

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF
THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY - ERNAKULAM
HELD ON 18.01.2025**

Present

Chairman

Sri. NSK. Umesh IAS, District Collector, Ernakulam

Members

1. Sri. Anoop Varkey, Deputy Transport Commissioner (Law), CZ-II Ernakulam.
2. Dr. Vaibhav Saxena IPS, District Police Chief , Ernakulam Rural, Aluva

Item.No.1

07G1/400/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant Sri. Anish Mathew Paul in connection with his application for permit on the route Meenpara – Piravom – Ernakulam – Medical College.

The Secretary is directed to call for concurrence from RTA, Muvattupuzha. Await production of road fitness certificate for the portion from Puthencruz junction to Kurinji via MJSSA. The applicant has not furnished either the vehicle or the particulars of the vehicle required by Section 70 of the Act. The necessity to evaluate the particulars of the vehicle is emphasized in the judgment of the Supreme Court in Mitilesh Gargh V. Union of India reported in 1992 SC 443. Therefore the applicant is called upon to furnish the particulars and information in relation to the vehicle offered by him, if any, before the matter is taken up for consideration. (reliance is placed on the Supreme Court judgment in Maharashtra SRTC V. Manglur Pir reported in 1971 (2) SCC 222.) Such vehicle, if any, shall be of type II complying with AIS – 052 in terms of Rule 125-C of the CMV Rules, 1989 so as to ensure the minimum standards of safety and comforts of passengers (reliance placed in the judgment in W.A No. 454/2023 of the Kerala High Court).

It appears that the permit is applied for three different routes. A single application for grant of a single permit for more than one route is not permissible or contemplated under the Act. However, the applicant is allowed to make amendment in the application for permit so as to confine it to a single route of his choice. The application so amended shall be accompanied by suitable time table. Judgment in Vivek Dwivedi V. Prem Narayan (AIR 1999 MP 1) is relied on the facts and circumstances of which being identical to the case under consideration.

The time table so furnished, if any, shall necessarily comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961. **The matter is Adjourned. .**

Item.No.2

07G1/181/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant Sri. Ashraf T.S in connection with the grant of fresh permit in respect of stage carriage KL 17 D 0825 to operate on the route Kolenchery – Kizhakkambalam – Kakkanad – Kalamassery medical college.

This matter was considered by this authority on 17.08.2024 and was **Adjourned.** for want of information and particulars regarding the vehicle. Now the applicant has offered a ready vehicle bearing registration No. KL 17 D 0825. But the said vehicle is not owned by the applicant. It is owned by Sri. Sreejith P.S, S/o. Sivan, Penattu house, Poopara, Idukki district – 685 619. There is no document or evidence to show that this vehicle has been purchased by the applicant. Therefore this authority has no relevant particulars or information regarding the vehicle for being issued with a permit. It is necessary to ensure that the application seeking a permit must substantially conform to the requirements envisaged under the provisions contained in Section 70 of the Act.

It appears that the permit is applied for four different routes. A single application for grant of a single permit for more than one route is not permissible or contemplated under the Act. Therefore the application is **rejected** relying on the judgment in Vivek Dwivedi Vs Prem Narayan, AIR 1999 MP 1. The facts and circumstances of which were identical to the case under consideration.

Item.No.3**J1/07G1/99/2024/E**

Heard the applicant Sri. Basheer N.K in detail. The vehicle offered by the applicant is KL 24 6012.

It appears that the permit is applied for different routes. A single application for grant of a single permit for more than one route is not permissible or contemplated under the Act. Under such circumstance the application for grant of permit is **rejected** relying on the Judgement in Vivek Dwivedi Vs Prem Narayan, AIR 1999MP 1, the facts and circumstances of which being identical to the case under consideration.

Item.No.4**J1/KL 231203T1577531/2023/E**

Heard the applicant Sri. Benny O.V in detail. The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 41A 3400 is owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated on the entire route between the termini Edavanakkad Aniyil junction – Vytila hub without any cut trips in between.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.5**J1/07N1/189/2023/KL 07**

Heard the applicant Sri. Biju M.S in detail in connection with his application for grant of permit on the route Puthencruz-Water metro – HMT junction.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 07 BG 4849 is owned by the applicant himself.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated on the entire route between the termini Puthencruz – HMT Junction without there being any cut trip.

2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961

Item.No.6**07G1/216/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant Sri. Dilil V. Kumar in detail with respect to his application for grant of permit on the route North Paravoor- Kadalamukku – Vyppin via Koonammavu, Varapuzha bridge, Edapally, Pipeline, Palarivattom, Kaloor, High Court, Gosree bridge, Kalamukku.

A permit is to be granted to a motor vehicle, much less, a motor vehicle in existence as per the definition of the term “permit” under section 2(31) of the MV Act. It is laid down in Section 72 that the grant of a stage carriage permit shall be in accordance with the application under section 70. That is to say an application seeking a permit must substantially conform to the requirements envisaged under the provision contained in section 70 of the Act. It is necessary for the RTA to consider all the relevant factors including those required by clauses (b) and(f) of section 70 (1) at the time of quasi-judicial consideration of the application for grant of permit as held by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in MitheshGargh Vs Union of India (AIR 1992 SC 443).

