

**DECISION OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, KANNUR**

**MEETING HELD ON 19.07.2023 AT 11.00 AM**

**Present:-1.Sri. S. CHANDRASEKHAR,**

**IAS, District Collector and Chairman,  
RTA Kannur.**

**2. Smt. HEMALATHA.M. IPS**

**District Police Chief, kannur Rural.  
Member RTA Kannur.**

**3. Sri. R. Rajeev Deputy Transport Commissioner,**

**North Zone, Kozhikode  
Member RTA Kannur.**

**Item No.1**

Heard. This item is placed for reconsideration of the Fresh Regular Permits granted as LSOS by this authority in the previous RTA Boards, based on the latest Government Notification regarding the modified scheme. The Government of Kerala, via notification G.O.(P) No. 13/2023/TRANS dated 03.05.2023, exercised its powers under sub-section (2) of section 100 of the Motor Vehicle Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988), to approve a scheme of Road Transport notification issued under G.O. (P 42/2009/Tran. Dated 14th July 2009 and published as SRO No. 608/2009 in the Kerala Gazette Extraordinary No. 1272 dated 14th July 2009. According to this notification, Sl No. 4, the existing valid regular permits as of 14th July 2009, in operation with trips and vehicles of the private stage carriage operators, will be permitted to operate as Ordinary Service, subject to certain conditions prescribed within it. The timings for these permits are not settled. These permits are not yet issued. It is clear that as per the new notification, private stage carriage service is restricted to Ordinary Service, and no LSOS can be allowed for the private stage carriage service. Hence, the Secretary RTA is directed to settle the timing LSOS permits **granted** in the previous RTA as Ordinary Services.

### **Item No.2**

This item pertains to the consideration of the request for sanctioning a KSRTC Bus Stop in front of Elayavoor Corporation Zonal Office. The request has been received from the Kerala Senior Citizen Forum. The Field officer reported that there is currently an ordinary bus stop in front of Elayavoor Corporation Zonal Office, and all ordinary buses stop at this bus stop. Natives in this region, who live within the Kannur Corporation limits, have to regularly contact the Corporation office. The present ordinary bus stop in this region is very useful for the natives. There is no bus bay facility at this bus stop at the moment. Therefore, the request can only be considered after constructing a bus bay at this stop. During the meeting, KSRTC informed that a bus stop already exists. The Field officer prepared the report without consulting KSRTC. Hence, the matter was **adjourned**, directing the secretary, RTA, to obtain a specific report and place it in the next RTA meeting.

### **Item No.3**

This item addresses the difficulties caused by the traffic reforms introduced by the Traffic Regulatory Committee in the Payyannur Municipality. The Field officer reported that sufficient time is required for every operator conducting service on the route after the deviation. Hence, permission is **granted** to conduct service on the deviated route with existing timings.

### **Revoke Agenda Item No. 4**

After reviewing the request of the Secretary RTA Kannur, it is understood that the variation of the permit was granted without knowledge that the permit holder had expired. Hence, the **grant of permit variation stands revoked**.

### **Item No. 5**

Upon reviewing the request of the Secretary, it is understood that the transfer of permit was granted to the applicant as per the decision of this authority dated 28/10/2021, vide item No. 75. Although the decision to grant the permit was communicated to the applicant with the direction to produce current records of the stage carriage within one month, the grantee failed to produce the records within the prescribed time. Hence, the **grant of the transfer of permit stands revoked**.

