with notified route based on the objections of en-route operators and KSRTC. The specific report of the field officer reveals that the total distance of the proposed route is 85.80 Km. The reported overlapping portion with notified route is IMA Junction-Civil Station-Palatt Junction-SBI Junction and from Palatt Jn to Yakkara Mukku. This distance is reported as 3.8 Km. In this meeting on item No.29, it is reported that the same overlapping portion is having a distance of 4.2 Km. Secretary shall look in to this anomaly and submit a detailed specific report regarding the exact distance of the above overlapping portion with notified

route. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

**Item no:5**

1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 27.03.2025 in WP(C)No. 10260 of 2025.
2. Heard. The request dated 27.12.2024 is considered for allowing maximum time for producing current records of suitable stage carriage to avail the regular permit granted on the route Panamarathuvayal-Kozhikkoodam as per the decision of RTA, Palakkad dated 19.07.2024 vide item No. 9. On perusal of records, it is seen that the decision of grant was communicated to the applicant on 03.12.2024. As per Rule 159(2) of KMV Rules, 1989 **maximum time to submit/accept current records of suitable stage carriage is allowed up to 02.04.2025.** Since grantee produced current records of the vehicle KL 11 X 9304 on 25.02.2025, secretary shall proceed further for issuing permit in compliance with the direction of hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment.

**Item no:6**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam dated 25.03.2025 in MVAA No. 34/2024 and decision of RTA, Thrissur dated 12.07.2023 on item No.145 granting concurrence for fresh permit.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Thiruvilwamala-Palakkad SBS- Palakkad Medical College. This application was earlier rejected by this authority in the meeting held on 14.11.2023 vide item No.07. Hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam in the judgment set aside the above decision and remanded the application for fresh consideration by finding that over saturation is not a ground to reject permit application. Perused the records and reports after hearing the objections raised before this authority while this application is reconsidered. The total route length of the proposed route is 40.7 Km. Out of which 3.4 Km( which is more than 5 % of the total route length)is objectionably overlapping with notified route violating Government Notification. En-route operators and KSRTC have pointed out this violation and objected. From the proposed time schedule, it is revealed that the working hours of the driver and crew are more than 12 hours, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. For the above reasons, the **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

#### **Item no:7**

Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Muriyankanni-Thenkara. Total route length is 55.7 Km and reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.6Km. On perusing the proposed time-schedule, it is understood that only starting and halting trips are proposed to Muriyankanni. The focus of operation is between Pulisseri and Mannarkkad. As per the route enquiry report, the place Muriyankanni is poorly served with stage carriages. While this application is considered, en-route operators alleged that the place Muriyankanni which is situated 27 Km far from Pullissery is included by offering only meagre trips with intention to circumvent the limit of notification regarding overlapping with notified route. The report and the proposed timings confirm this allegation. Hence, applicant is directed submit a modified time schedule by offering more reasonable number of trips between Pulissery and Muriyankanni since there is a dearth for stage carriage services on this sector. On receipt of modified time-schedule as above from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct enquiry and submit the application before this authority for re-consideration. For the above reasons, the **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item no:8**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Ponpilya-Poothakkad. Total length of the proposed route is 53 Km. The portion of route from Kambram Bridge to Karikallathani is under Malappuram district. Concurrence for fresh permit is granted as per the decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 24.10.2024 vide item No. 146. There is no objectionable overlapping with notified route. The route enquiry report suggests to obtain road fitness certificate of the road connecting Kambram-Karumanamkurissi. From the proposed time schedule, it is revealed that the working hours of the driver and crew are more than 12 hours, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, Secretary shall obtain road fitness certificate of the road connecting Kambram-Karumanamkurissi from the concerned road authority. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item no:9**

1. Perused the judgment dated 12.07.2024 of hon'le High Court of Kerala in WP(C)No.24898 of 2024.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Ankatty-Puliyara. This application was earlier considered by this authority in the meeting held on 14.11.2023 vide item No. 22 and adjourned for specific report to ascertain the permanent need for this permit on the applied route. The report of the enquiry officer dated 02.11.2024 reveals that the major sectors of the proposed route are not adequately served with stage carriage services and ascertained the necessity for stage carriage permit. Total route length of the proposed route is 32 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route or no virgin portion in this proposal. Frequency of stage carriages on various sectors of the proposed route varies from 15 minutes to 90 minutes. Hence, considering the requirement of travelling public, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.10**

1. Perused the judgment dated 12.07.2024 in WP(C)No. 24863 of 2024 of hon'ble High Court of Kerala.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Anakatty-Thekkupana. This application was earlier considered by this authority in the meeting held on 14.11.2023 vide item No. 17 and adjourned for: (1) for specific report regarding the intermediate points with main junctions in each trip.(2) reporting whether the route/area is sufficiently served by KSRTC services(3) reporting the necessity for further stage carriage service on the above route. The specific report of the enquiry officer dated 02.11.2024 mentions intermediate points and main junctions on the route. It is also revealed from the report that the the portion of the route Anakatty-Thavalam is served with KSRTC services with an interval of 20-30 minutes. The remaining portion of the proposed route Thavalam- Paloor-Thekkupana is not sufficiently served with stage carriages. This proposal provides multiple number of trips touching Palaoor and Thekkupana. The route length is 37 Km. No

overlapping with notified route. Road fitness certificate for the virgin portion in this proposal from Paloor to Thekkupana( 3 Km) is obtained from Pudur Grama Panchayath. Hence, considering the requirement of travelling public, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.11**

Heard, this is a request seeking sanction/approval for the newly constructed bus stand at Cherplassery. This matter was earlier considered by this authority in the meeting held on 14.11.2023 on item No.01 and adjourned for obtaining a joint inspection report by a team of officers comprising Motor Vehicles Deptt, Police and Municipal authorities to ascertain the compliance of requirement and conditions stipulated in Rule 207 & 344{(2),(3) &(4)} of KMV Rules 1989. The joint inspection report submitted reveals the following:

1. There is only one road to enter and to exit to bus stand with maximum width of 9 mtr. As per the IRC norms, width of single lane is 3.7 Mtr. Section 117 of MV Act deals with parking place of public places and it reads that " provided that the State Government or the authorised authority shall, give primacy to the safety of road users and free flow of traffic in determining such places."
2. This road has a 90degree curve to LHS at 50 Mtr from the main road.
3. At RHS of the 90 degree curve, there is an open well of 6 meter diameter. Hence width of the access road is reduced to 5.4 m at this point. Only one way traffic is possible at this part of the access road. This is unsafety for road users and stop free flow of traffic in the access road. Therefore, this is against the provision of Section 117 of MV Act, 1988 and violates IRC norms.
4. Parking space of inside the bus stand is limited and maximum number of buses that can be parked conveniently is about 12 Nos.
5. The traffic problem can be solved either by acquiring more land at LHS of the curve or by filling the open well for maintaining 9 meter width throughout entire length of access road.

For rectifying the above, **decision on the request for approval of the Cherplassery bus stand is adjourned.**

**Item no.12**

1. Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter district route Thrithala-Valanchery. Total length of the proposed route is 37.6 Km and out of which a distance of 5 Km from Thiruvegappura Palam to Valanchery falls under the jurisdiction of Malappuram district. Prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram is obtained as per the decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 24.10.2024 vide item No. 147. Total distance of reported overlapping with notified route is 800 Mts, which is within the limit prescribed in the Government Notification. Also there is no virgin portion in the proposal. The reported average frequency of stage carriages on the route is 30minutes. This authority finds that the proposed permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item no.13**

Heard, this is a request for allowing a new bus stop in-front of St.Joseph Church, Puchappadam. While the matter is heard, Secretary, Kongad Bus Operators Association filed an objection against allowing this bus stop. The enquiry reports reveal that there are two bus stops one at Estate Kavala and another at Punchappadam on the Kadampazhippuram-Cherplassery road. It is reported that the existing bus stop at Estate Kavala is not functioning due to the on-going road renovation work. Under the above circumstances, a new request is received for this bus stop. Hence, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry on whether after the completion of the existing road work, if the bus stop at Estate Kavala need to be maintained or shifted to the presently requested place( in front of St.Joseph Church) with neat sketch. However, report regarding the feasibility of allowing a new bus stop/shifting the Estate Kavala bus stop to the place in front of St.Joseph Church shall be obtained from the concerned Road Authority and Police Authority as per Rule 206 of KMV Rules, 1989. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the request for allowing a bus stop is adjourned.**

#### **Item no.14**

Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Padalikkad-Palakkad SBS. Decision on this application was adjourned by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 23 for : (1) ascertaining the virgin portion in the proposal and also to obtain road fitness of the virgin portion (2)) specifically reporting the distance of overlapping with notified route from Chinmaya Junction to Moyan's School Junction via Victoria College. The specific report of the enquiry officer dated 21.05.2025 reveals that the portion of the route connecting Koppam Bye-pass junction-Sekharipuram Junction-Chinmaya Junction is virgin. The portion of the route from Chinmaya Junction to Moyan's School Junction is overlapping with notified route for distance of 0.5 Km. Road fitness certificate( No. EERD-DVOPKD/336/2025-A1-PWD dated 26.03.2025) is issued by the Executive Engineer, PWD Roads Division, Palakkad for the above virgin portion of the road. Total route length of the proposed route is 16.5 Km. Total distance of overlapping with notified route in this proposal is 0.5 Km, which is within the limit prescribed in the Government Notification.

The report of the enquiry officer reveals that this proposal will be beneficial to the travelling public. On verification of reported frequency, it is revealed that except on the portion Tharekkad-Palakkad-Kalmandapam the portions of the proposed route are served with stage carriages with duration of 15 minutes to 3 Hours. Hence, considering the travelling facilities of the public, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.15**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kuppoth-Natyamangalam. This application was earlier considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 in item No. 27 and adjourned for want of specific road fitness certificate of the virgin portion( Edappalam Post Office to Pulassery) and for specific report regarding the objectionable overlapping. The road fitness certificate issued by the Assistant Engineer, LSGD, Vilayur Grama Panchayath on

02.05.2025, reveals that the above virgin portion is fit for stage carriage operation. The specific report of the enquiry officer dated 20.02.2025 reveals that the reported distance of overlapping with notified route (Koppam to Thrithala Koppam-1Km) is not objectionable. The various sectors of the proposed route are having frequency of stage carriages varying from 25 minutes to 90 minutes and it is also reported that portion of the proposed route from Koppam to Kuppoth is ill-served. From the above facts, it is revealed that there is requirement for a new stage carriage service on the above route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.16**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 15.01.2025 in WP(C)No. 317/2025.
2. Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Mundur-Kadampazhippuram-Kalladikkode. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No.15 and adjourned for obtaining road fitness certificate for the entire virgin portion of the route and also to ascertain the exact distance of overlapping with notified route. The reported virgin portion in the proposal is Poothanur Road - Keczhippadam-Koottupatha. The certificate issued by Assistant Engineer, LID & EW Section, Mundur Grama panchayath dated Nil received on 04.02.2025 reveals that the above virgin portion of the road is fit for stage carriage operation. The reported distance of overlapping with notified route( from Kanjikulam to Kalladikkode) is 1.4 Km. Total route length is 35.5 Km. The specific report reveals that the intended operation between Kongad and Kalladikkode is not through notified route (Palakkad-Kannur), hence it affirmed that the distance of overlapping with notified route is only 1.4 Km in this proposal which is within the limit prescribed in the Government Notification and hence not objectionable.

The report of the enquiry officer reveals that except for the portion of the route connecting Mundur-Kongad-Kadampazhippuram, the other sectors of the proposed route are having average frequency of stage carriages varying from 30 to 40 minutes. The above virgin portion and other areas of the proposed route are ill-served. Hence, this authority concludes that this

proposal will be beneficial to the travelling public. The judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala directs this authority to take decision on this application within a fixed time-frame. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.17**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route MES College(Mannarkkad)-Adiperanda. Total length of the proposed route is 136 Km and the distance of overlapping with notified route is 7 Km. KSRTC and en-route operators vehemently objected against allowing this permit pointing out that this is in violation of clause 5(C) of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Trans dated 14.07.2009. This authority is convinced that the proposal is against the stipulations prescribed in the existing Government Notification with regard to overlapping with Notified route/approved scheme. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected**.

#### **Item no.18**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kongad-Thiruvazhiyode-Alathur. Total length of the route is 91 Km and there is no virgin portion on the proposal. The distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.4 Km. On perusal of proposed time sheet, it seen that the hours of operation is amost 18 hours. This application is in violation Section 91 of Motor Vehicle Act, 1988. During the day time, ie., in the duration between 5.30 am to 8.00 pm, the service is proposed to operate between Kongad and Thiruvazhiyode only by touching Kalladikkode, Pulapetta, Thaneerpanthal, Kootilakadavu and Sreekrishnapuram. Only after 8.00 pm, the trips are proposed between Kongad and Alathur. If the trips between Kongad and Alathur are proposed during day time, it will be more beneficial to the travelling public than the propsed trips after 8.00 pm between Alathur and Kongad during odd hours. Alathur is one Terminus of this route. As per Rule 207 of KMV Rules, 1989, this vehicle has to make use of Alathur Bus stand. The report is not specific where the vehicle is proposed to temporarily stop at Alathur.