In this case the applicant has not offered any vehicle. Nor has he furnished the particulars or information regarding the vehicle if any offered by him, even at the time of consideration of the application today. Instead, he has offered a “suitable vehicle” which has no existence at all beyond his own imagination.

The proposed route is hit by an approved scheme of nationalization in relation to the route North Paravoor - Kakkanad via Cheranalloor – Signal junction and Bolgatty palace in as much as the proposed route overlaps the notified route from North Paravoor to Cheranalloor Signal junction for a distance of 12 K.Ms. Notication G.O(P) No. 27/2023/Trans. Dated 27.11.2023. Therefore the application is **rejected**.

Item.No.7**J1/07G1/113/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant Sri. Divin David in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route Mulamkuzhi – Kalady – Athani.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL AZ 0710 is owned by the applicant himself.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated between the termini Moolamkuzhi – Athani.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the permit holder/applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961

Item.No.8**J1/07G1/112/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant Sri. Jose Varghese in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route Moolamkuzhi – Kalady – Athani.

He has offered a ready vehicle bearing No. KL 41 0111, which is owned by him for operation on the route. Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated between the termini Moolamkuzhy and Athani.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.9**07G1/423/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant. **Granted** the permit on the route Aluva-Sreemoolanagaram circular. Subject to the condition that the time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.10**J1/07N1/119/2023/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route Puthencruz – Kalamassery medical college.

He has offered a ready vehicle bearing No. KL 37 6116, which is owned by him for operation on the route. Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated entirely between the termini Puthencruz – Kalamassery medical college without there being any cut trips.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.11**07G1/394/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route Perumbavoor – Medical College – Vyttila.

He has offered a ready vehicle bearing No. KL 36 0729, which is owned by him for operation on the route. Permit is **granted** subject to the condition that the time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the

provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.12**J1/07N1/220/2023/E**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route Perumbavoor – Vyttila.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 7BA 1819 is owned by the applicant himself.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated entirely between the termini Perumbavoor – Vyttila.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.13**07G1/272/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route NUALS College - Kavumthazham

He has offered a ready vehicle bearing No. KL 11 AE 7227, which is owned by him for operation on the route. Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated entirely between the termini NUALS College – Kavumthazham without there being any cut trips.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.14**J1/07G1/111/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit on the route Athani - Moolamkuzhy

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL AW 4364, is owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated between the termini Athani – Moolamkuzhy.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.15**07G1/296/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant. This is an application for fresh stage carriage permit in respect of vehicle No. KL 36 3230, which is owned by the applicant, to be operated on the route Kalamasserry Medical college – Kombara – MES Kavala, Perumbavoor as ordinary moffusil service.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated between Kalamasserry Medical college – Kombara – MES Kavala, Perumbavoor.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.16**07G1/199/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for Ayyampilly junction – High Court junction – Kalloor.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 17D 9295, is owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the condition that the time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.17**07G1/194/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for Aniyil junction – Edavanakkad – Vyttila hub.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 5W 9705, is a vehicle owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the condition that the time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.18**J1/07G1/100/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit for Kalamasserry Medical College via Kakkanad, Karingachira – Kavumthazham.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 5U 6402, is owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated entirely between the termini Kalamasserry Medical College – Kavumthazham via Kakkanad, Karingachira, Info Park without therein being any cut trips.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.19

J1/07G1/105/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit for Kodanad bridge – Vyttila hub.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 2Z 3110, is owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated on the entire route between the termini Kodanad bridge – Vyttila hub without there being any cut trips.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.20

07G1/211/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit for Aniyil junction – Edavanakkad – Vyttila hub.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 36 3474, is a vehicle owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the condition that the time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.21

07G1/148/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit for Kodungassery – High Court junction - Kakkannad.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 13Q 0388, is owned by him.

Permit is **granted** subject to the condition that the time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.22

07G1/62/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of fresh permit for Thuruthipuram – Angamaly – Manjapra, Manjali.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 48 6786, is owned by him.

It appears that the permit is applied for different routes. A single application for grant of a single permit for more than one route is not permissible or contemplated under the Act. However, the applicant is allowed to make amendment in the application for permit so as to confine it to a single route of his choice. The application so amended shall be accompanied by suitable time table. Judgment in Vivek Dwivedi V. Prem Narayan (AIR 1999 MP 1) is relied on the facts and circumstances of which

were identical to the case under consideration. Further the intermediate points are not specified in the application clearly and hence it needs clarification.

The time table so furnished, if any, shall necessarily comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961. **The matter is Adjourned. .**

Item.No.23**07G1/226/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit for Manjapra – Angamaly – Mayikkad – Athani – Manjali – Vedimara junction – Fire station – North Paravoor – Koonammavu – Varappuzha – Edapally – Vyttila - Kundannoor.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 08 AD 2277, is owned by him.