### **Item No. 6**

Upon reviewing the request of the Secretary, it is understood that the transfer of permit was granted to the applicant as per the decision of this authority dated 09/12/2019, vide item No. 69. Although the decision to

grant the permit was communicated to the applicant with the direction to produce current records of the vehicle within one month, the grantee failed to produce the records of the stage carriage within the prescribed time. Hence, the grant of the **transfer of permit stands revoked.**

#### **Item No. 7**

Upon reviewing the request of the Secretary, it is understood that the transfer of permit was granted to the applicant as per the decision of this authority dated 28/10/2021, vide item No. 37. Although the decision to grant the permit was communicated to the applicant with the direction to produce current records of the vehicle within one month, the grantee failed to produce the records of the stage carriage within the prescribed time. Hence, the grant of **the transfer of permit stands revoked.**

#### **Fresh Permits - Item No. 8**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Kannur-Kolithattu(LSOS) (via) Melechova, Koodali, Chalod, Kolappa, Irikkur, Padiyoor, Iritty, Madathil, Vallithode, Mattini, Punnad, Mattannur, Edayannur. The enquiry officer reported that the major portion of the proposed route passes through rural village areas connecting Iritty, the Taluk headquarters, and Kannur, the district headquarters. Kolithattu and Mattini are very remote places just apart from Vallithode, and bus traveling facilities are rare at present. As the proposed route passes through Mattannur, the center of Kannur International Airport, the service will be useful for passengers traveling to the Airport as well. Melechova to Kannur Civil Station Jn, a distance of 3 km, lies on NH and the notified route sector, which is within the permissible limit of the Government Notification relating to the scheme of nationalization. The LSOS facility applied for will be feasible for long-distance passengers.

During the meeting, KSRTC filed an objection. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service.

Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected.**

#### **Item No.9**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Chapparapadavu-Taliparamba-Panniyoor (via) Chiravakku, Manna, Poovam, Pallivayal, Panniyoor, Kalikkadav,

Padepppegad, Madakkad, Chapparappadavu, Kooveri. The enquiry officer reported that there are no other direct services from Panniyoor to Chapparapadavu via Madakkad. This service would be beneficial to the traveling public and students. Hence, a regular permit on the Route Chapparapadavu-Taliparamba-Panniyoor (via) Chiravakku, Manna, Poovam, Pallivayal, Panniyoor, Kalikkadav, Padepppegad, Madakkad, Chapparappadavu, Kooveri, as an ordinary service, is granted, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision, failing which the sanction will be **revoked** without further notice.

#### **Item No.10**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Mavunthattu-Jayagiri-Payyavoor-Taliparamba (via) Arivilanjapoil, Udayagiri, Karthikapuram, Poovanchal, Arangam, Alakkode, Karuvanchal, Vellad, Kudiyanmala, Chemberi, Pulikurumba, Naduvil, Thavakunnu, Oduvalli, Manna, and Chiravakku. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed route connects major places in the hill area to the Taluk headquarters at Taliparamba. Introducing this service to this area would be beneficial for the traveling public and students. Hence, a regular permit on the Route Mavunthattu-Jayagiri-Payyavoor-Taliparamba (via) Arivilanjapoil, Udayagiri, Karthikapuram, Poovanchal, Arangam, Alakkode, Karuvanchal, Vellad, Kudiyanmala, Chemberi, Pulikurumba, Naduvil, Thavakunnu, Oduvalli, Manna, and Chiravakku as an ordinary service is granted, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision, failing which the sanction will be **revoked** without further notice.

#### **Item No.11**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Kudiyanmala-Taliparamba via Ashankavala, Karuvanchal, Alakkode, Oduvallythattu, Manna, Chiravakku. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed route connects major places in the hill area to the Taluk headquarters at Thaliparamba. Introducing this service to this area would be beneficial for the traveling public and students. Hence, a regular permit on the Route Kudiyanmala-Taliparamba via Ashankavala, Karuvanchal, Alakkode, Oduvallythattu, Manna, Chiravakku as an ordinary service is granted, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision, failing which the sanction will be **revoked** without further notice.