Hence, ***decision on the application fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned*** for following clarification/modification/report.

- (1) Secretary is directed to report where the vehicle is intended to halt temporarily at Alathur along with details of overlapping with notified route at Alathur in the proposal.
- (2) Applicant is directed to submit a modified time schedule by providing reasonable number of trip/trips between Kongad and Alathur during day time without violating Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

**Item no.19**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kongad-Alathur. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No.29 and decision on this application was adjourned for ascertaining the exact distance of overlapping with notified route and for verifying the present condition of the Chirakkode bridge on Muppuzha-Kuthanur road. Specific report of the enquiry officer dated 19.02.2025 reveals that the distance of overlapping with notified route is 1.2Km and transportation of vehicles through the above bridge is prohibited by Kuthanur Gramapanchayath since the condition of the bridge is in danger. The proposed route passes through the above bridge. Since the bridge is in danger and prohibited for operation, without going further into the merits and demerits of the application, ***decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit rejected.***

**Item no.20**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Ottappalam-Koramkadavu. On perusal of records, the proposed time schedule submitted by the applicant is seen violating provisions relating to the speed at which vehicle may be driven, as pointed out by the enquiry officer in his report dated 06.07.2024. From the time schedule, it is further revealed that the duration of proposed operation is more 17 hrs, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, as per Section 71(2) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, the applicant is directed to submit a modified time schedule in conformity with running time @2.5minutes/Km by mentioning passing timings at all main intermediate points by restricting operational hours in conformity with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of modified time-schedule as above, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and re-submit the application before this authority. For compliance of the above directions, ***decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned .***

#### **Item no.21**

Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Ponnampkcode-Thiruvazhiyode-Kanjirapuzha. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 34 and decision on the application was adjourned for verifying the genuineness of the allegation regarding trafficking of permits by the applicant and also to ascertain whether the road fitness certificate covers the entire virgin portion. The specific report reveals that the applicant was not a holder of permit till date and the road fitness certificates issued by the concerned road authorities covers the entire virgin portion( Elumbilassery-Pezhumpatta-Koottilakkadavu). Route enquiry report reveals that in various sectors and areas of the proposed route have no adequate public transport services. Total route length of the proposed route is 32.4 Km and there is no objectionable overlapping with notified route. From the proposed time-schedule, the vehicle is operated for more than 12 Hrs, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. This authority is convinced that the grant of this permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public who are depending stage carriages on the above route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to : (1)settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips

#### **Item no.22**

1. Heard, this is to re-consider the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Ponnampkcode--Kanjirapuzha -Thiruvazhiyode. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 35 and decision on the application was adjourned for verifying the genuineness of the allegation regarding trafficking of permits by the applicant and also to ascertain whether the road fitness certificate covers the entire virgin portion. The specific report reveals that the applicant was not a holder of permit till date and the road fitness certificates issued by the concerned road authorities covers the entire virgin portion( Elumbilassery-Pezhumpatta-Koottilakkadavu). Route enquiry report reveals that in various sectors and areas of the proposed route have no adequate public transport services. Total route length of the proposed route is 34 Km and there is no objectionable overlapping

with notified route. From the proposed time-schedule, the vehicle is operated for more than 12 Hrs, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. This authority is convinced that the grant of this permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public who are depending stage carriages on the above route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to : (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

2. Considered the request dated 07.12.2024 of the applicant. In view of the above decision granting the permit, **it is decided no further rectification is required in the decision of this authority dated 19.07.2024 on item No.35.**

### **Item no.23**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Manoor-Pattambi. This application was last considered by this authority in this meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 42 and adjourned for want of prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram. Total route length of the proposed route is 55.4 Km. Distance of the route in Malappuram district is 3 Km. Prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram is obtained as per the decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 27.02.2025 on Additinal Supplementary item No.02. The reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.2 Km, which is within the prescribed limit in the Government Notification. Also there is no virgin portion in the proposed route. There is a reported frequency of average 30 minutes on the different sectors of the proposed route. From the proposed time-schedule, the vehicle is operated for more than 12 Hrs, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. This authority is convinced that the grant of this permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public who are depending stage carriages on the above route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to : (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item no.24**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 28.01.2025 in WP(C)No.2668/2025.
2. Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Paruthur(Thekkekunnu)-Pattambi. This application was last considered by RTA in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 43 and decision on the application was adjourned with direction to the applicant to submit a modified time schedule by providing more reasonable numbers of trips touching Paruthur. In compliance with the direction of this authority, applicant submitted modified time schedule. Total route length of the proposed route is 13.6 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route and there is no virgin portion. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that stage carriage on this route is conducting service with an average frequency of 25 minutes. Considering the reported travelling need of the students and public of this route, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.25**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 22.05.2025 in WP(C)No.8408/2025.
2. Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Para-Vandithavalam. Total route length is 56.5 Km. There is no virgin portion on the proposed route. This application was last considered by RTA, Palakkad in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 44 and adjourned for want of ascertaining the exact distance of overlapping with notified in the proposal. Specific report of the enquiry officer is obtained. The above judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala also directs this authority to consider and pass orders on this application. On perusal of proposed time-schedule, it is reported that the Para-Palakkad & Palakkad-Chittur sectors are sufficiently served with stage carriages with higher frequency. Applicant proposed more number of trips through these sectors. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause unhealthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. Hence, **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected**.

#### **Item no.26**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kottathara-Kottathara. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 46 and adjourned for want road fitness certificate for the virgin portion of the road in the proposed route. Total route length is 81.3 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route. The portion of the route from Kandiyoor Jn to Thavalam( 3.5 Km) is virgin. Road fitness certificate for this virgin portion issued by the Assistant Engineer, LSGD, Agali Grama panchayath on 23.01.2025. Various sectors of the proposed route are not sufficiently served with stage carriage services as per the route enquiry report. The enquiry report further reveals that the proposed service will be beneficial to the travelling public and students, since there is no adequate public transport service in most of the interior places on the route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to: (1)settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item no.27**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district Kangappuzha-Uppungalkadau. This application was last considered by this authority in the meeting held on 19.07.2024 vide item No. 47 and decision was adjourned for want of prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram. As per the decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 27.02.2025 on item No. 70 prior concurrence is granted. Total length of the route is 51.3 Km out of which 13 Km falls in Malappuram district. Total distance of overlapping with notified sector in the entire route is 1.9 Km from Padijarangadi to Kumaranellur, which is within the limit prescribed in Government notification. Frequency of stage carriage services on the different sectors of the proposed route varies from 2 Hrs to 4 Hrs. It is reported that the permit will be highly beneficial to the travelling public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.28**

1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 08.04.2025 in WP(C)No. 14771 of 2025.
2. Heard. The request dated 24.12.2024 is considered for allowing maximum time for producing current records of suitable stage carriage to avail the regular permit granted on the route Palakkad-Kozhinjampara as per the decision of RTA, Palakkad dated 19.07.2024 vide item No. 48. On perusal of records, it is seen that the decision of grant was communicated to the applicant on 03.12.2024. As per Rule 159(2) of KMV Rules, 1989 **maximum time to submit/accept current records of suitable stage carriage is allowed up to 02.04.2025**. Since grantee produced current records of the vehicle KL 10 S 4746 on 20.03.2025, secretary shall proceed further for issuing permit in compliance with the direction of above hon'ble High Court of Kerala in the above judgment.

#### **Item no.29**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Mangalamdam-Palakkad. Enquiry report of the field officer reveals that the total distance of the proposed route is 78 Km. The reported overlapping portion with notified route is IMA Junction-Civil Station-Palatt Junction-SBI Junction and from Palatt Jn to Yakkara Mukku. This distance is reported as 4.2 Km. In this meeting on item No.04, it is reported that the same overlapping portion is having a distance of 3.8 Km. Secretary shall look in to this anomaly and submit a detailed specific report regarding the exact distance of the above overlapping portion with notified route. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**

#### **Item no.30**

Heard. As per the agenda, the route mentioned is Chemmanampathy-Govindapuram. On perusal of records, it is seen that applicant submitted application on 24.06.2024 for fresh permit in Form P.St.S.A. on the route Chemmanampathy-Palakkad SBS. On 26.06.2024, the applicant submitted a modified set of proposed timings. Again on 12.07.2024, the applicant modified the proposed route as Palakkad-Chemmanampathy-Thathamangalam (by avoiding the portion of the proposed route between Kollengode and Nemmara and by adding new portion from Kollengode to Kambrathuchalla via Thathamangalam) with a proposed set of timings. Later on 26.10.2024, the applicant again modified the proposal by avoiding

Thathamangalam, along with a sketch (by including Nemmara, Kiliyallur, Pazhathara, Cheramangalam, Kunissery & Pallavoor). Applicant has not submitted proper application in the prescribed form P.St.S.A matching with sketch and proposed timings submitted on 26.10.2024 as per the last modified route. Hence, applicant is directed to submit fresh P.St.S.A as above. On receipt of application, Secretary is directed to re-submit this proposal along with proper application and detailed route enquiry report with required corrections in agenda. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

**Item no.31**

1. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 03.09.2024 in WP(C)No. 30880 of 2024.
2. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 18.02.2025 in WP(C)No. 6612 of 2025.
3. Heard, this is an application for fresh stage carriage permit on the intra district route Kalladikode-Valamboor. The route enquiry report of field officer reveals that out of the total route length of 59.10kms the distance of overlapping on the notified sector is 23.3kms. As per the direction contained in the judgment of hon'ble High Court of dated 03.09.2024 in WP(C) 30880 of 2024, the application for fresh regular permit is considered. KSRTC and en-route operators vehemently objected against allowing this permit pointing out that this is in violation of clause 5(C) of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Trans dated 14.07.2009. This authority is convinced that the proposal is against the stipulations prescribed in the existing Government Notification with regard to overlapping with Notified route/approved scheme. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**
4. Perused the records, there is no application dated 09.01.2025 for temporary permit on the above route filed by the applicant as submitted before the hon'ble High Court while filing WP(C)No. 6612 of 2025. But on 16.08.2024, an application for temporary permit is seen received in respect of stage carriage KL 53 V 2162 on the above route. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 18.02.2025 in WP(C)No 6612 of 2025 which directs to pass orders on temporary permit application in accordance with law within a fixed time-frame. The applied route objectionably overlaps with notified route ( as mentioned above) in violation of stipulations in the existing Government Notification, hence **temporary permit permit application is rejected.**

#### **Item no.32**

This is a request of RTA, Malappuram ( Ref: Decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 18.12.2023 on item No. 207) seeking prior concurrence for considering fresh permit application on the inter-district route Perinthalmanna-Alanallur-Thiruvizhamkunnu. As per the enquiry report, the portion of the above route Nirannaparambu(District Border) –Alanallur Chandappadi-Koomanchira-Ariyamkundu-Perimbadari-Malikkunnu-Thiruvizhamkunnu falls in the district for a distance of 10.5 Km. This portion is not overlapping with any notified route/approved scheme and not a virgin portion. Hence, the **request for concurrence for fresh stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to the prevailing Government Notification without prejudice to the right of the primary authority to take a decision on the application for fresh regular permit as per the prevailing Rules and Regulations.

#### **Item no.33**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koppamkulambu Colony-Nemmara- Puthanthara. The reported total route length is 18.7 Km, out of which 1.3 Km road from Karimpara to Koppamkulambu Colony is virgin. The report further reveals that this virgin portion of the road is not sufficiently wide enough for stage carriage operation. Hence, without going further into the merits and demerits of the application, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit rejected**.

#### **Item no.34**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Pattambi-Mulamkunnathukavu Medical College. Total length of the proposed is 41 Km, out of which 19 Km from Ittonam District Border to Mulamkunnthukavu Medical College via Nelluvai, Mangode, Puthuthuruthy, Aryampadam and Athani is falling under the jurisdiction of RTA Thrissur. So, prior concurrence from sister RTA is required for consideration of the application. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur with specific mention about the intermediate points on the route and exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. For compliance of the above, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**.