The proposed route is hit by an approved scheme of nationalization in relation to the route North Paravoor - Kakkanad in as much as the proposed route overlaps the notified route from North Paravoor to Cheranalloor Signal junction for a distance of 12 K.Ms. Notification GO(P) No.27/2023/Trans. Dt 27.11.2023

Therefore the application is **rejected**.

Item.No.24**07G1/415/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the application for grant of permit for Carmel hospital Perumbavoor – Vyttila hub.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 08 AE 7234, is owned by him.

Permit is **granted**subject to the following conditions:

1. All the trips shall be run and operated on the entire route between the termini Carmel hospital Perumbavoor – Vyttila hub without there being any cut trips.
2. The time schedule shall be settled in such a way that the working hours of the persons employed by the applicant shall be in conformity with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of the Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961.

Item.No.25**07G1/416/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the grant of fresh permit on the route Keltron Ferry – Panangad - Vyttila – Kakkanad – Info Park Phase II.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 05R 7862, is owned by him. The Secretary shall cause an enquiry about the present position of the vehicle and the whereabouts of the applicant.

It appears that the permit is applied for different routes. A single application for grant of a single permit for more than one route is not permissible or contemplated under the Act. However, the applicant is allowed to make amendment in the application for permit so as to confine it to a single route of his choice. The application so amended shall be accompanied by suitable time table. Judgment in Vivek Dwivedi V. Prem Narayan (AIR 1999 MP 1) is relied on. Further the intermediate points are not specified in the application clearly and hence it needs clarification.

The time table so furnished, if any, shall necessarily comply with the provisions of Section 91 of the Act and Section 13 of Motor Transport Workers Act, 1961. **The matter is Adjourned. .**

Item.No.26**07G1/263/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the grant of permit for Angamaly – Athani – Manjali – North Paravoor – Vyppin – High Court.

The vehicle offered by the applicant bearing No. KL 16D 210, is a vehicle owned by him. Application was considered on the basis of the judgment rendered by the Honourable High Court in W.P(C) No. 34263/2024 dated 25.10.2024.

The R.T.A Secretary was directed to make a specific report with respect to the overlapping on the notified route and the report is awaited. Hence the matter is **Adjourned.** for want of report from the Secretary.

Item.No.27**07G1/373/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail with respect to the grant of permit for Aluva – High Court.

Application was considered on the basis of the judgment rendered by the Honourable High Court in W.P(C) No. 45315/2024 dated 18.12.2024. The applicant has not offered any vehicle or the particulars of the vehicle before this authority for consideration of the application. The authority hereby directs the applicant to produce the relevant particulars and information required under Section 70 (1) of the Act for a detailed and complete consideration of the application before the permit is granted. (relied on Maharashtra SRTC vs Manglurpir (1971) 2 SCC 222). The vehicle offered, if any, for consideration shall be such that it shall be a type II category complying with AIS – 052 in terms of Rule 125-C of the CMV Rules, 1989 so as to ensure the minimum standards of safety and comforts for passengers (reliance placed in the Judgment in W.A No. 454/2023 of the Kerala High Court).

The R.T.A Secretary was directed to furnish a specific report with respect to the overlapping on notified route and the report is awaited. Hence the matter is **Adjourned.** for want of report from the Secretary.

Item.No.28**V1/KL 24080161386052/2024/E**

1. Heard the applicant in detail. This is an application for variation of route by extension of route and deviation of route. Variation applied for

is **granted** since no substantial change in the existing time schedule is sought for by the permit holder.

Item.No.29

V1/KL 24072300073737/2024/E

The applicant appeared and heard. The permit was granted to stage carriage KL 39 F 250 to operate on the route Srampillykavu – Nilampathinjamugal having regard to the travelling facility for the public on the said route. The applicant has now applied for variation of the route by deviation and curtailment on certain trips. There is no specific grounds that the then existed requirement on the **permitted** route has ceased to exist. Nor is there any demand from the public for operating on different sectors except the proposal by the permit holder. This authority considered the request of the permit holder with reference to the provisions of Rule 145 (6) of the KMV Rules and is satisfied that no circumstances warranting such variation has arisen afresh and therefore the request for variation is **rejected**.

Item.No.30

V1/KL 22081293321921/2022/E

Heard the applicant in detail. **Adjourned.** The Secretary, RTA will examine and submit a report on the following

1. Whether the existing permit was issued by the RTA, Ernakulam and if so the circumstance under which the permit was issued by this authority ignoring the fact that the major portion of the route lies in Thrissur district.
2. Whether there is a condition imposed in the permit that the service shall be performed 'without touching the bus stand' at Irinjalakuda.

Item.No.31

V2/KL 24072399987667/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. Variation **granted** subject to the settlement of timings.

Item.No.32

V2/KL 24121922525669/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. Variation **granted** subject to the settlement of timings.