#### **Item No.12**

Heard. This is an application to reconsider the adjourned application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Telup-

Manakkayi-Mattannur-Sivapuram-Periyathil (via) Thayyil moola, Perincheri, Kayani, Uruvachal, Chavassery, 21st Mile, Sivapuram, Ayyallur, Kottaram, Kooranmukku, Chavassery for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. The application was considered in the RTA held on 07/03/2023, vide item No. 33, and the following decision was taken: "This is an application for a regular permit to operate on the route Telup-Manakkayi-Mattannur-Sivapuram-Periyathil (via) Thayyilmoola, Perincheri, Kayani, Uruvachal, Chavassery, 21st Mile, Sivapuram, Ayyallur, Kottaram, Kooran- mukku, Chavassery. It is reported that the major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through rural village areas, connecting Mattannur Municipal town and the center of Kannur Airport. Telup, Thayyilmoola, Perincheri, Manakkayi, Periyathil, Naduvangad, Ayyallur are very remote places, and bus traveling facilities are rare through these rural places. At present, the main business center, hospital facilities, higher educational institutions, courts, and offices are only at Mattannur. So there is a real need for the promotion of bus services through this proposed route portion. Hence **adjourned** for a detailed report."

During the meeting, KSRTC filed an objection that the applied permit is beyond the permissible limit of overlapping. The detailed route inquiry report of the field officer states that the proposed route, with a length of 39 km, overlaps with the notified route for a distance of 3 km from Mele chovva to Kannur Civil Station, which is objectionable as it exceeds the permissible limit of 5% of the total route length, stipulated in clause 5(c) of G.O(P) No. 42/2009/Tran, Page 8 of 59 dated 14.07.2009. The representative of KSRTC has also submitted an objection mentioning the above violation. Hence, the application for a fresh permit is prima facie not maintainable and is **rejected**.

**Item No.13:**

Heard. This is an application to reconsider the adjourned application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Chandanakampara-Thalassery route (via) Paisakari, Payyavoor, Ulikkal, Iritty, Mattannur, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur, as LSOS, for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. The application was considered in the RTA meeting held on 07/03/2023, under item No. 33, and the following decision was reached: "Heard. This is an application for a regular permit to operate on the Chandanakampara-Thalassery route (via) Paisakari, Payyavoor, Ulikkal, Iritty, Mattannur, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur as LSOS. The enquiry report does not provide sufficient information about the frequency of stage carriage services on the Thalassery-Iritty route portion. A frequency report, including vehicle numbers and schedules, including KSRTC services, is mandatory for establishing timings during the timing conference. Otherwise, clashes among enroute operators could occur, leading to conflicts among the crew, rash and negligent driving, and adverse effects on road safety, potentially increasing road accidents. The LSOS facility applied to the route will bypass certain local bus stops and is primarily suitable for long-distance passengers. The report does not address the convenience of short-distance

travelers on the proposed route and the needs of existing local passengers. Therefore, the Secretary RTA is directed to make necessary arrangements to investigate the aforementioned facts and present a specific report before the next RTA meeting. Consequently, the matter is adjourned."

During the meeting, KSRTC filed an objection. According to the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS).

Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

#### **Item No.14:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Manikkadavu-Vaniyappara-Valathode (via) Manippara, Nuchiyad, Ulikkal, Thanthode, Vattiyanthode, Madathil, Edoor, Karikkottakkari, Vellithode, Angadikkadavu. The enquiry officer reported that the major portion of the proposed route for this fresh permit passes through a rural village area and settled farming centers connecting Iritty, the Taluk headquarters. Bus travel facilities are currently scarce on this proposed route. Promoting bus services on this route would undoubtedly be highly beneficial to the traveling public and students' community.

Hence, a regular permit on the Manikkadavu-Vaniyappara-Valathode route (via) Manippara, Nuchiyad, Ulikkal, Thanthode, Vattiyanthode, Madathil, Edoor, Karikkottakkari, Vellithode, Angadikkadavu as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and the production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failure to comply will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

#### **Item No.15:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Peravoor-Aralam Farm (via) Kakkengad, Iritty, Madathil, Edoor, Karaparamba, Athikkal, Keezhpally, Kakkuva, Velimanam. The enquiry officer reported that the major portion of the proposed route for this fresh permit passes through a rural village area and settled farming centers connecting Iritty, the taluk headquarters. Bus services are currently very limited through Edoor, Veerpad, Athikkal, Keezhpally, Kakkuva, and Aralam Farm, which is the center of Govt. Central State Farms. There is a real need to promote bus services on this proposed

route for the traveling public and the labor force of Aralam Farm, especially the residents of the farm colonies.