#### **Item no.35**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Mannarkkad-Kuruvaipadi. As per the enquiry report, the virgin portion of the route is from Kallamala School Jn to Chinnaparambu Kurisadi Junction for a distance of 1 Km. The road fitness certificate issued by The Assistant Engineer, LID & EW Section, Agali Grama Panchayath dated 30.05.2025 reveals that the portion of the road from Chinnaparambu to Poolakunnu(1.5 Km) is fit for stage carriage operation. Hence, specific road fitness certificate for the portion of the road connecting Kallamal School Jn to Chinnaparambu Kurisadi Jn. Shall be obtained from the concerned road authority. For compliance of the above, **decisions on the applications for fresh regular permit and application for temporary permit are adjourned.**

#### **Item no.36**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Kothachira-Koottanad. Total distance of the route is 32 Km. There is no virgin portion in the proposed route. A distance of 1.1 Km is reported as overlapping with notified route in the proposal, which is within the limit prescribed in the existing Government notification. As per the route enquiry report dated 17.10.2024, the sector of the proposed route from Chalissery to Koottanad via Perumanoor is an ill served area. Other sectors[ Karukaputhoor to Chalissery & Koottanad to Kothachira ] are having frequency of stage carriages varying from 30 minutes to 3 Hrs. For the above reasons, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings** & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item no.37**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra-district route Kariyannur-Kondurkkara. Total distance of the route is 27.6 Km. There is no virgin portion in the proposed route. A distance of 800 meters is reported as overlapping with notified route in the proposal, which is within the limit prescribed in the existing Government notification. As per the route enquiry report dated 17.10.2024, various sectors of the proposed route[Pallippuram to Pattambi via Pappaya School,

Pattambi to Kodayurkkara via Police Station, Pallippuram to Pattambi via Kodikunnu & Pattambi to Kariyanoor] are having frequency of stage carriages varying from 40 minutes to 3 Hrs. For the above reasons, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings** & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item no.38**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Alathur-Kollengode. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 33.1 Km with an overlapping distance of 4.5 Km on the notified route, which exceeds the permissible limit stipulated in the Government Notification. KSRTC and en-route operators vehemently objected against allowing this permit pointing out that this is in violation of clause 5(C) of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Trans dated 14.07.2009. This authority is convinced that the proposal is against the stipulations prescribed in the existing Government Notification with regard to overlapping with Notified route/approved scheme. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

**Item no.39**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Chalissery-Mangad. Total distance of the proposed route is 54.6 Km. Out of which 21.4 Km[ Eravakkad-Alangode-Edappal-Manthadam-Changaramkulam- Srayikadavu Palam-Valayamkulam-Kokkur-Pavattapuram-Othalur Palam] is in Malappuram district and 9.8 Km[ Srayikadavu Palam -Chirakkal-Pazhanji-Mangad] is in Thrissur district. From the proposed timings, it is revealed that the operational hours violated Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, (1) Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur and RTA Malappuram with specific mention about the inter-mediate points on the route portion in Thrissur & Malappuram districts and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction in the respective RTAs. (2) Applicant is directed to submit a written undertaking to provide additional set of crew for completing all the trips. For compliance of the above, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit adjourned.**

#### **Item no.40**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular permit on the inter-district route Ponpara-Karingallathani. As per the route enquiry report, total route length of the proposed route is 44.3 Km. The reported distance of the route in Malappuram district is 6.7 Km[Paduvilamkundu Bridge to Karingallathani via Madampara & Ibrahimjadi]. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram with specific mention about the intermediate points on the route and exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit adjourned.**

#### **Item no.41**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Gopalapuram-Vandithavalam. Total distance of the proposed route 30.6 Km. There is no virgin portion and no reported distance of overlapping with notified route in the proposal. On verification of proposed time-schedule, the duration of operation exceeds 12 Hrs, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. The enquiry report reveals that this application will be highly beneficial to travelling public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to: (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking for providing additional set of by the applicant for completing all the trips.

#### **Item no.42**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Vandithavalam-Palakkad. Total route length of the proposed route is 58.2 Km with a distance of overlapping with notified route 2.8 Km. While the matter was heard, en-route operators alleged that the above reported distance of overlapping with notified route is not correct. On perusal of proposed time-schedule and report of the enquiry officer, it is revealed that the applicant is focussed to operate mainly between Palakkad-Chittur-Vandithavalam, which is a sufficiently served portion. Applicant proposes only starting and halting trip to Para. The distance between Palakkad and Para is 10.30 Km. The enquiry report reveals that the above proposed trips between Para and Palakkad is not at all convenient to the

travelling public. Also the intention of the applicant to operate on the sector Para-Palakkad with 2 single trips is to circumvent the limit of overlapping distance. The operational hours is more than 12, this violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned** (1) with direction to the Secretary to conduct specific enquiry on the allegation regarding the distance of overlapping with notified route and to submit a report. (2) The applicant is also directed to submit a modified set of time schedule by re-arranging trips in a manner more convenient to the public, without violating Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified time schedule, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry and place the application before this authority for re-consideration.

#### **Item No.43**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thekkkunnu-Pattambi. Total route length is 46.5 Km. The reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 1.8 Km, which is within the limit prescribed in existing Government Notification. There is no virgin portion. From the route enquiry report, it is revealed that the sectors of the proposed route are having frequency of stage carriages varying from 25 minutes to one and half hour. It is revealed from the proposed time schedule that the operational hours is more than 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. This authority is of the view that granting of this permit enhance the travelling facility of the public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to: (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item No.44**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Karukaputhur-Kumbidi. The route enquiry report reveals that the total route length of the proposed route is 43.9 Km and the reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.2 Km, which exceeds the permissible limit as per the existing Government Notification. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected**.

#### **Item No.45**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kalladikkode Deepa Junction-Moonnekkar. The route enquiry report reveals that the total route length of the proposed route is 32.3 Km and the reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 1.7 Km, which exceeds the permissible limit as per the existing Government Notification. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

#### **Item No.46**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Edappal-Kumbidi. Total distance of the proposed route is 73.5 Km. There is no virgin portion. Out of total route length, 30.7 Km[Kokkur-Valayamkulam- Pavittapuram-Othalur Palam- Srayikadavu Palam-Nannamukku-Changaramkulam-Kakkidippuram-Kuttiappala-Nellisseri-Manthadam-Naduvattam-Edappal-Vattamkulam-Thaikkad-Chekannur] is in Malappuram district and 6.8 Km| Srayikadavu Palam – Chirakkal-Pazhanji| is in Thrissur district. From the proposed timings, it is revealed that the operational hours violated Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, (1) Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur and RTA Malappuram with specific mention about the intermediate points on the route portion in Thrissur & Malappuram districts and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction in the respective RTAs. (2) Applicant is directed to submit a written undertaking to provide additional set of crew for completing all the trips. For compliance of the above, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.47**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Choorottur Colony-Kizhakke Thrangali. As per the route enquiry report, total route length of the proposed route is 38 Km. There is no overlapping with the notified route. The reported virgin portion of the road from Aryankavu to Kizhakke Thrangali( 3 Km) is fit for stage carriage operation, as per the certificate dated 24.02.2025 issued by the Assistant Engineer, LSGD, Vaniyamkulam Grama Panchayath. As per the enquiry report, most of the areas of the proposed route including the virgin portion are not sufficiently served by stage carriages. Virgin portion is thickly populated and travelling public of these area are depending private vehicles

for their travelling needs. Hence, considering the requirement of travelling public of these areas, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted to suitable LMV stage carriage**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable LMV stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.48**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Pattambi-Thekkumala-Cherplassery. Total route length of the proposed route is 50 Km. There is no virgin portion. A distance of 1.5 Km is overlapping with notified route. On perusal of proposed time-schedule and route enquiry report, it is revealed that the main focus of operation during entire day time is between Koppam and Cherplassery via Mulayankavu & Pengattiri. The distance of the above portion between Koppam and Cherplassery via Mulaynkavu and Pengattiri is only 16 Km. The intention of the applicant is to circumvent the limits of notified route in the existing Government notification. Proposed operational hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. For the above reasons, the **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected**.

#### **Item No.49**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thekkumala-Pattambi. Total distance of the proposed route is 41 Km. The reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 1.5 Km. On perusal of proposed time schedule and route enquiry report, it is revealed that the portion of the proposed route between Koppam and Thathanampully ( 10 Km) via Mannengode is ill- served by stage carriages with a frequency of 2 Hours. The applicant proposed only two trips between Koppam and Thathanampully via Mannengode. Also the porposed operational hours exceeds 12, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehiles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified time schedule by providing more reasonable number of trips between Koppam and Thanthanampully in adherence with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified time schedule, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry. Along with enquiry report, the application shall be placed before this authority for re-cosndieraion. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**.

#### **Item No.50**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koppam-Cherplassery. As per the enquiry report, total route length is 18 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route and no virgin portion in the proposal. While the matter is heard, en-route operators alleged that the total route length is not correct. Hence, Secretary shall ascertain the exact route length of the proposed route and submit a report by mentioning all main intermediate points on the route before this authority for re-consideration of this application. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.51**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Chembra-Pulamanthole. As per the enquiry report, total distance of the proposed route is 39 Km. There is no virgin portion and a distance of 1.5 Km from Vilayur to Pulamanthole overlaps with the notified route. A portion of the proposed route from Pulamnthole Bridge to Pulamnthole (300 meters) is under Malappuram district. Prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram is required for further consideration of the application. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Malappuram. For compliance of the above, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.52**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Ottappalam-Panamanna-V.K.Padi. Route enquiry report reveals that total route length is 25 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route and no virgin portion in the proposed route. All the sectors of the proposed route are having frequency of stage carriages varying from 20 minutes to 30 minutes. The report further reveals that proposed route includes ill-served areas and hence grant of permit will be advantageous for the general public and students. Hence, considering the requirement of travelling public of these areas, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted to suitable LMV stage carriage**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable LMV stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

### **Item No.53**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 13.01.2025 in WP(C)No. 523 of 2025.
2. Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kozhijampara-Koduvayur. Total length of the proposed route 22.1 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. In the above judgment, hon'ble Court directs this authority to consider and dispose this application within a fixed time-frame. Route enquiry report reveals that the Sectors of the route Kozhijampara-Chittur, Chittur-Pudunagaram & Pudunagaram-Koduvayur are sufficiently served with stage carriages operating with settled set of timings in short intervals. Introduction of a new stage carriage in the above sector will cause time clashes with other operators who are permitted to operate with fixed time slots in short durations, unhealthy competition, accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the above, this authority finds that there is no genuine necessity for additional stage carriage services on the above sufficiently served route. Hence, **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

### **Item No.54**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Karimba-Pallippadi-Mannarkkad. Route enquiry report reveals that the total route length is 17.5 Km and distance of overlapping with notified route is 500 meters( from Kodathipadi to Mannarkkad Bus stand), which is within the permissible limit in the Government Notification. There is no virgin portion. The proposed route connecting various rural parts of Mannarkkad Taluk which are poorly served by public transport facility. There is genuine necessity for a new stage carriage service on the proposed route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted** subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

### **Item No.55**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra district route Pallipuram-Pattambi. The total route length of the proposed route is 14km. There is no overlapping with notified sector in the proposed route. There is no virgin portion. On perusal of the route enquiry

report it reveals that the frequency of stage carriages varies from 20 minutes to 40 minutes in various sectors of the proposed route. It is revealed that the proposed time schedule exceeds the daily operational hours of 12 which violates the section 91 of Motor vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to: (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item No.56**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Cherplassery-Ottaplam. Route length is 73 Km. No virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route as per the route enquiry report. The proposed route passes through ill-served interior areas having frequency of stage carriages varying from 20 minutes to 25 minutes. Hence, the proposal will be advantageous to the general public and students. It is revealed that the proposed time schedule exceeds the daily operational hours of 12 which violates the section 91 of Motor vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to: (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item No.57**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Anakatty-Sholayur. Route length of the proposed route is 55 km and there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route as per the route enquiry report. Enquiry reveals that most sectors of the proposed route are having poor public transport facility except between Goolikkadavu and Anakatty. This proposed route connects various rural parts of Attappadi Taluk, which will be highly beneficial to the travelling public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted** subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance**

**with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.58**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Thodukad-Alathur. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 45.4 Km. Out of which 4.1 Km between Plazhi Bridge to Pazhayannur is under Thrissur district. Overlapping with notified route in this proposal is 1.7 Km. While the application is considered en-route operators alleged that re-construction work of Pathanapuram Bridge on this proposed route is underway. Hence, Secretary is directed to enquire about the above allegation and submit a report before this authority in the next meeting. Prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur is required for further consideration of the application. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur. For compliance of the above, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**

#### **Item No.59**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kadmpazhippuram-Ambalappura. As per the route enquiry report, the route length is 40 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. Frequency of stage carriages on the various sectors of the proposed route are varying from 20 minutes to 1 hour. Enquiry report reveals that the proposed route includes ill served areas and hence advantageous to the general public and students. While the matter is heard, mass representation from the residents of different areas of the proposed route is received. The representation reveals that the permit will be highly beneficial to the students, patients and general public of the rural areas. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.60**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular permit on the inter-district route Shoranur-Kodaparakashetram. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 71.9 Km and reported distance of overlapping with notified

route is 2.2 Km from Shoranur Poduval Junction to Cheruthuruthi School. There is no reported virgin portion in the report. A distance of 19.4 Km in the above proposal falls within the jurisdiction of RTA, Thrissur. From the proposed time schedule, it is revealed that the daily operational hours is more than 15, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. While the matter is heard en-route operators alleged that the reported distance of overlapping with the notified route is more than 2.2 Km. It is alleged that the portion of the proposed route connecting Pallikkal and Kadavathodi via Nedumpura is virgin and condition of the road is very poor. Hence, (1) Applicant directed to submit a modified time schedule in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of the same, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry on the modified time sheet and report the feasibility. (2) Secretary shall also conduct enquiry on the above allegations regarding overlapping distance and virgin portion on proposed route and submit a specific report. (3) Secretary is further directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA, Thrissur with specific mention about the inter-mediate points on the route portion in Thrissur district and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. For compliance of the above, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**