Item.No.33

V2/KL 24122453067273/2024/E

1. This is an application for variation of permit by curtailment and deviation.
2. Transfer of permit

Heard the applicant in detail. Application for variation does not disclose any urgency with reference to the provisions of Rule 145(6) or (7) of the KMV Rules. No new circumstances mentioned in the route has arisen ever since the permit was issued by the Transport authority. Hence **rejected**. Transfer of permit is **permitted**.

Item.No.34

V2/E 910265/2024/KL 07

Heard the applicant in detail. The original permit was granted by the RTA after taking into account the advantages offered by such permit. There is no case that the said advantages have ceased to exist and that the public would not be inconvenienced by the absence of the service on the said route. No new circumstances such as construction of bridge or road have arisen ever since the permit was issued warranting any deviation, curtailment or extension of service. This authority is not satisfied that there exists any necessity for granting the request for variation. Hence **rejected**.

Item.No.35**V3/KL 24122773515374/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. Variation **granted**.

Item.No.36**V3/KL 24070487660551/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. Variation **granted**.

Item.No.37**V4/947210/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. The permit was granted by the RTA taking into consideration the necessity for operating on the route Kaninadu – HMT junction. If the option is given to the operator to choose frequent deviation, extension or curtailment it will not be in the public interest. Such a deviation may give undue advantages to the operator. This authority is not satisfied that any new circumstances such as those mentioned in the Rule 145 (6) of the KMV Rules have ever arisen warranting any such variation of the original route. Hence **rejected**.

Item.No.38**V1/KL 241109T1971404/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. Unlike reported by the enquiry officer there is no violation of any scheme of nationalisation. Renewal is **granted**.

Item.No.39**V1/KL 241109T9970964/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.40**V1/KL 24101882051848/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.41

V1/975723/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. Delay **condoned**. Renewal of permit **granted**.

Item.No.42

V1/KL 24092788779279/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. The Secretary, RTA is directed to get a detailed report on the following:-

1. Whereabouts of the permit holder Sri.Haji Mohiyudeen.
2. Whether the service is conducted by the permit holder himself or by anyone else.
3. Whether Sri.Salim K.H is authorised to apply for renewal of permit and if so the nature of authorisation, if any, and the circumstances under which he was authorised to prefer the application.
4. Whether Sri.Salim K.H is an operator of one or more stage carriages.

Application for renewal is **Adjourned**.

Item.No.43

V2/KL 240911T6235371/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. **Adjourned** for ascertaining the extent of overlapping on notified route or routes, if any.

Item.No.44

V2/KL 241204T4500340/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. **Adjourned** for want of NOC from the financier.

Item.No.45

V2/KL 24100702107365/2024/E

Heard the applicant in detail. The renewal of permit **granted** after the endorsement in the permit of which transfer of permit can also be entered therein. Transfer of permit is also **permitted**.

Item.No.46**V2/KL 23111775031069/2024/E**

Heard the applicant in detail. The renewal of permit is **granted**.

Item.No.47**V2/E 907781/2024/KL 07**

Heard the applicant in detail. The renewal of permit is **granted**.

Item.No.48**V3/KL 24100708184270/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. There is delay in making application for renewal. Applicant has requested for condonation of delay. The delay is condoned and renewal is **granted**.

Item.No.49**V3/KL 24071558982347/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. The permit was valid up to 14.08.2024. The application for renewal was filed in time. Renewal of permit is **granted**.

Considered the application for transfer of permit. The route is an inter district route lying in Ernakulam and Alappuzha districts and the permit was validated by an endorsement of the R.T.A Alappuzha. This permit cannot be transferred and validated unless the R.T.A Alappuzha directs the validation of the permit by endorsement. The Secretary will call for the **concurrence/endorsement** from R.T.A Alappuzha in accordance with Rule 178 (5) (c) of the KMV Rules. In the meantime the Secretary will cause a detailed enquiry as to the bonafides of the application for transfer with reference to the provisions under Rule 178 of the KMV Rules, particularly relying on the agreement of transfer of permit executed by the transferor and transferee a copy of which shall necessarily accompany the report of the secretary.

Item.No.50**V3/KL 241212T8648672/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Renewal is **granted**.

Item.No.51**V3/KL 23121379257915/2023/E**

Heard the applicant for renewal of permit on the inter district route Eramalloor – Aluva. Renewal is **granted**.

Considered the application for transfer of permit. The route is an inter district route lying in Ernakulam and Alappuzha districts and the permit was validated by an endorsement of the R.T.A Alappuzha. This permit cannot be transferred and validated unless the R.T.A Alappuzha directs the validation of the permit by endorsement. The Secretary will call for the **concurrence/endorsement** from R.T.A Alappuzha in accordance with Rule 178 (5) (c) of the KMV Rules. In the meantime the Secretary will cause a detailed enquiry as to the bonafides of the application for transfer with reference to the provisions under Rule 178 of the KMV Rules, particularly relying on the agreement of transfer of permit executed by the transferor and transferee a copy of which shall necessarily accompany the report of the secretary.

Item.No.52**V3/KL 24110214727433/2023/E**

Heard the applicant for renewal of permit on the inter district route Thoppil junction – Aroor junction via Model Engineering College. Renewal is **granted**.