Hence, a regular permit on the Peravoor-Aralam Farm route (via) Kakkengad, Iritty, Madathil, Edoor, Karaparamba, Athikkal, Keezhpally, Kakkuva, Velimanam as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and the production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failure to comply will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.16:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Irikkur-Mattannur (via) Kolappa, Kodolipuram, Maruthayi, Mannurpalam, Kalliyad, Blathur, Thirur, Thermala, Kokkad, Ulikkal, Thanthodu, Iritty, Kakkengad, Thillenkkeri, Uliyil, Padiyoor. The enquiry officer reported that the major portion of the proposed route for this fresh permit passes through very rural village areas connecting Iritty, the Taluk headquarters. The route portion Irikkur-Kalliyad-Blathur-Tirur-Thermala-Ulikkal is in very remote places, and bus travel facilities are currently very limited. Porora is also a remote place, close to Mattannur, and only bus service is available at present. Irikkur-Mattannur (via) Kodolipuram and Mannur and Thillengeri-Uliyil are also underserved route portions. There is a real need to promote bus services on these routes. The application may be considered based on public demand.

Hence, a regular permit on the Irikkur-Mattannur route (via) Kolappa, Kodolipuram, Maruthayi, Mannurpalam, Kalliyad, Blathur, Thirur, Thermala, Kokkad, Ulikkal, Thanthodu, Iritty, Kakkengad, Thillenkkeri, Uliyil, Padiyoor, Porora as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and the production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failure to comply will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.17:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Iritty-Mamanam Temple (via) Kakkeyangad, Palapuzha, Valayanchal, Kanichar, Manathana, Thundi, Kolanchuram, Irikkur, Ambayathode. The inquiry officer reported that the major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through a rural interior area and settled farming centers. The Ambayathode-Iritty-Irikkur route portion is generally well-served. The Aralam farm area is under the control of Aralam Central and State Farm authority, a Government undertaking unit, and Government-aided colonies. Farm schools, dispensaries, etc., are under the control of farm authorities, making it a protected area as well. Currently, the only road facility is through Kakkengad and Palapuzha, just 4 km from Kakkengad. On the other border of the farm lies the Odanthode river, and operating buses through

Manathana up to Odenthode is the only option. There are currently no bus services through Kanichar and Valayanchal, as the section from Kunderi-Valayanchal up to Odanthode, a 3km stretch, is undeveloped. A new bridge and approach road are under construction over the river. So, the road fitness certificate from the PWD authority is needed in this case. Furthermore, as the farm area is under the control of a Government undertaking concern, permission from the farm authority is also necessary.

Hence, a regular permit on the Iritty-Mamanam Temple route (via) Kakkeyangad, Palapuzha, Valayanchal, Kanichar, Manathana, Thundi, Kolanchuram, Irikkur, Ambayathode as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings & production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failure to comply will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.18:**

Heard. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Kottiyoor Temple-Kannur (via) Kelakam, Manathana, Kanhirapuzha, Peravoor, Nidumpoil, Koothuparamba, Mambaram, Chalod, Mattannur, Iritty as LSOS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03. 05. 2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS).

During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators objected to the application, stating that the applied route is oversaturated. According to the report, the necessity of the new permit is not established.