#### **Item No.61**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Gopalapuram-Palakkad. Total route length is 33 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. On perusal of route enquiry report, major sectors of the proposed route are sufficiently served with stage carriages with higher frequency. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12 which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.62**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thootha-Cherplassery. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 71 Km. Reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.5 Km from Kulappully to Shornur. There are virgin portions for a total distance of 17 Km: (1) Mavundri to Varanamangalam (2) Marayamangalam High School to Ezhuvanthala (3) Eduthara Road to Villathu Shool (4) Eduthara to Chemmankuzhi Road (5) Chemmankuzhi to Vallapuzha & (6) Mappattukara to Villathu School. On perusal of records, no road fitness for the virgin portion connecting Mavundri to Varanamanagalam is not seen obtained. While the matter was heard, en-route operators alleged that the reported distance of notified route is not-correct. Hence, Secretary shall obtain specific report regarding distance of overlapping with notified route and also road fitness certificate for the above virgin portion of the road from Mavundri to Varanamangalam. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.63**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route PCA Palakkuzhy-Vadakkencherry. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 21.5 Km. Reported distance of overlapping with notified route is 300 meters from Vadakkenchery Bus Stand to Sunitha Mukku, which is within the prescribed limit in the exsiting Government Notification. There is also no virgin portion in the proposal. This route is ill-served with stage carriges. At present there are only two stage carriages including one KSRTC operating on this route. Hence, considering the travelling need of the public, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda,** within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.64**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thekkekunnu-Thrithala. Total route length of the proposed route is 18.3 Km. There is no virgin portion. A distance of 800 meters is overlapping with notified route. On perusal of proposed time-

schedule and route enquiry report, it is revealed that the main focus of operation during entire day time is between Thekkekundu- Pallippuram-Pattambi . The distance of the above portion is 12.8 KM . By providing only two trips( one up and one down) between the ill-served area( Kulamukku to Thrithala via Mudappakkad), the intention of the applicant is to circumvent the limits of notified route in the existing Government notification. For the above reason, the **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

#### **Item No.65**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thiruvegappura-Kalur. As per the route enquiry report, the total route length is 58 Km. There is no virgin portion and no objectionable overlapping on the notified sector. On perusal of proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. The focus of operation is between Ottappalam and Kaloor via Pathirippala & Mankurissi. The sector Ottappalam-Mankurissi is sufficiently served with stage carriages with a frequency of 2 minutes. The distance between Ottappalam and Thiruvegappura is 38 Km, only starting trip and halting trip is provided on this sector. Hence, applicant is directed to modify the time schedule by providing more reasonable number of trips between Ottappalam and Thiruvegappura by specifically mentioning intermediate points and passing timings, in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of modified time schedule as above, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority. For compliance of these directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.66**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kulappada-Cherplassery. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 58 Km. There is no virgin portion or no overlapping with notified route. While the matter is heard, en-route operators raised objections alleging that via /intermediate points and passing timings are not mentioned in the trips starting from Cherplassery( to Kulappully) at 10.15 am and 11.15 am from Kulappully( to Cherplassery). On perusal of proposed time sheet, the objection is found genuine. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified time schedule by specifically mentioning via/main intermediate points and passing timings at these points between Cherplassery and Kulappully. On receipt of such a modified

proposal, Secretary shall conduct a specific enquiry and submit a report regarding the feasibility of the proposal. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

**Item No.67**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Pattambi-Chalavara. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 90 Km( 86 Km in Palakkad district and 4 Km in Malappuram district; between Kattuppara and Pulamanthole). Reported overlapping with notified route is 4 Km. On perusal of proposed time-schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act 1988. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA Malappuram with specific mention about the intermediate points on the route portion in Malappuram district and exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction that RTA. Applicant is also directed to submit a modified time-schedule by restricting the working hours as per Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of the modified time-schedule from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct enquiry about the feasibility of the modified time schedule and submit a report. For compliance of the above directions **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

**Item No.68**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kambrathuchalla-Koduvayur. Total route length is 18.8 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. On perusal of route enquiry report, major sectors of the proposed route are sufficiently served with stage carriages with higher frequency. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12 which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.69**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koduvayur-Kambrathuchalla. Total route length is 18.8 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. On perusal of route enquiry report, major sectors of the proposed route are sufficiently served with stage carriages with higher frequency. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12 which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.70**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Palakkad SBS-Gopalapuram. Total route length is 33 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. On perusal of route enquiry report, major sectors of the proposed route are sufficiently served with stage carriages with higher frequency. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12 which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.71**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kuttippallam-Nemmara. Total route length is 35.6 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. On perusal of route enquiry report, the sectors of the proposed route Chittur-Koduvayur, Koduvayur-Kunissery [ 20 km stretch] are sufficiently served

with stage carriages with higher frequency. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.72**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kozhinjampara-Nemmara. Total route length is 36 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. On perusal of route enquiry report, major sectors of the proposed route are sufficiently served with stage carriages with higher frequency. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12 which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.73**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koppam-Cherplassery. Route enquiry report reveals that the total length of the proposed route is 23 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. The enquiry report further reveals that the frequency of stage carriages through the areas Veeramangalam, Mulayankavu, Pengattiri, Nellaya, Mayyathumkara, Ungumthara are comparatively less. So this authority is of the view that grant of this permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public depending public transport facilities. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.74**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Villunni-Palakkad SBS. As per the route enquiry report, total length of the proposed route is 32 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. The portions of the proposed between Kozhinjampara and Villunni is poorly served with stage carriage services. In this proposal, four trips are provided between Kozhinjampara and Villunni which will be beneficial to the travelling public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted to suitable LMV stage carriage,** subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda,** within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.75**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Panamarathuvayal-Sholayur. As per the route enquiry report, total route length is 43.2 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. The sector Anakatty-Goolikkadvau is served with stage carriages including KSRTC with a frequency of 15 to 20 minutes. The other sectors Anakatty-Sholayur, Kottatharachandkkada-Panamarathuvayal are served by stage carriages with frequency varying from 40 to 60 minutes. From the report, it is revealed that there is requirement for stage carriage service on the proposed route. . Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted to suitable LMV/MMV stage carriage,** subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda,** within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.76**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Elanchivazhi-Melemulli. Route enquiry report reveals that total route length is 27 Km. No virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route in this proposal. The areas in the proposed route are poorly connected with public transport system. Report further reveals that the route is connecting various interior rural areas of Attappady Taluk. The

daily operational hours exceeds 12, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to: (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

**Item No.77**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Pallippuram-Pattambi. Perused records. Secretary is directed to obtain road fitness certificate for the virgin portion of the road between Mukkilappedika to Rachana Theatre via Kaithakunnu Harijan Colony & Government Hospital. Since daily operational hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, applicant is directed to submit a modified time schedule in compliance with the Motor Vehicles Act and Rules framed thereunder. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**.

**Item No.78**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kollengode-Kozhinjampara. Total route length is 27.9 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. Sector-wise frequency of stage carriages are not seen furnished by the enquiry officer, Secretary shall submit the frequency as above. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. **Decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned** for compliance of the above directions.

**Item No.79**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kozhinjampara-Kollengode. Total route length is 27.9 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. Sector-wise frequency of stage carriages are not seen furnished by the enquiry officer, Secretary shall submit the frequency as above. **Decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned** for compliance of the above direction.

#### **Item No.80**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Nemmara-Maruthancherry. As per the route enquiry report, total length of the proposed route 14.4 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route in the proposal. The Sectors of the proposed route Nemmara-Maruthancherry & Nemmara-Pothundy are having a frequency of stage carriages varying from 30 to 40 minutes. This authority is of the view that the proposed permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.81**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kuttippallam-Koduvayur. Total route length 24.1 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators. This may also cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. Moreover, on perusal of proposed time-sheet, daily operational hours is more than 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. So, the applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicle Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.82**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kuttippallam-Thathamangalam. Total route length is 17.2 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators. This may also cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours

exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.83**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kozhinjampara-Koduvayur. Total route length is 24.6 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping on the notified route. Sector-wise frequency of stage carriages of the proposed route are not furnished by the enquiry officer. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators. This may also cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. From the proposed time schedule, the daily operational hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a clash free set of timings in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Secretary shall also report sectorwise frequency. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.84**

This is a request of RTA, Malappuram ( Ref: Decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 24.10.2024 on item No. 103) seeking prior concurrence for considering fresh stage carriage permit application on the inter-district route Changaramkulm-Chelakkadavu-Chalissery-Eramangalam-Althara-Edappal-Makkali-Eravakkad-Kanjirathani. As per the enquiry report, the portions of the above route (1) Kandamkulam to Chalissery(4.5 Km) (2) Mullankunnu to Eravakkad(1 Km) (3) Keeritode to Kanjirathani(1.8 Km) falls in the district for a distance of 7.3 Km. These portions are not overlapping with any notified route/approved scheme and not virgin portions. Hence, the **request for concurrence for fresh stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to the prevailing Government Notification without prejudice to the right of the primary authority to take a decision on the application for fresh regular permit as per the prevailing Rules and Regulations.

#### **Item No.85**

This is a request of RTA, Malappuram ( Ref: Decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 24.10.2024 on item No. 122) seeking prior concurrence for considering fresh stage carriage permit application on the inter-district route Ayankalam-Uppungalkkadavu. As per the enquiry report, the portions of the above route (1) Mukkootta to Chalissery(Chalissery Main Road)- (2.8 Km) (2) Chalissery to Peringode (4 Km) falls in the district for a distance of 6.8 Km. In the above portions, there is overlapping with notified route for a distance of 0.12 Km while crossing Chalissery main road from Changaramkulm road end point to Peringode road starting point and having no virgin portions in this district. Hence, the **request for concurrence for fresh stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to the prevealing Government Notification without prejudice to the right of the primary authority to take a decision on the application for fresh regular permit as per the prevailing Rules and Regulations.

#### **Item No.86**

This is a request of RTA, Malappuram ( Ref: Decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 24.10.2024 on item No. 121) seeking prior concurrence for considering fresh stage carriage permit application on the inter-district route Ayankalam-Kangapuzha. As per the enquiry report, the portions of the above route (1) Eravakkad-Kozhikkara-Kanjirathani-Kumaranellur-Padinjarangadi(8 Km) (2) Padinjarangadi-Parakulam-Chekode-Kumbidi-Kangapuzha(6 Km) (3) Kumbidi-Panniyoor-Nayyoor-Varattipallyal-Parakulam (6 Km) (4) Nayoor-Kumbidi Road(1 Km) (5) Padinjarangadi-Koonamoochi(2 Km) (6) Kokkoor-Kozhikkara(2 Km) falls in the district for a distance of 25 Km. In the above portions, there is overlapping with notified route for a distance of 1.7 Km from Sakhavu Road ending point at Kumaranellur to Padinjarangadi and having no virgin portions in this district. Hence, the **request for concurrence for fresh stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to the prevealing Government Notification without prejudice to the right of the primary authority to take a decision on the application for fresh regular permit as per the prevailing Rules and Regulations.