Considered the application for transfer of permit. The route is an inter district route lying in Ernakulam and Alappuzha districts and the permit was validated by an endorsement of the R.T.A Alappuzha. This permit cannot be transferred and validated unless the R.T.A Alappuzha directs the validation of the permit by endorsement. The Secretary will call for the **concurrence/endorsement** from R.T.A Alappuzha in accordance with Rule 178 (5) (c) of the KMV Rules. In the meantime the Secretary will cause a detailed enquiry as to the bonafide of the application for transfer with reference to the provisions under Rule 178 of the KMV Rules, particularly relying on the agreement of transfer of permit executed by the transferor and

transferee a copy of which shall necessarily accompany the report of the secretary.

Item.No.53

V4/KL 240909T4935817/2024/E

This is an application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of bus KL 41A 9467 permitted to ply on the route Aluva-Fort Kochi as city service. The permit was valid upto 24.09.2024. The permit holder is Sri Nawas K.M4/381, Karippai (H) Choornikkara, Thaikkattukara, Aluva. The **possessor** of the vehicle is Sri Sakkir M.A, S/o Abubakker, Matrakat (H) Peringala P.O Kunnathunadu Ernakulam-683016 and Zakkir has applied for renewal of permit. Sri Aliyar, Muringassery (H) Edathala P.O Aluva has also possessed the vehicle for some time and operated service. Pursuant to the order and judgement in MP No.889/19 in MVAA No. 261/2018, the question of renewal of permit has been taken up for consideration today.

Heard the counsel for the applicant for renewal of permit. Considered all the facts and circumstances emanating from the following applications, representations, agreement decision of RTO, orders and directions by Honourable High Court and Appellate Tribunal as the case may be

- 11.07.2009 - Agreement between Navas and Sakkir
- 26.05.2015 - Grant of transport permit
- 17.08.2015 - Revocation of permission of transfer of permit.
- 30.10.2017 - Crime registered vide FIR No. 4327/2017 of Aluva Police station dtd.30.10.2017
- 21.11.2017 - Release of vehicle by the JFMC – Aluva court to the Sakkir **possessor** of the vehicle.
- 17.03.2018 - Cancellation of permit by RTA.
- 25.05.2018 & 03.07.2018 Judgement and orders by the Appellate Tribunal
- 26.09.2018 - Withdrawal of WP(C) No.9170/18.
- 26.04.2022 - RTO decision etc.

It is found from the above said transactions, decisions, or orders that

1. Sri Nawas K.M 4/381, Karippai (H) Choornikkara, Thaikkattukara, Aluvais the permit holder in respect of bus KL 41 A 9467
2. The permit is valid up to 24.09.2024
3. Sri Sakkir M.A, S/o Abubakker, Matrakat (H) Peringala P.O Kunnathunadu Ernakulam-683016 is the present possessor of the vehicle and operator of the permit as evidenced by the application for renewal by Sakkir without sanction of RTA .
4. The application for renewal of permit is preferred by Mr. Sakkir who is not the holder of the permit.
5. No person other than the permit holder has any right to make application for renewal of permit.
6. The unauthorized transfer of permit and change of possession of vehicle do not confer any right on the possessor to operate stage carriage service.

It is a clear case of trafficking in permit between the permit holder Sri. Nawas and other persons like Sakkir, Aliyar etc.

The powers on functions of this authority is to advance public interest, safety, convenience and comforts of the travelling public and not to promote undue gains to the agents and touts engaged in buying and selling of permits. Unauthorized sale and transfer of permit and change of procession of vehicle are not contemplated in the Act or rules. The commercial interest of the permit holder or other persons is none of the business of this authority.

Mr. Sakkir who is having the unauthorized possession of the vehicle is not the holder of the permit and he has no right to seek renewal of permit under section 81 of the Act. Therefore;

1. The application for renewal of permit is **rejected**.
2. The secretary RTA is required to cause a detailed enquiry in the matter to initiate action on unauthorized operation of bus service by the persons not authorized by his authority. The matters to be enquired further shall be drawn by the secretary himself and entrusted to

Responsible officer which shall be made available to this authority before the next meeting.

Item.No.54**V4/951029/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.55**V4/KL 24090475720476/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.56**V4/KL 24073011054721/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.57**V4/KL 24020196200494/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay in submitting the application for renewal is **condoned**. The matter is **Adjourned**. for want of detailed report of enquiry by the Secretary.

Item.No.58**V4/KL 24013136076555/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. The stage carriage KL 37 B 8753 running on Arayankavu church – North Paravoor was covered by a permit valid up to 03.02.2014. Thereafter the permit was not renewed even though the applications for renewal were submitted for subsequent periods. So far the renewal application has not been disposed of on the premise that the route overlaps the notified route Aluva – Vadakkumpuram for a distance of 1.7 k.m from Vedimara to Naalvazhi junction.

The enquiry report called for from the Secretary, RTA has not yet been received. Therefore the renewal application cannot be considered and disposed of at present. Therefore the matter is **Adjourned** and it will be considered at any rate in the next meeting of this authority, after exploring

the feasibility of deviating the route without offending the approved scheme under application for variation, if any, by the permit holder.