Hence, the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Kottiyoor Temple-Kannur (via) Kelakam, Manathana, Kanhirapuzha, Peravoor, Nidumpoil, Koothuparamba, Mambaram, Chalod, Mattannur, Iritty as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.19:**

Heard. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Adakkathode-Thalassery (via) Kelakam, Manathana, Thundiyl, Peravoor, Nedumpoil, Kolad, Kannavam, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. The inquiry officer reported that this is an application for a Fresh Regular Permit



on the route Adakkathode-Thalassery (via) Kelakam, Manathana, Thundiyl, Peravoor, Nedumpoil, Kolad, Kannavam, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur as LSOS. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections to this proposal. According to the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.20:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Chedikkulam-Peravoor (via) Koottakalma, Edoor, Madathil, Iritty, Kakkeyangad, Thillenkeri, Uliyil, Punnad, Jabbarkadavu, Aralam, Payam. The inquiry officer reported that the major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through a rural village area and settled farming centers connecting Iritty, the taluk headquarters, and the nearest township. The main business center for hill producers, hospital facilities, higher education institutions, and government offices are only in Iritty town, and local residents travel to Iritty for their every personal purpose. Bus traveling facilities are very rare through these proposed route portions at present. Hence, there is a real need for the promotion of bus services on this proposed route. Hence, a regular permit on the route Chedikkulam-Peravoor (via) Koottakalma, Edoor, Madathil, Iritty, Kakkeyangad, Thillenkeri, Uliyil, Punnad, Jabbarkadavu, Aralam, Payam as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision, failing which the sanction will be revoked without further notice.

**Item No.21:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Peralassery Temple-Thalassery-Kottiyoor Temple (via) Mambaram, Kayalode, Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, Nidumpoil, Peravoor, Manathana, Kelakam as LSOS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.22:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Palathumkadavu-Thalassery (via) Kacherikkadavu, Kiliyanthara, Iritty, Mattannur, Kuthuparamba as LSOS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.23:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Chedikulam-Thalassery-Keezhpally (via) Aralam, Vellarivayal, Karaparamba, Edoor, Veerpad, Athikkal, Madathil, Iritty, Mattannur, Kuthuparamba as LSOS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.24:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Kottiyoor Temple-Thalassery (via) Kelakam, Thondiyil, Peravoor, Nidumpoil, Kolayad, Kuthuparamba as LSOS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit for conducting Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.25:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Thaliparamba-Chembanthotty-Nediyenga (via) Trichambaram, SS College, Chiravakku, Manna, Block Office Junction, Valakai, Pollyad, Chuzhali Temple, Niduvloor, Vennakkal, Chengalayi, Nellikunnu, Pallamkavala, Sreekandapuram, Odathupalam, Nediyanga, Karayathumchal, Puranjai, Chemberi, Vimaljyothi Engineering College, Pooparamba, Chundaparamba, Iycheri. The inquiry officer reported that the introduction of this new service is more beneficial to the traveling public, as a major portion of the service is underserved. This proposal connects all these places to the Taluk headquarters at Thaliparamba. The route portion Thrichambaram-Chiravak-2kms lies in the notified route GO(P) No 42/2009/Trans dated 14/07/2009, which is less than 5% of the route length, which is 74.5 kms. Hence, a regular permit on the route Thaliparamba-Chembanthotty-Nediyenga (via) Trichambaram, SS College, Chiravakku, Manna, Block Office Junction, Valakai, Pollyad, Chuzhali Temple, Niduvloor, Vennakkal, Chengalayi, Nellikunnu, Pallamkavala, Sreekandapuram, Odathupalam, Nediyanga, Karayathumchal, Puranjai, Chemberi, Vimaljyothi Engineering College, Pooparamba, Chundaparamba, Iycheri, as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision, failing which the sanction will be revoked without further notice.

**Item No.26:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Kalluvayal-Thaliparamba-Alakkode-Eattupara (via) Padiyoor, Iritty, Irikkur, Sreekandapuram, Velakkayi, Chuzhali, Chempanthotty, Naduvil, Pothukundu, Karuvanchal, Chemberi, Nellikutty, Payyavoor, Ulikkal. The inquiry officer reported that the major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through a very rural village area and settled farming center connecting Iritty and Thaliparamba, both Taluk headquarters. Bus traveling facilities are so rare towards Eattupara, Chemberi, Pothukundu, Alakkode, Chembanthotty, Chuzhali area at present. The promotion of bus services through this proposed route is surely much feasible for the traveling public and the student community. Chiravakku to Thaliparamba 1 km lies on NH and the nationalized route sector, which is within the permissible limit of the Government notification on the scheme of nationalization. Hence, a regular permit on the route Kalluvayal-Thaliparamba-Alakkode-Eattupara (via) Padiyoor, Iritty, Irikkur, Sreekandapuram, Velakkayi, Chuzhali, Chempanthotty, Naduvil, Pothukundu, Karuvanchal, Chemberi, Nellikutty, Payyavoor, Ulikkal, as an ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from

the date of receipt of this decision, failing which the sanction will be revoked without further notice.