**Item No.87**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Anakatty-Pattambi. As per the route enquiry report, the total route length is 120 Km, out of which 8.4 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route violating the existing Government notification. While the matter is heard, the counsel representing the KSRTC filed strong objection against considering this permit in violation of existing Government Notification. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

**Item No.88**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thiruvegappura-Cherplassery. Route enquiry report reveals that the total route length is 37.3 Km. A distance of 200 meters from Koppam Town to Koppam Bus stand overlaps with notified route, which is not objectionable since it is within the limit prescribed in the existing Government Notification. There is also no virgin portion in the proposal. It is reported that the condition of the roads in the proposed route is satisfactory. On perusal of the proposed time sheet, more number of trips are seen proposed between Koppam and Marayamangalam School. On perusing various representations received while hearing, this authority is of the view that the proposed permit will be beneficial to the travelling public especially students of the GHS Marayamangalam. The sector Koppam to Marayamangalam School is having a very low frequency of stage carriages now. Considering the above facts, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item No. 89**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Guruvayur-Kuttippuram. As per the route enquiry report, the total route length is 104 Km, out of which 54 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route violating the existing Government notification. While the matter is heard, the counsel representing the KSRTC filed strong objection against considering this permit in violation of existing Government Notification. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

#### **Item No. 90**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Palakkad SBS-Chittur. Route enquiry report reveals that the total route length is 31.5 Km. There is no overlapping with notified route or no virgin portion in the proposal. Except the portions of the route Palakkad SBS-Kootupatha(2.9 Km), Athicode-Chittur(1.7 Km) & Chittur - Kamblichungam(3.5 Km) are served with stage carriage with a frequency varying from 30 minutes to 3 Hrs. Moreover, the Sectors Polytechnic to Kallingal; Kamblichungam to Panniperunthala & Kamblichungam-Kolluparambu-Athicode are not having stage carriage services at present. The report of the enquiry officer further reveals that there is lack of enough of stage carriage service in the proposed route. The daily operational hours exceeds 12, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to (1)settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked (2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item No. 91**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thekkekunnu-Pattambi. Route enquiry report reveals that the total route length is 43.8 Km. Reported overlapping with notified route is 1.8 Km. There is no virgin portion. Perused the proposed timings. The daily operational hours is more than 12, which violates Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. It is further revealed that the day time operation is between Thekkekunnu-Pallippuram-Suseelappadi-Kodikunnu-Pazhayangadi School-Palathara-Mudappakkadu-Mangalam-Kumbidi Road-Thrithala-V K Kadavu- Nila Pump-Pattambi covering a distance of 24.8 Km. Trips are seen provided in the odd/night hours connecting Palathara-Anchumoola-Muthuthala-Thara-New Gate-Pattambi(19 Km). Hence, it is clear that the intention of the applicant is to circumvent the limits overlapping distance on the notified route in the Government Notification. Hence, this authority is of the view that the proposal is not aimed to the convenience of the travelling public. For the above reasons, **the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

### **Item No.92**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage permit on the intra-district route Palakkad SBS-Malampuzha Rock Garden as Town Service. As per the route enquiry report/agenda, the virgin portion in the proposed route are (1)Kalmandapam Manali Road Junction to Manalai NH Bye-pass Junction[0.6 Km] (2) Koppam Signal Junction to Mattumantha Junction[2.5 Km] (3) Koppam Village to Head Post Office[0.3 Km]. Road fitness certificate No. EERD-DVOPKD/890/2025-A1-PWD dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Executive Engineer, PWD Roads Division, Palakkad is perused. On comparing the road fitness certificate and route enquiry report, it is unable to ascertain whether the above virgin portions of the road are fit for stage carriage operation. Hence, Secretary is directed to ascertain whether the above virgin portions will include in the above road fitness certificate issued by PWD authorities. Secretary is further directed submit proposed time sheet specifically mentioning all main intermediate points on up trips and down trips. The daily running hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit the modified time-schedule in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

### **Item No.93**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage permit on the intra-district route Malampuzha Rock Garden- Palakkad SBS as Town Service. As per the route enquiry report/agenda, the virgin portion in the proposed route are (1)Kalmandapam Manali Road Junction to Manalai NH Bye-pass Junction[0.6 Km] (2) Koppam Signal Junction to Mattumantha Junction[2.5 Km] (3) Koppam Village to Head Post Office[0.3 Km]. Road fitness certificate No. EERD-DVOPKD/890/2025-A1-PWD dated 27.05.2025 issued by the Executive Engineer, PWD Roads Division, Palakkad is perused. On comparing the road fitness certificate and route enquiry report, it is unable to ascertain whether the above virgin portions of the road are fit for stage carriage operation. Hence, Secretary is directed to ascertain whether the above virgin portions will include in the above road fitness certificate issued by PWD authorities. Secretary is further directed submit proposed time sheet specifically mentioning all main intermediate points on up trips and down trips. The daily running hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Hence, applicant is directed to submit the modified time-schedule in compliance with Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned.**

#### **Item No.94**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Thavalam-Sholayur. Route length of the proposed route is 65.2 km and there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route as per the route enquiry report. Enquiry reveals that most sectors of the proposed route are having poor public transport facility. Representations received from the school authorities of Government Tribal High School, Pudur pointing out the present difficulties experienced by the students for commutation between School and their houses is found genuine. The proposed time-schedule reveals that grant of this permit will be helpful for the students and staffs of the school, apart from the general public. This proposed route connects various rural parts of Attappadi Taluk. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked. While allotting time-schedule, Secretary shall ensure that the allotted timings will benefit the students community.

#### **Item No.95**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Vandithavalam-Govindapuram. Total route length is 71.6 Km. There is no virgin portion and distance of overlapping with notified route is 3.2 Km. On perusal of time schedule, it is revealed that the focus of operation is between Vadakkenchery and Govindapuram via Nemmara and Kollengode. On perusal of route enquiry report, the sectors of the proposed route, Kollegnode to Vandithavalam &, Kambrathchalla to Chemmanampathy [ Total-23 km] are having lesser frequency of stage carriages. On these sectors only starting and halting trips are provided. Hence, it is evident that the intention of the applicant is to circumvent the permissible limit of overlapping with notified route. The daily operational hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. Introduction of new service on this sector will cause un-healthy competition among existing operators and may cause accidents and will be detrimental to the road safety. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified clash free set of timings by complying Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 with reasonable number of trips to the above ill-served portion during day time. On receipt of such a modified proposal from the applicant, Secretary shall conduct specific enquiry and submit a report before this authority for reconsideration. Hence, **decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is adjourned**.

#### **Item No.96**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Karampathoor-Pattambi. Reported total route length of the proposed route is 47.1 Km. There is no virgin portion and distance of overlapping with notified route is 2.3 Km, which is within the permissible limit stipulated in the existing Government Notification. On perusal of the report and existing time schedule, it is revealed that various sectors of the proposed route are having a frequency of stage carriages varying from 15 to 50 minutes. The daily operational hours exceeds 12, which is in violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. While the matter was heard, representations were received highlighting the necessity of permit in the interior areas of the proposed route. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to : (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.(2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips.

#### **Item No.97**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Pothundy-Nemmara Bus Stand. As per the route enquiry report, total length of the proposed route 28.7 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route in the proposal. The Sectors of the proposed route are having a frequency of stage carriages varying from 1 to 2 Hrs. This authority is of the view that the proposed permit will enhance the travelling facility of the public. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.98**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the inter-district route Koppam-Karampathoor. As per the route enquiry report, the total route length is 37.1 Km, out of which 4.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route violating the existing Government

notification. While the matter is heard, the counsel representing the KSRTC filed strong objection against considering this permit in violation of existing Government Notification. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

#### **Item No.99**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Edappalam-Kulappully. Total route length of the proposed route is 40 Km, there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with the notified route. On perusal of records, it is revealed that the major sectors of the proposed route are having a frequency of stage carriages varying from 10 to 30 minutes. Enquiry officer reported that travelling difficulty is experienced by the students and public on this route due to insufficient frequency of stage carriages. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted**, subject to settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda**, within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

#### **Item No.100**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kootupatha-Pallippuram . As per the route enquiry report, the total route length is 36.8 Km, out of which 5 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route violating the existing Government notification. While the matter is heard, the counsel representing the KSRTC filed strong objection against considering this permit in violation of existing Government Notification. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

#### **Item No.101**

Heard, this is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Ottappalam-Cherplassery. Total route length is 95 Km. There is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route. Frequency of stage carriages on the different sectors of the proposed route varies from 20 to 30 minute except on the sector Ottappalam-Cherplassery via Varode, Kothakurissi & Eliyapetta. Daily operational hours exceeds 12, which is in

violation of Section 91 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. While the matter was heard, school authorities of Government H S S Marayamangalam submitted that there is a scarcity of stage carriage services during school timings and requested to provide a bus service. On perusal of the proposed time sheet in the route enquiry report, it is revealed that the trips departing from Mappattukara at 7.30 am via Marayamangalam and trip departing from Mappattukara at 4.30 pm via Marayamangalam are found beneficial to the students. Hence, **fresh regular stage carriage permit is granted,** subject to : (1) settlement of timings & remittance of application fee( if not paid) and production of current records of suitable stage carriage **in compliance with decision of this authority in this meeting vide Additional Agenda,** within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which grant of permit will be treated as revoked.(2) production of undertaking by the applicant for providing additional set of crew for completing all the trips. (3) While allotting time-schedule, Secretary shall ensure that the allotted timings will benefit the students community.

#### **Item No.102**

Heard, as per section 72(xxii)(b) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 & as permissible under Rule 147 of KMV Rules, 1989, this authority is **decided to attach requirement of Police Clearance Certificate/Non-involvement in offences Certificate wef. 15.09.2025** in respect of Driver and Conductor, as an additional condition for stage carriage permits issued by this authority. Secretary shall insist the same at the time of accepting application for fresh stage carriage permit, renewal of permit & temporary permit.

#### **Item No.103**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam dated 18.10.2024 in MVAA No. 5/2023.
2. Heard, considered the fresh modified application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 01 AJ 0078 as permitted by hon'ble Tribunal in the above judgment. This stage carriage is covered by valid regular permit on the intra-district route Mangottukavu-Alathur. The proposed variation is for curtailment of 12 trips, additional 9 trips, shifting of timings of 4 trips and extension of route from Thonippadam to Thodukad(2 Km). Route enquiry report reveals that proposed curtailment of 12 trips will adversely affect the travelling public. The proposed additional trips objectionably overlaps with the notified route for a distance of 2.4 Km in Alathur

Town. Hence, without analysing merits and demerits in detail, this **application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is prima-facie rejected.**

#### **Item No.104**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage K 65 T 1410 on the inter-district route Shoranur-Cherplassery-Mappattukara. The proposed variation includes additional trips and shifting of trips on the existing route. While the matter was heard, it is alleged that the existing day trips beneficial to the students are intended to change in the guise of variation of permit. Secretary is directed to specify the advantages/diadvantages of shifting of day trips to night trips. **Decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned** for compliance of above directions. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.105**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 X 1510 which is authorised to operate on the intra-district route Ummini-Palakkad. The proposed variation is for extension of service from Ummini to Kulakkadampotta for a distance of 3.5 Km through a virgin portion between Ummini to Ummini GLP School(600 meters). Road fitness certificate for the above virgin portion is obtained from PWD authorities. The extension of route is reported as beneficial to the travelling public including students as per the route enquiry report. There is no curtailment of route/trips and there is no violation of overlapping with notified route as per the existing Governemnt Notification. Hence, **variation of permit is granted without chaning the existing timings and subject to settlement of timings on the extension sought portion.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.106**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 54 2354 which is authorised to operate on the intra-district route Thiruvegappura Checkpost- Cherplassery. This fresh permit was recently issued on 28.03.2025 with a settled set of timings on 14.02.2025. The applied variation is for extension of route from Vilayur to Pulamanthole. Exact distance of the extention sought portion is not specified in the route enquiry report. This portion is entirely on the notified route and under the jurisdiction of RTA, Malappuram. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence of RTA, Malappuram with specific mention about the

inter-mediate points on the route portion in Malappuram district and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. Secretary is also directed to report the exact distance of extension sought portion. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.107**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 37 2539 operating on the route Paruthipra-Meetna. The applied variation includes re-arrangement of trips, additional trips on the existing route and shifting of existing day time trips to Mundaya and Mannannur to night. Secretary is directed to report the comparative advantages of the proposed timings, re-arrangement and change in trips over the existing timings and trips, as per Rule 145(7) of KMV Rules, 1989. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.108**

Heard, this is an application variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 AC 2567 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Thrithala-Guruvayur. The proposed variation is extension of route from Pattambi to Pallippuram(10.6 Km) by providing morning trips on the extension sought portion. There is no virgin portion on the proposal. Route enquiry report reveals that there is no trip curtailment and no overlapping on the notified sector in the proposed variation. All the existing trips and timings are maintained. The report further reveals that the applied variation will be beneficial to the travelling public and students. Hence, **variation of permit is granted,** subject to settlement of timings, without changing the existing timings. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.109**

Heard, this is an application for variation of regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kozhijampara-Koduvayur in respect of stage carriage KL 32 3026. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Kozhijampara to Ahalya Hospital via Athicode, Menonpara, Kozhippara and Ahalia gate for a distance of 9 Km. The applicant proposes to make use of the present stay time at Kozhijampara so as to provide trips to the extension sought portion, by changing the existing timings, which is not

required for considering this proposed variation. While the matter was heard, contradictory representations are submitted in copy both in favour and against allowing this proposal from Ahalia International Foundation. Also an objection is received against permitting to stop the vehicle in the bus stop in front of Ahalia Hospital, by pointing out the chances of accidents. Also while perusing route enquiry report in connection with an application for variation of permit placed before this authority vide Item No. 123, the distance between Ahalya Hospital Gate to Ahalya hospital is reported as 0.5 Km, but the report submitted in connection with this application, the distance of the same portion is reported as 2 Km. Hence, (1) Applicant is directed to submit a modified time-schedule without changing the existing timings, and on receipt of the same, Secretary, shall ascertain the feasibility of the same and submit before this authority. (2) Secretary shall obtain consent of the Ahalia Hospital authorities for allowing extension of route through their premises. (3) Secretary shall also enquire about the genuineness of the above objection and report whether it will contravene the provisions of road safety. (4) Secretary is further directed to report the exact distance between Ahalya Hospital Gate to Ahalya Hospital. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.110**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 50 3322 on the inter-district Palakkad-Punnayurkulam. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Palakkad to Palakkad Medical College. Total distance of extension is 6.4 Km. Out of this, a distance of 5.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in violation of the existing Government Notification. While the matter was heard KSRTC vehemently objected against considering this proposal in violation of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Without analysing the merits and demerits of the application, **this application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected since it is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.111**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 18 D 3412 on the intra-district route Kacherippadi - Pulissery. This variation is for curtailment of route portion from Pallikkuruppu to Pullissery(3.6 Km) and extension of route from Pallikkuruppu to Kundukandam(4.8 Km). Extension portion is not overlapping with notified

route and no additional trips through notified route. There is no virgin portion. The report of enquiry officer reveals that to the curtailment sought portion, there are stage carriage services through Tippu Sulthan Road and also the requested extension to Kundukandam is reported as beneficial to the travelling public. Hence, **variation of permit is granted**, without changing the existing timings and subject settlement of timings in the extended portion. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.112**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 54 3901 on the inter-district route Kololamba –Pattambi. Proposed variation is extension of route from Karingallathani to Biyyemkettu. This application was earlier considered by this authority and adjourned the decision for want of prior concurrence from RTA Malappuram for the portion of route from Karingallathani to Biyyamkettu(3 Km). As per the decision of RTA, Malappuram dated 27.02.2025 vide item No. 69, concurrence is granted. It is mentioned in the proceedings of RTA, Malappuram that a distance of 100 meters at Karinkallathani is overlapping with notified route. Since the proposed route and trips are passing through notified route which is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification, **application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected** without analysing further merits and demerits of the application. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.113**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 49 3999, permit of which expired on 13.03.2025. Secretary is directed to place the application after the permit is re-validated. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit adjourned**.