Item.No.59 **V4/KL 24072404253835/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Renewal of regular permit **granted**.

Item.No.60 **V4/KL 240807842102062/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.61 **V3/KL 24092047791284/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.62 **V3/KL 24121682020426**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.63 **V3/KL 24121862357907/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.64 **V3/E-1003617/2025/E**

Heard the applicant. Renewal and replacement **granted**.

Item.No.65 **V4/KL 240911T1205056/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Delay **condoned**. Renewal **granted**.

Item.No.66 **V1/KL 24072019759150/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.67 **V1/KL 24122773426352/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.68 **V1/KL 24122613261175/2024/E**

Heard. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage No. KL 05W 1277 operating on the route Karukapally – Kaloor stand via Kolencherry, Karuthedathupadi, Karimugal, Tripunithura etc. This route overlaps the notified route Ernakulam - Muvattupuzha from Hill Palace junction to Tripunithura and Vyttila. Published in G.O(P)No.05/2017/Trans dated 21.02.2017. This is a saved permit under the scheme. As per the provisions of the said scheme variation of conditions of permit including transfer of permit from the permit holder to any other person is prohibited. Therefore the application is **rejected**.

Item.No.69 **V4/KL 24070998274374/2024/E**

Heard. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage No. KL 10 AR 1018 operating on the route North Paravur – Vyttila hub. The said permit is a saved permit under the scheme of nationalisation published in notification G.O(P)No. 27/2023/Trans. Dated 27.11.2023. No variation of conditions of permit is permitted in respect of private services so saved. The change in the name of the permit holder would amount to variation of condition of the permit, which is not permitted by this scheme. Therefore the application is **rejected**.

Item.No.70 **V1/949533/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to the payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.71 **V1/KL 24082364051725/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 39 2023 on the route Kanjiramattom – Kaloor

via Puthiyakavu, Thripunithura, Vyttila. This is a saved permit by notification No. 05/2017/Trans. Dated 21.02.2017 in relation to the route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha.

Permits which existed as on 21.02.2017 overlapping on the notified route Ernakulam - Muvattupuzha were saved and permitted to continue service by notification G.O(P) No. 05/2017/Trans. Dated 21.02.2017, subject to the condition that such permits shall not be varied or transferred in favour of any other operator. This is one of such permits so saved by the said notification. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.72 **V1/KL 24122673256206/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to Payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.73 **V1/KL 241226532551211/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Transfer of permit (DEATH) is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.74 **V1/KL 24122693254407/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Transfer of permit (DEATH) is **permitted** subject to clearance of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.75 **V1/KL 24030103616965/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 41 1947 operating on the route Chottanikkara – Aluva via Tripunithura, Menaka etc.

Permits which existed as on 21.02.2017 overlapping on the notified route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha were saved and permitted to continue service by notification G.O(P) No. 05/2017/Trans. Dated 21.02.2017,

subject to the condition that such permit shall not be varied or transferred in favour of any other operator. This is one of such permits so saved by the said notification. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.76 **V1/KL 25010925329970/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.77 **V1/KL 24080581801658/2024/E**

Heard the applicant. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.78 **V2/KL 24121942401046/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. The route Perumbadappu – Chottanikkara overlaps the notified route Ernakulam - Muvattupuzha from Thiruvankulam to Karingachira hitting the approved scheme.

Permits which existed as on 21.02.2017 overlapping on the notified route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha were saved and permitted to continue service by notification G.O(P) No. 05/2017/Trans. Dated 21.02.2017, subject to the condition that such permit shall not be varied or transferred in favour of any other operator. This is one of such permits so saved by the said notification. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.79 **V2/KL 24122092644647/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.80 **V2/KL 24122012663201/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. The application is for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 18 H 4320 permitted to operate on the route Brahmapuram – Panangad via Amabalamugal, Tripunithura, Petta, Madavana, Vyttila. There is an overlapping on the notified route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha for a distance of 4 kms from Hill Palance junction to Pettah. This is a permit saved under notification G.O(P) No. 05/2017/Trans. Dated 21.02.2017, subject to the condition that such permits shall not be varied or transferred in favour of any other operator. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.81**V2/KL 24080752025950/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. The existing route Puthukalavattom – Chottanikara overlaps the notified route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha from Vyttila to Thiruvamkulam hitting the approved scheme.

Permits which existed as on 21.02.2017 overlapping on the notified route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha were saved and permitted to continue service by notification G.O(P) No. 05/2017/Trans. Dated 21.02.2017, subject to the condition that such permit shall not be varied or transferred in favour of any other operator. This is one of such permits so saved by the said notification. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.82**V2/KL 24122152734818/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.83**V2/KL 24072300440666s/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 42Q 4293. The existing permit in respect of the route Munambam – High Court junction is a permit saved by notification No. G.O(P) No. 27/2023/Trans. Dated 27.11.2023. Permits so saved are

permitted to continue service subject to the condition that the permit shall not be varied in any manner. The permit was granted in favour of the existing permit holder and any change in the name of permit holder will amount to a variation of condition of permit which is impermissible by the provisions of the said scheme. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.84**V2/KL 24022229282063/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.85**V2/KL 24073171193099/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 45C 3006 operating on the route Njarakkal – High Court junction.