**Item No.114**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 47 D 4041 on the inter-district Guruvayur-Palakkad. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Palakkad to Palakkad Medical College. Total distance of extension is 6.4 Km. Out of this, a distance of 5.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in violation of the existing Government Notification. While the matter was heard KSRTC vehemently objected against considering this proposal in violation of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Without analysing the merits and demerits of the application, **this application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected since it is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification**. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.115**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 52 U 4050 on the inter-district Guruvayur-Palakkad. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Palakkad to Palakkad Medical College. Total distance of extension is 6.4 Km. Out of this, a distance of 5.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in violation of the existing Government Notification. While the matter was heard KSRTC vehemently objected against considering this proposal in violation of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Without analysing the merits and demerits of the application, **this application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected since it is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.116**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 S 4116 operating on the inter-district route Valanchery-Valanchery. The proposed variation is for providing one additional trip from Valanchery to Mankeri at 6.30 am in the existing route, as per the application. Route enquiry report reveals that there is no overlapping with notified route in the proposed variation. On perusal of existing and proposed time-schedule, 3 trips between Valanchery and Irimbiliyam are curtailed and 3 new trips between Valanchery and Mankeri are proposed. Hence, Secretary is directed to report the comparative advantages of the additional trips from Valanchery to Mankeri over curtailment of 3 existing trips between Valanchery and Irimbiliyam. Secretary is directed to re-submit the application before this authority along with specific report as above. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.117**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 AK 1125( replaced from KL 10 U 4345) operating on the inter-district route Alathur-Thiruvilwamala. The proposed variation is for curtailing one up trip from Alathur at 6.29 P M to Thiruvilwamala and one down trip from Thiruvilwamala at 7.42 P M to Alathur and shifting of existing last trip at 9.10 PM from Alathur to Athipotta as trip departing from Alathur at 6.50 PM to halt at Athipotta. Route enquiry report reveals that the above proposed shifting of trip and curtailment of trips will adversely affect the travelling public. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit is rejected.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.118**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 52 Q 4932 which is authorised to operate on the intra-district route Koppam-Shornur. The applied variation is for extension of route from Mavundrikkadavu to Muthukurissi for distance of 2 Km, which falls in Malappuram district. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence of RTA, Malappuram with specific mention about the intermediate points on the route portion in Malappuram district and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.119**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 52 Q 5078 on the route Valanchery-Pallippuram. The proposed variation is for extension of route from Palathara Gate to Thiruvegappura via Anchumoola( 5 Km) and for providing additional trip from Karuvanpadi to Palathara Gate and curtailment of trip from Karuvanpadi to Thiruvegappura via Muthuthala. The matter was early considered by this authority and decision on the application was adjourned for specific report. On perusal of reports and time sheets, it is revealed that the proposed extension through Anchumoola(rural area) and additional trips are beneficial to the travelling public. Specific report dated 15.05.2025 further reveals that proposed curtailment of trip will not much affect the travelling public. There is no overlapping with notified route and no virgin portion. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit is granted,** subject to settlement of timings. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.120**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 AQ 5821 on the inter-district Guruvayur-Palakkad. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Palakkad to Palakkad Medical College. Total distance of extension is 6.4 Km. Out of this, a distance of 5.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in violation of the existing Government Notification. While the matter was heard KSRTC vehemently objected against considering this proposal in violation of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Without analysing the merits and demerits of the application, **this application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected since it is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.121**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 AZ 6058 on the inter-district Guruvayur-Palakkad. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Palakkad to Palakkad Medical College. Total distance of extension is 6.4 Km. Out of this, a distance of 5.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in violation of the existing Government Notification. While the matter was heard KSRTC vehemently objected against considering this proposal in violation of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Without analysing the merits and demerits of the application, **this application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected since it is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.122**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 35 C 6651 on the inter-district Pattambi-Palakkad. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Palakkad to Palakkad Medical College. Total distance of extension is 6.4 Km. Out of this, a distance of 5.3 Km objectionably overlaps with the notified route in violation of the existing Government Notification. While the matter was heard KSRTC vehemently objected against considering this proposal in violation of G.O(P)No. 42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. Without analysing the merits and demerits of the application, **this application for variation of permit is prima-facie rejected since it is against the stipulations in the existing Government Notification.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.123**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 70 H 6666 covered by regular permit on the intra-district route Palakkad-Velanthavalam. The proposed variation is for providing two additional trips to Ahalya Hospital. On perusal of time sheet and route enquiry report, it is revealed that in the up trip between Kozhinjampara to Velanthavalam and down trip from Velanthavalam to Kozhinjampara the vehicle intends to touch Ahalya Hospital. As per the report of the enquiry officer, the distance between Ahalya Hospital Gate and Ahalya Hospital is 0.5 Km. But, on examining a report submitted in connection with item No.109, the distance of the above same portion is reported as 2 Km. Hence, Secretary is directed to clarify the exact distance of the above portion and submit a report before this authority. For compliance of this direction, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.124**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 56 B 6879 authorised to operate on the intra- district route Panamkutty to Pudukkote. The sought for variation is for extensions of route from (1) Vadakkenchery to Punnappadam (2) Pudukkote to Thekkepotta and providing trips through extension sought portions. The route enquiry report reveals that in the the extension sought portion, a distance of 300 meters from Vakakkenchery bus stand to Sunitha Mukku(Vadakkenchery) overlaps with notified route. Hence, **variation of permit is rejected**. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.125**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 52 U 7249 operating on the inter-district route Valanchery-Pattambi. The proposed variation is (1)for deviation of existing trip departing from Valanchery at 12.01 PM towards Pattambi via Muthuthala, Kozhikkottiri & New gate(instead of via Anjumoola, Muthuthala & Kodumunda) (2) for deviation of existing trip departing at 1.09 PM from Pattambi via New gate, Kozhikkottiri and Muthuthala( instead of Kodumunda, Muthuthala & Anjumoola) (3) for deviation of existing trip departing from Pattambi at 5.09 PM toward Valancheri via Muthuthala & Manjambra t( instead of via Sankaramangalam, Muthuthala & Anjumoola) The report of the enquiry officer is not specific on the following : (1) Extension sought portion along with distance (2) curtailment of route portion if any, with distance(3) Curtailment/deviation of trips with intermediate points along with distances (4) sketch showing the distance covered in each district before and after variation with all main intermediate points and distance between them (5) Distance of overlapping with notified route. Hence, Secretary is directed to submit a clarified report with sketch as above. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.**

**Item No.126**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 56 T 7737 operating on the route Mylampadam-Koottilakkadvu. Proposed variation( as per the application) is for extension of route portion from Koottilakkadavu to Karimpuzha( 3 Km) by providing 8 single trips between Koottilakkadavu and Karimpuzha & Curtailment of 6 single trips between Koottilakkadavu and Kulakkattukurissi. On perusal of route enquiry report and proposed and existing timings, the following facts are revealed. (1) four single trips between Koottilakkadavu and Kulakkattukurissi is curtailed by shifting 2 singles to night time. (2) 6 single trips between Mannarkkad and Elumbulassery is curtailed from the existing 8 singles. (3)

At present there are 8 single trips between Nhettarakkadavu and Koottilakkadavu. In the proposed time schedule, there are 14 single trips between Nhettarakkadavu and Koottilakkadavu, which is not mentioned in the variation application. From the route enquiry report and from the representations received before this authority while considering this matter, it is understood that the extension requested above to Karimpuzha will be beneficial to the travelling public and students, but the curtailment of trips mentioned above will adversely effect the travelling public including students. Hence, applicant is directed to submit a modified application by mentioning all the details of proposed variation with modified time schedule by providing reasonable number of trips between Koottilakkadavu and Karimpuzha by retaining all the existing trips and timings. On receipt of such a modified proposal, Secretary shall conduct enquiry and submit a detailed report with a neat sketch, markings of all intermediate points and distance between them. For compliance of the directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned**. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.127**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 AQ 7986 operating on the route Palakkad SBS-Palakkad SBS circular(clockwise and anti clockwise). As per the route enquiry report, there is a curtailment of route portion from Koppam Junction to Palakkad Stadium Bus Stand via Kalmandapam( 2.7 Km) and deviation through extension between Koppam Junction to Palakkad Stadium Bus Stand via Moyans School, Tharekkad(2 Km). Applicant has not mentioned the above extensions/deviation/curtailment in the application. Hence, **decision on the application for variation of permit adjourned** with direction to the applicant to submit proper application by mentioning all present trips( with intermediate points) and all proposed trips( with intermediate points) with proposed timings. On receipt of such a modified proposal, Secretary shall conduct enquiry and submit a specific report before this authority for reconsideration. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.128**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 51 A 8100 operating on the inter-district route Meenakshipuram-Guruvayur. The proposed variation is for curtailment of route portion between Meenakshipuram and Mettuppalayam. Route enquiry report reveals that the curtailment will adversely affect the travelling public. At present, travelling public of the curtailment sought portion are

depending private vehicles to meet their travelling needs due to scarcity of enough public transport system in the above route portion. On perusal of records, it is revealed that a complaint was received against curtailment of trips by this vehicle on the curtailment sought portion and e-challans were prepared against this vehicle. Hence, this authority finds that the proposed curtailment is against the interest of travelling public. Hence, **application for variation of permit is rejected. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.** Secretart shall also ensure that the vehicle is conducting service by operating all existing trips promptly.

**Item No.129**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 AF 8181 on the intra-district route Chorottur-Pattambi. The proposed variation is for extension of route from Chorottur to Chorottur Colony(2 km) by providing 6 single trips on the extension sought portion. Route enquiry report reveals that there is no virgin portion and no overlapping with notified route in the extension sought portion. There is no curtailment of trips. Enquiry reveals that the extension sought portion is ill-served with stage carriages. Hence, **variation of permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.130**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 46 S 8946 operating on the inter-district route Pattambi-Wadakkencherry. The applied variation is for extension of route portion from Nelluvai to Erumapetti( 2 Km), which falls under Thrissur district. Hence, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence of RTA, Thrissur with specific mention about the inter-mediate points on the route portion in Thrissur district and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.131**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 56 B 9189 (wrongly mentioned in agenda as KL 09 W 9556) covered by regular permit No. KL99/424/2006 valid up to 24.01.2027 on the inter-district route Palakkad-Thiruvilwamala. The applied variation includes extension of route portion from Kazhani Chungam to Pazhayannur