The transfer of permit is from the name Sri.Vinod P.V to Sri. Kuldeep M. The said permit was saved subject to the condition that the conditions of permit shall not be varied (G.O(P)No.27/2023/Trans. Dated 27.11.2023)s. Change of name of the permit holder is a variation of condition of the permit, which is impermissible as per the provisions of the approved scheme. Therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.86**V3/KL 24111988021884/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.87**V3/KL 24120707833068/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.88**V2/KL 24120509593413/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. This is an application for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage No. KL 52D 6066 permitted to operate on the route Aluva – Choondi, which is a saved permit under the scheme of nationalisation relating to the route Ernakulam – Muvattupuzha (G.O(P)No.5/2017/Trans dated 21..02.2017. Transfer of permit so saved is barred from being transferred to any other operator therefore the application for transfer of permit is **rejected**.

Item.No.89**V3/KL 24121011061033/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.90**V3/KL 24052151880026/2023/E**

Considered the application for transfer of permit once again. The permit holder is Smt. K. Saritha and the proposed transferee is Sri.Libin Augustine. The joint application was submitted by Sri.T.K. Kripalini, Power of Attorney Holdedr of Smt. K. Saritha and proposed transferee. In this case the following matters are to be ascertained.

1. The circumstance under which the permit holder executed a Power of Attorney in favour of Sri.T.K. Kripalini.
2. The present whereabouts of the permit holder Smt. Saritha
3. Whether the bus service is still run and operated by the permit holder herself or by someone else.
4. Whether the Power of Attorney itself is lawfully executed with reference to stamp value, contents and attestation.

5. Whether any statement under Rule 178 (2) has been made by the parties stating the premium, payment or other considerations arising out of the transfer is to pass or has passed between them and the nature and amount of any such premium, payment or other consideration.
6. Whether the enquiry officer has enquired into the bonafidess of the proposed transfer with reference to the agreement of transfer executed by them.

The matter is **Adjourned** until after the above matters are clarified and compared with by the parties to the joint application.

Item.No.91

V3/KL 24111126545440/2024/E

Heard the applicants. The transfer of permit is **Adjourned**. and the matter will be considered only after the renewal of permit is effected.

Item.No.92

V3/KL 07 BP 6696/2023/E

Heard the applicants. The transfer of permit (death) is **permitted** subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.93

V3/KL 24122493132228/2024s/E

Heard the applicants. This is an application for transfer of permit. It is an inter district permit, a portion of which lies in Alappuzha district. Transfer of permit can be allowed if only R.T.A Alappuzha directs that the endorsement shall continue as specified in Rule 178 (5) ©) of the KMV Rules. No such direction has been received or called for from the R.T.A Alappuzha. The Secretary may call for **concurrence**. Therefore the consideration of the application is **Adjourned**.

Item.No.94

V3/KL 24030501951475/2023/E

Heard the applicants. The transfer of permit is **permitted** subject to payments of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.95**V4/KL 24072249850980/2024/E**

Heard. The application is for transfer of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 57 E 7833 **permitted** to operate on the route Kadamakuddy – North Paravur – Vyttila hub. This is a saved permit under the notification No. G.O(P)No. 27/2023/Trans. Dated 27.11.2023.

Permits which existed as on 30.01.2023 overlapping on the notified route North Paravur – Vyttila hub were saved and **permitted** to continue service by the said notification subject to the condition that the permit shall not be varied. Change of name of permit holder is also a variation of condition of permit, which is not permitted by the said scheme. Hence application is **rejected**.

Item.No.96**V4/KL 24080742100266/2024/E**

Heard. This is an application for transfer permit in respect of stage carriage No.KL 7BE 9599 permitted to operate on the route Manakkakadavu – Mattaanchery, the permit of which is valid up to 23.11.2025. The permit holder died on 25.12.2022. Intimation of death was made in time. But application for transfer of permit (death) was filed only on 07.12.2023. Therefore there is a delay of 8 months in making the application for transfer of permit (death). The enquiry as to whether the vehicle was operated by the successors during the period of 8 months was conducted and it was found that the vehicle was regularly operating on the permitted route, of course, unauthorisedly as evidenced by various e-challans issued by the field officers. The unauthorised operation has to be dealt with under the provisions of Section 86 (5) of the Act r/w the provisions of Rule 186 of KMV Rules.

All the procedures in connection with the transfer of permit (death) including production of legal heir ship certificate/consent of survivors duly attested by Notary Public etc.have been complied with and the matter is placed before this authority for granting the permit.

Having considered the circumstances, such as the death of the permit holder and the circumstances which led to the undue delay in making the application for transfer of permit (death), the unauthorised operation by the legal claimants, it is decided after hearing the proposed transferee to recover a sum of ₹ 25,000/- only under the provisions of Rule 186 of the KMV Rules. Besides. The present possessor is liable to clear the dues on account of e-challans also.