for a distance of 11 KM and providing 2 single trips between Alathur and Pazhayannur by curtailing one single trip between Alathur and Athipotta. Since the extension sought portion from Plazhi to Pazhayannur(4.1 Km) is under Thrissur district, Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence of RTA, Thrissur with specific mention about the inter-mediate points on the route portion in Thrissur district and the exact distance of overlapping with notified route in the jurisdiction of that RTA. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.132**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 Z 9191 on the intra-district route Pookattukunnu Colony-Ottappalam. Proposed variation is for extension of route from Peringottukurissi to Tholanur via Maniampara by providing 2 singles on this portion. Enquiry conducted reveals that there is no overlapping with notified route and no virgin portion on the extension sought portion. It is further revealed from the report that the above extension sought portion is ill-served with stage carriages. Hence, **variation of permit is granted, subject to settlement of timings.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.133**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 Z 9267 authorised to operate on the intra-district route Cherplassry-Ottappalam( route was wrongly mentioned as Palakkad-Thiruvilwamala in Agenda). The proposed variation includes extension of route portion from Ponpilya to Krishnapadi via Post Office(5 Km), apart from revision of timings, re-arrangement of trips. It is reported that the route extension will be beneficial to the travelling public, but it is also reported that other change in timings and re-arrangement/curtailment of trips will adversely affect the existing travelling facility of the public. Hence, the applicant is directed to submit a modified application ( by mentioning the details of proposed variation exactly, ie., with details of extension, curtailment, deviation, change in trips etc) with a proposed set of timings by maximum retaining existing trips and timings. On receipt of such a modified proposal with timings, Secretary shall conduct an enquiry and submit a report. **Decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned** for compliance of the above directions. Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.134**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 53 N 9584 operating on the inter-district route Kulathoor-Cherplassery. The proposed variation is for extension of route from Vilayur to Paloliparambu via Peradiyur, Kandegavu & Thudikkal(7 Km). Out which a distance of 6 Km from Peradiyur to Palolikulambu is virgin. Secretary is directed to verify and report whether the road fitness certificate issued by PWD authorities is completely covering the above mentioned virgin portion of the route. Secretary shall also report whether the virgin portion is fit for stage carriage operation. For compliance of the above directions, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.135**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 52 N 9817 authorised to operate on the inter-district route Koodaloor-Desamangalam. The proposed variation is for providing 6 additional single trips between Irumbakassery Palli and Arangottukara. On perusal of timings proposed by the applicant, number of trips proposed in the application are not matching with the proposed time-schedule. Hence, the applicant is directed to submit a modified time-schedule accordingly. For compliance of the above direction, **decision on the application for variation of permit is adjourned.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.136**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 11 Y 9973 on the route K.T.Padi-Ottappalam. Proposed variation is for extension of route (1) from Kothakurissi to Valluvanad Junction via Ambalavattam (2) from Valluvanad Hospital to Althara. Route enquiry reports of the enquiry officer reveals that curtailment of trips/re-arrangement of trips in the proposed variation connecting existing places K T Padi, Vaniyamkulam, Kothakurissi, Pathamkulam & Pavukonam will adversely affect the regular passengers depending this service. Hence, this authority is of the view that this application for variation of permit is not in favour of travelling public of the existing route. Hence, **variation of permit is rejected.** Secretary shall collect the application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.137**

Heard, this is a belated application dated 28.04.2025 for renewal of permit KL 99/100/2004 valid up to 28.03.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 11 AV 0318 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Edappal-Kootand. This application is not filed in conformity with Section 81 (2) of MV Act, 1988. The applicant requested to condone delay citing reasons. As per the provisions contained Section 81(3) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, this authority is satisfied that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient causes from making the application for renewal of permit within the prescribed time. Hence, delay condoned, **renewal of permit is granted from the date of application**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Mvs. tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.138**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/1073/2000 valid up to 18.10.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 06 E 0799 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Natyamangalam-Puduponnani. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.139**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/5017/1995 valid up to 20.09.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 08 CA 0914 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Thekkepotta-Thrissur-Palakkad as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.140**

Heard, these are belated applications for renewal of permit and transfer of permit( death transfer) in respect of stage carriage KL 14 S 0977 on the intra-district route Malampuzha-Palakkad-Kava filed by Sri.Palanivel Ramawamy(Person succeeding). Since a writ petition filed by one Mr.Dhanpal(an objector) in this connection is pending before hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WP(C)No.23240/2025, **decisions on this applications are adjourned** awaiting final verdict.

#### **Item No.141**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/1029/1995 valid up to 08.03.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 13 AH 1009 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Palakkad-Kozhikkode. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.142**

Applicant is **absent**, **decision on the application for renewal of permit** in respect of stage carriage KL 53 V 1045 on the inter-district route Perinthalmanna-Palakkad **is adjourned**. Secretary is directed to place the application before this authority in the next meeting after issuing due notice to the applicant.

#### **Item No.143**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/613/2005 valid up to 15.05.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 51 F 1214 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the intra-district route Pattambi-Palakkad LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.144**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/1098/2001 valid up to 17.02.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 50 C 1459 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Agali-Perinthalmanna. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.145**

Heard, this is a request for revision of timings in respect of stage carriage KL 09 R 1819 filed by the permit holder and permit to continue service. This vehicle was permitted to operate on the inter-district route Muriyankannikkadavu-Palakkad as LSOS on the strength of regular permit valid up to 06.03.2021. Granted renewal of permit was not obtained by the permit holder so far. The vehicle was non-operational on the above route for

the last 05 years. Grantee failed to produce the current records of the vehicle within the stipulated period as per Rule 172(3) of KMV Rules, 1989. Reasons for non-operation/non-producing records of the vehicle to avail the granted permit are found not valid or genuine and hence not acceptable. Hence, **sanction granted for renewal of permit is revoked under Rule 172(3) of KMV Rules, 1989.**

Timings of this vehicle is seen last revised during 2022. In view of the above decision, the **request of the permit holder to further revise the timings is not maintainable and hence rejected.**

**Item No.146**

Heard, this is an application dated 21.06.2022 for renewal of permit 9/4009/2007 valid up to 23.08.2022 on the intra-district route Vadakkencherry-Mangalam Dam. The vehicle was non-operational for almost 3 years since expiry of permit. Tax arrears in respect of this vehicle is seen cleared and now records of the vehicle are made current. The reasons submitted by the permit holder for non-operation of the vehicle is found genuine and hence accepted. Hence, **renewal of permit is granted,** subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.147**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/680/1995 valid up to 09.09.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 13 T 3339 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Valakkavu-Kozhinjampara as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted,** subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.148**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/629/2000 valid up to 29.05.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 54 3721 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Kundukadavu Jn-Kundukadavu Jn. **Renewal of permit is granted,** subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.149**

Heard, this is a belated application dated 20.03.2025 for renewal of permit KL 99/6007/2005 valid up to 13.03.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 49 3999 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Pattambi-Edappal. This application is not filed in conformity with Section 81 (2) of MV Act, 1988. The applicant requested to condone delay citing reasons. As per the provisions contained Section 81(3) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, this authority is satisfied that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient causes from making the application for renewal of permit within the prescribed time. Hence, delay condoned, **renewal of permit is granted from the date of application**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable and clearance of Mvs. tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid. Secretary shall verify the service of the vehicle after expiry of the vehicle and realise compounding fee, if permit-less operation is detected.

#### **Item No.150**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal Ernakulam dated 09.05.2025 in MVAA No. 102/2025.
2. Heard, the following applications for renewal of permit in respect of KL 09 M 5779 ( Regular permit No. 9/633/2003 valid up to 16.01.2017 on the intra-district route Mannarkkad-Palakkad as LSOS) and application for replacement of stage carriage KL 09 M 5779 by KL 08 AS 7414. Since applicant failed to submit any genuine valid reasons for non-operation of vehicle for the last 12 years on the above permit, the permit was cancelled by this authority under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989 vide decision on item No. 37 in the meeting held on 11.03.2025 and also the above applications were disposed as infructuous by finding them not maintainable. This decision was impugned before the hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Ernakulam in MVAA No. 102/2025. In the judgment above, hon'ble Tribunal set aside the above decision of RTA, Palakkad and directs to reconsider the applications to examine whether the RTA can grant the permit accepting the exception provided in Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989, since the appellant's case is that the vehicle was garaged and not operated and prior sanction is not availed because of the emergent situation of her mother.
3. The matter is reconsidered as per the direction of the hon'ble Tribunal. From the records, it is revealed that the permit of the above vehicle was valid for the period from 17.01.2012 to 16.01.2017. After endorsing the renewal of permit the vehicle was not operated till the

expiry of validity on 16.01.2017. Rule 152 of KMV Rules 1989 reads 'it shall be a condition of the permit of every transport vehicle(other than private service vehicle permit) that the vehicle shall be so maintained as to be available for the service for the which the permit was granted for the entire period of currency of the permit and that the permit is liable to be suspended or cancelled after due notice to the permit holder, if the vehicle has not been used for the purpose for which the permit was granted.....'. As directed by the hon'ble Tribunal, examined whether the permit holder is eligible for allowing exception provided in Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989. On perusal of records and connected exhibits submitted by the appellant before the hon'ble Tribunal, there were no records evidencing the emergent medical situation of permit holder's mother on or before the expiry of the permit( ie., on 16.01.2017). Also while hearing the matter, the applicant could not submit any satisfying sufficient cause for not making the service operational for the entire currency of the permit. For the **above reasons, this authority is decided to cancel the permit under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989.**

4. In view of the above decision, **applications filed for further renewal of permit and replacement of vehicle are found not maintainable and hence rejected.**

#### **Item No.151**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/608/2004 valid up to 16.06.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 08 CA 5821 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Thrissur-Velanthavalam. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.152**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/104/1995 valid up to 13.02.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 10 Y 7333 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Shornur-Melattur. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No. 153**

The **permit holder Sri. Sadhik.K is absent.** Hence, **decision on the request filed in connection with the application for renewal of permit and replacement of vehicle are adjourned.** Secretary is directed to place the request and application before this authority in the next meeting of this authority with detailed notes after issuing due notice to the permit holder.

#### **Item No. 154**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/63/1996 valid up to 05.03.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 08 AZ 7434 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Govindapuram-Thissur as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted,** subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No. 155**

1. Perused the judgment dated 19.11.2024 of hon'ble State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Ernakulam in MVAA No. 56/2022 received on 04.03.2025.
2. Heard, this is to re-consider the application dated 17.02.2017 for renewal of permit and to consider the renewal application dated 29.04.2022 in respect of stage carriage KL 11 R 5851 covered by regular permit 9/481/2001 valid up to 06.04.2017 on the inter-district route Govindapuram-Guruvayur as LSOS & also the application dated 02.08.2023 for replacement of stage carriage KL 11 R 5851 by KL 14 G 9077. The above applications for renewal of permit and replacement of vehicle were rejected by this authority as per the decision dated 08.11.2023 vide item No.34. Appeal preferred against the above decision was disposed by hon'ble STAT as per the judgment dated 19.11.2024 in MVAA No. 56/2022, which was submitted on 04.03.2025. This judgment set aside the above decision and directs to consider the matter afresh as per law.
3. The stage carriage KL 11 R 5851 covered by regular permit had attained 15 years of age set for LSOS operation as on 16.03.2018 as per the then existing stipulations. The permit holder failed to replace the vehicle with suitable one prior to 16.03.2018 and get the permit renewed. Further application for renewal of permit was filed on 29.04.2022. Also the permit holder failed to replace the vehicle with suitable one prior to expiry of its extended life span of 22 years on 16.03.2025. The vehicle covered by permit KL 11 R 5851 had attained

the age of 22 years as on 16.03.2025. The application for replacement is filed on 02.08.2023 (As per G.O(P) No. 4/2019/Tran dated 29.01.2019 age of ordinary stage carriages was 20 years. As per the Notification published vide G.O(P)No. 4/2024/Trans dated 05.03.2024(SRO 244/2024) life span of ordinary stage carriage was enhanced to 22 years by amending Rule 260(A)(2) of KMV Rules, 1989)

For the above reasons, **the applications for renewal of permit and replacement of vehicles are found not maintainable and hence rejected.**

**Item No. 156**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/668/2003 valid up to 29.08.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 49 F 7810 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Mangalam Dam-Thissur-Palakkad as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No. 157**

Heard. Since this authority has already taken a decision on the application for replacement of stage carriage KL 06 B 8717 (by KL 49 P 9505) on the route Narangapparambu-Kuzhalmannam as per the decision on item No. 46 in the meeting of RTA, Palakkad held on 11.03.2025 and that decision is impugned before the hon'ble STAT, Ernakulam in MVAA NO.111/2025, **decision on the the request is adjourned awaiting the judgment in the above appeal.**

**Item No. 158**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/66/2002 valid up to 29.07.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 49 P 8739 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Kamba-Thissur. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No. 159**

1. Perused the judgment dated 08.11.2018 in MVAA No. 257/2018 of hon'ble STAT, Ernakualm.
2. Heard, this is an application received on 18.11.2023 for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 and application for replacement of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 by another stage carriage KL 10 W 5332[ Permit No. 9/1272/2009 valid up to 01.06.2014 on the inter-district route Edappal-Pattambi]
3. The stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 covered by the above permit was registered on 21.08.2000. Since it was an inter-district route, application for renewal of this permit filed on 05.05.2014 was considered by RTA, Palakkad in the meeting held on 12.08.2024 vide item No. 135, Secretary was permitted to renew the permit subject to the provisions in the then existing Government Notification or after obtaining concurrence. This decision was communicated to the permit holder on 09.10.2014. Though necessary report was received, due to the pending tax arrears in respect of the above vehicle, the permit holder failed to obtain the renewed permit. On 27.02.2018, the permit holder had filed an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 by another stage carriage KL 10 T 7157, even then the permit was not valid, since renewal of permit was not availed. The above application for replacement of vehicle was considered by RTA, Palakkad in the meeting held on 29.05.2018 vide item No. 41 and rejected the application for replacement of vehicle since applicant failed to renew the permit by replacing the existing vehicle with suitable later model vehicle during the currency of permit after clearing the tax arrears in respect of KL 12 A 9393. Also had directed Secretary to issue notice to the permit holder under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989 seeking explanation for not suspending/cancelling the permit.
4. The above decision was challenged before the hon'ble STAT, Ernakualm in MVAA No.257/2018. The judgment of the hon'ble Tribunal directs to consider the application for renewal of permit first and then the application for replacement after hearing both parties and pass orders on merits in accordance with law. Without clearing the pending arrear of motor vehicles tax and getting the permit renewed, another application for replacement of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393 by KL 10 V 8669 was filed on 25.07.2022. Reasons submitted by the permit holder in reply to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989 on 18.11.2023 along with another application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 12 A 9393, by relinquishing his claim for earlier replacement application.