Transfer of permit (death) is **permitted** subject to payment of above said sum of money and penalty on account of e-challans.

Item.No.97

V4/KL 2410070061617/2024/E

Heard the applicants. The transfer of permit is **permitted**subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.98

V4/KL 24122823524920/2024/E

Heard the applicants. The transfer of permit is **permitted**subject to payment of all government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.99

V4/KL 240808232211738/2024/E

Heard the applicants. This is an application for transfer of permit of the stage carriage No. KL 39 7979 permitted to operate on the route Pallithodu – Mundamvely as inter district moffusil service.

Transfer of permit cannot be permittedunless the R.T.A Alappuzha grants its endorsement. So far endorsement has not been received.

Therefore Secretary shall call for the **endorsement/concurrence**. If the said route falls in Alappuzha District for a distance exceeding 20 KM secretary also make a report on the permitted route with intermediate stations and the distance falling in Alappuzha District and Ernakulam District.

Item.No.100**V4/975796/2024/E**

Heard. The application for transfer of permit will be taken up for consideration only after the permit is renewed and issued on application, if any.

Item.No.101**V4/KL24012324797322/2024/E**

Heard. Transfer of permit (death) **permitted** subject to the payment of all Government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.102**V1/KL25010975311666/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit **permitted** subject to the payment of all Government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.103**V2/KL24122813546314/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit **permitted** subject to the payments of all Government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.104**V3/KL24021227718806/2024/E**

Heard the applicants. Transfer of permit **permitted** subject to the payment of all Government dues on account of check reports, e-challans and contribution to Kerala Motor Transport Workers Welfare Fund.

Item.No.105**07N1/437/2023/KL 07**

Heard the applicant. Request **allowed**.

Item.No.106

V1/KL24122713419021/2024/E

Heard the applicant. Replacement of vehicle **allowed**.

Item.No.107

V1/12499/2022/E

Heard the applicant.

1. This is a clear case of misrepresentation of facts and untenable grounds for suspension of permit brought up before the R.T.A. and on being so misguided, this authority suspended the permit under such extraneous considerations. The appellate authority has rightly set aside the said order. This authority does not want to take any further action against the permit holder on the basis of the unfounded and baseless allegation levelled against the permit holder.
2. This authority is not inclined to proceed on the basis of F.I.R filed by Elamakara Police since that matter is the prerogative of the prosecution.
3. The application for transfer of permit can be considered and disposed of only after renewal of permit. Hence **Adjourned**.

Item.No.108

V1/974453/2024/E

Heard the applicant. Decision has already been taken by this authority. Any person aggrieved by the said decision is at liberty to seek alternative remedy under the M.V. Act.

Item.No.109

V2/869124/2024/E

Heard the complainant. This is a case of unauthorised curtailment of normal trips of a stage carriage service in respect of bus with registration mark KL 41 D 3618. The complaint filed by Sri.Damodaran seems to be genuine. The enquiry conducted by an authorised officer also disclosed that

the curtailment of trip by the above said vehicle is not uncommon. This authority is convinced that the curtailment of trip in contravention of the conditions of the permit has been committed by the permit holder. Therefore issue show cause notice to the permit holder as to why the permit of the vehicle should not be suspended for a period of one month. The matter shall be again placed before this authority to pursue further action.

Item.No.110

V4/KL24100907550874/2024/E

Ratified the action of Secretary RTA Ernakulam

Item.No.111

J/KL07CK9539/2023/E

This is a case where the Secretary, R.T.A has power under delegation to entertain a belated application for renewal of permit under Section 81 of the Act.(See Rule 133 (1) (f) of the KMV Rules)

Item.No.112

M3/28/2024/E

R.T.A Secretary is directed to examine whether the R.T.A is the authority competent to grant and issue licence to retro fitment centres.

Under what circumstance the Secretary has under taken to grant such licence in this case is to be clarified by the secretary himself.

Item.No.113

V6/19772/2024/E

The traffic arrangement approved provisionally by the Secretary R.T.A in view of the re-arrangement of traffic has proved to be practical and beneficial to the travelling public. Therefore it can continue for the time being. However the matter can be placed before the Traffic Regulatory Committee for examination and necessary recommendations.

Item.No.114

All action taken by secretary, RTA Ernakulam under delegated powers are **ratified.**

Item.No.115

Request for extension of time to submit detailed report regarding Aluva – Vadakkumpuram scheme is **allowed**.

Item.No.116

Next meeting on 10-06-2025

Sd/-

Sri. NSK. Umesh IAS,
District Collector and Chairman RTA Ernakulam

Sd/-

Dr. Vaibhav Saxena IPS,
District Police Chief , Ernakulam Rural, Aluva and member RTA, Ernakulam

Sd/-

Sri. Anoop Varkey,
Deputy Transport Commissioner (Law)CZ –II, Ernakulam and member RTA,
Ernakulam