5. Since the 3<sup>rd</sup> additional respondent Sri.R.Viswanathan in MVAA No. 257/2008 has not appeared before this authority while the matter is heard, Secretary is directed to place these applications before this authority in the next meeting after issuing due notice both to the permit holder and above Sri.Viswanathan. Hence, **decisions on the applications are adjourned**.

#### **Item No.160**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL2020-SC-0031A valid up to 04.09.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 48 N 9900 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Thrissur-Palakkad as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.161**

Heard, this an application for renewal of permit KL99/10029/2005 valid up to 24.10.2025 in respect of stage carriage KL 08 AW 9990 for a further period of 5 years to operate on the inter-district route Pazhayannur-Palakkad as LSOS. **Renewal of permit is granted**, subject to production of NOC from the financier, if applicable, clearance of Mvs.tax and Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.162**

1. Perused the judgment of hon'ble High Court of Kerala dated 12.06.2025 in WP(C)No. 13710 of 2025 produced on 19.06.2025.
2. Heard, the regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL10 Q 236[Permit No. 9/1087/2001] was valid up to 22.10.2021 on the inter-district route Kuttippuram-Kuttippuram. This is an application for replacement of stage carriage KL 10 Q 236 by another stage carriage KL 12 C 3867. On perusal of records, it is revealed that the application for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 Q 236 on the above route was granted by RTA, Palakkad in the meeting held on 08.06.2022 vide item No. 20. Decision granting renewal of permit is seen communicated to the permit holder on 25.07.2022 vide Endt. No. R16/6213/2021 by Secretary, RTA, Palakkad. Grantee failed to avail the granted renewal by producing current records of the vehicle within stipulated period of one month or maximum permissible period of 04 months. Hence, as per Rule 172 (3) of KMV

Rules, 1989, **hence sanction accorded for renewal of permit is revoked.**

3. In the judgment mentioned in the agenda, hon'ble High Court of Kerala directs to consider the request for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 Q 236, application for replacement of stage carriage KL 10 Q 236 by KL 12 C 3867 and application for temporary permit in respect of stage carriage KL 12 C 3867 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a fixed time-frame. Since grantee failed to avail the granted renewal of permit and also as per the decision above sanction for renewal is revoked, **application for replacement of vehicle and temporary permit are found not maintainable and hence rejected.**

#### **Item No.163**

Heard, this is an application dated 10.04.2025 for renewal of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 02 Z 4365 covered by regular permit No. KL99/1044/2000 valid up to 21.05.2025 on the intra-district route Karekkad-Poilur. The vehicle was non-operational for the last two and half years. The explanation submitted by the permit holder in reply to the notice issued under Rule 152 of KMV Rules, 1989 is found genuine and reasons mentioned are found acceptable. **Route enquiry report required for renewal of permit shall be obtained by Secretary from the concerned officer and permitted to pass appropriate orders on the permit renewal application as as per law. Decision on the application for replacement is adjourned for validating the permit.**

#### **Item No.164**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.165**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

#### **Item No.166**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.167**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No. 168**

Heard, this is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 X 986 covered by regular permit No. KL 99/1025/1997 valid up to 05.04.2027 to operate on the inter-district route Chorottur-Perinthalmanna. The permit holder expired, intimation regarding death and application for transfer of permit were submitted not in conformity with the time limit prescribed in Section 82 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. As per Section, 82(3) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, this application is entrained since satisfied that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient cause from making an application within the time specified. Hence, **transfer permit is allowed to the name of Smt.Prabhavathy**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid. Secretary shall also verify the service of the vehicle without valid permit and realise compounding fee, if operation with ineffective permit is detected.

**Item No.169**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.170**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed from the name of Sri.Mohammed Fahiz to the name of Smt.Janet George**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.171**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.172**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.173**

Heard the proposed transferee. Permit holder(transferor) is absent. Hence, **decision on the application for transfer of permit is adjourned**. Secretary is directed to place the application before this authority in the next meeting after issuing due intimation/notice both to the permit holder and proposed transferee..

**Item No.174**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.175**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.176**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.177**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.178**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.179**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.180**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.181**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.182**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.183**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.184**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.185**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.186**

Heard, this is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 56 4878 from the name of Sri. Gopi.A to the name of Sri.Kaladharan. By permitting to withdraw the earlier transfer application from the name of Sri.Gopi to the name of Sri.Mohandasan K K, subject to remittance of application fee( if not paid) ; **transfer of permit from the name of Sri. Gopi.A to the name of Sri.Kaladharan is allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.187**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.188**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.189**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.190**

Heard, this is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 45 B 5572. On perusal of application, the permit holder(transferor) has not signed in the joint application. Hence, **decision on the application for transfer of permit adjourned**. Secretary shall obtain duly signed fresh application, conduct enquiry afresh and submit before this authority for taking a decision, after issuing due notice to the applicants.

**Item No.191**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.192**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.193**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.194**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.195**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.196**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.197**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.198**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.199**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.200**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.201**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.202**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No. 203**

Heard, this is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 09 Q 8323 covered by regular permit No. KL 99/1035/1996 valid up to 10.10.2026 to operate on the intra-district route Shoranur-Cherplassery. The permit holder expired, intimation regarding death and application for transfer of permit were submitted not in conformity with the time limit prescribed in Section 82 (2) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. As per Section, 82(3) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, this application is entrained since satisfied that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient cause from making an application within the time specified. Hence, **transfer permit is allowed to the name of Smt.Prabhavathy**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid. Secretary shall also verify the service of the vehicle without valid permit and realise compounding fee, if operation with ineffective permit is detected.

**Item No.204**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.205**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.206**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.207**

Heard Sri.Muraleekrishnan( permit holder) and Ms.Meghna Mohan( proposed transferee) through their counsel. Perused the records. There were two applications for transfer of permit in respect of stage KL 09 Q 8595. The application dated 05.12.2023 is seen filed seeking permission to transfer the permit from the name of Sri.Muraleekrishnan to the name Ms.Meghna Mohan. Another application dated 08.10.2024 is seen filed seeking permission to transfer the permit from the name of Sri.Muraleedharan to the name of Ms.Mahitha Mohan. Since all the above parties were heard by Secretary, RTA, Palakkad and submitted their written

consent to transfer the above permit to the name of Ms.Meghna Mohan, by relinquishing their claim for transfer to the name of Ms.Mahitha Mohan, **transfer of permit is allowed from the name of Sri.Muraleekrishnan to the name of Ms. Meghna Mohan**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid. Secretary shall also ensure that fee for both the above applications are realised.

**Item No.208**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.209**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.210**

Heard, this is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 08 CB 8928. **Decision on the application for transfer of permit is adjourned for validating the permit.** Secretary shall re-submit the application after validating the permit.

**Item No.211**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.212**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.213**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No.214**

Heard, **transfer of permit allowed**, subject to production of NOC from the HP.Co.; if applicable, clearance of MVs.tax and Govt.dues, if any & remittance of application fee, if not paid.

**Item No. 215**

Heard, this is an application fresh Reserve Permit in respect of stage carriage KL 51 G 2252 to operate in place of the below mentioned route buses to be used solely for substitute service.

Sl.N o.	Vehicle No.	Permit No.	Validity	Route
1	KL 51 Q 9564	KL99/1049/2000	22.05.2030	Guruvayur-Palakkad
2	KL 51 G 5331	KL99/1035/1995	26.06.2025	Guruvayur-Palakkad
3	KL 51 K 3781	KL99/1023/1998	05.03.2028	Guruvayur-Palakkad
4	KL 09 S 9318	KL99/1014/2003	14.04.2028	Pattambi-Palakkad

Hence, **reserve bus permit is granted** in respect of stage carriage KL 51 G 2152 as per Rule 182 of KMV Rules, 1989, subject to the following conditions stipulated in SRO No. 880/75 and remittance of application fee.

The reserve bus shall be used solely for substitute service in place of the regular route stage carriage( route buses) for which reserve bus authorised to conduct substitute service and not for any other purpose during entire quarter

Tax in respect of all route buses in place which the reserve bus authorised to ply, shall be paid within the prescribed period of the quarter.

The reserve stage carriage(reserve bus) shall be used only for 20 days at a time; subject to the maximum of two months only in one quarter in place of any one regular route stage carriage( route bus) .

The reserve bus shall be used only when the regular route stage carriage is kept off-road.

**Item No. 216**

1. Perused the judgment dated 07.01.2025 in WP(C)No.45206/2024 of hon'ble High Court of Kerala.
2. Heard, considered the request dated 14.06.2024 of Smt.Vaheeda to specify the intermediate points for operation of stage carriage KL 09 W 6451 (covered by permit No. KL99/355/2000 valid till 22.02.2027 on the route Kuthampully-Palakkad) between Palakkad and Olavakkode. The above judgment directs Secretary, RTA, Palakkad to consider the above request and pass orders in accordance with law within a fixed time frame. Secretary shall conduct a meeting with affected en-route operators and submit suggestions before this authority, without delay. Hence, **decision on the request is adjourned.**

**Item No. 217**

Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 41 AV 2790 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Pollachi, Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.

**Item No. 218**

1. Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 99 J 6797 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Coimbatore(West), Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.
2. Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 37 BR 5636 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Coimbatore(West), Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.
3. Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 37 BY 8989 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Coimbatore(West), Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.

**Item No. 219**

1. Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 37 BH 7939 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Coimbatore(West), Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.

2. Heard, considering the application for countersigning the permit in respect of Educational Institution Bus TN 37 BH 7985 issued by Regional Transport Authority, Combatore(West), Tamilnadu, this authority **hereby countersign the permit** of the above vehicle, permitting the operation of this vehicle in the jurisdiction of Regional Transport Authority, Palakkad, subject to the conditions already attached and remittance of application fee.

**Supplementary Item No.01.**

Heard, this is a request for sanction for the functioning of reconstructed Palakkad Municipal Bus Stand. This authority re-considered the request vide item No. 07 in the agenda in the meeting of RTA held on 11.03.2025 and decision was adjourned for obtaining work completion certificate from the Municipal Authorities. Report from Secretary of Palakkad Municipality dated 09.06.2025 is perused along the combined inspection report dated 17.06.2025 of the team comprising Mvs.Department, Police Authorities & PWD Authorities. The report reveals the following:

1. The front side arch member on the roof of the bus stand must be covered to avoid water splashing to the passenger waiting area.
2. The rear side of the roof of the bus stand is too high, it must be covered upto sill level of the shops inside the bus stand to avoid water splashing.
3. The stopper provided on the bus bay need to be reinforced with concrete backing.
4. Drinking water facility must be provided on the passenger area.
5. Feeding room must be set up
6. Currently there are 16 seats provided in the bus stand for the passengers. But seating facility must be increased by providing at least 8 more seats.
7. Police aid post and CCTV cameras are to be erected for the safety of the public especially women passengers and to avoid anti social activities.
8. Proper lighting must be provided at the entrance and exit portions of the bus stand.
9. The bus parking area behind the bus stand must be properly levelled.
10. Rectification must be done near bus bay potholes
11. Free flow of waste water on the road to drainage must be ensured

The above shortcomings shall be resolved for the safe & smooth functioning of municipal bus stand.

Hence, **decision on this matter is adjourned for resolving the above shortcomings** and on receipt of rectification report from the Municipal Authorities, Secretary RTA shall further conduct combined inspection by a team comprising Mvs.Department, Police Authorities and PWD authorities.

The request may be re-submitted before this authority for approval along with the above report, if necessary by circulation of papers as permissible under Rule 130 of KMV Rules, 1989.

**Additional Agenda( approved by Chairman)**

Heard, as per the power vested upon this authority by the provisions of Section 72(2) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, it is decided to issue fresh permits only to those stage carriages complying AIS-052 & BS VI emission norms.



Member( RTA, Palakkad):  
Sri.M.P.James,  
Deputy Transport Commissioner,  
Central Zone-1

Thrissur  
JAMES. M. P.  
Deputy Transport Commissioner  
Central Zone - I  
Thrissur, Pen No: 428529



Member(RTA, Palakkad):  
Sri.Ajit kumar IPS  
District Police Chief,  
Palakkad



Chairman(RTA, Palakkad):  
Ms.G.Priyanka IAS,  
District Collector,  
Palakkad