**Item No.27:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Cherupuzha Kannur (via) Therthali, Alakkode, Karuvanchal, Naduvil, Chemberi, Payyavoor, Iritty, Mattannur, Chalode, Eachur as LSOS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LSOS is **rejected**.

**Item No.28:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Peravoor-Thalassery (via) Thrikkadaripoil, Maloor, Sivapuram, Uruvachal, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. This application was earlier considered by the RTA on 07/03/2023, vide item No. 41, but the decision was as follows: "Heard. This is an application for a regular permit to operate on the route Peravoor-Thalassery (via) Thrikkadaripoil, Maloor, Sivapuram, Uruvachal, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur. The enquiry report is not sufficient to assess the frequency of stage carriage services through the route portion - Thalassery-Kuthuparamba. A frequency report with vehicle numbers and times, including KSRTC services, is compulsory; otherwise, clashes among enroute operators may occur, leading to conflicts between the crew, rash and negligent driving, affecting road safety and potentially causing an increase in road accidents. Hence, the Secretary RTA is directed to make necessary arrangements to inquire into the above-mentioned facts and place a specific report before the next RTA." After rectifying the above defects, the matter is put up before this authority for reconsideration. On scrutiny of the application, it is found that the major focus of the applicant's operation is on the well-served sector Kuthuparamba – Thalassery with a time gap of 2 to 5 minutes only, while a nominal number of trips are provided to ill-served areas. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Kuthuparamba – Thalassery region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the enroute operators and traffic congestion, which, in turn, will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.29:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Ambayathod-Iritty-Thalassery (via) Kelakam, Thondiyil, Peravoor, Nidumpoil, Kolayad, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur, Uruvachal, Mattannur, Punnad, Vallithod as LS OS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue.

During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate ordinary service only. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service.

Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LS OS is **rejected**.

**Item No.30:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Kootpuzha-Kannur (via) Vallithod, Iritty, Kakkengad, Thillengeri, Uliyil, Mattannur, Eachur, Melechova as LS OS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LS OS is **rejected**.

**Item No.31:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Ulikkal-Kannur (via) Payyavoor, Sreekandapuram, Irikkur, Chalode, Eachur, Melechova for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. This application was previously considered by the RTA on 07/03/2023, vide item No. 48, and the decision was as follows: "Heard. This is an application for the grant of a regular permit to operate on the route Ulikkal-Kannur (via) Payyavoor, Sreekandapuram, Irikkur, Chalode, Eachur, Melechova. The inquiry report is not sufficient to assess the frequency of stage carriage services through the route portion - Chalode-Kannur. A frequency report with vehicle numbers and times, including

KSRTC services, is compulsory. Otherwise, clashes among enroute operators may occur, leading to conflicts between the crew, rash and negligent driving, affecting road safety and potentially causing an increase in road accidents. Hence, the Secretary RTA is directed to make necessary arrangements to inquire into the above-mentioned facts and place a specific report before the next RTA." After rectifying the above defects, the matter is put up before this authority for reconsideration. Upon scrutiny of the application, it is found that the major focus of the applicant's operation is on the well-served sector Chalode-Kannur with a time gap of 2 to 5 minutes only, while a nominal number of trips are provided to the underserved area. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will upset the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Chalode-Kannur region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which, in turn, will adversely affect the traveling public as well as other road users. During the meeting, both KSRTC and enroute operators strongly objected to the application. Hence, the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.32:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Vayathur Temple-Kannur-Kombanpara (via) Ulikkal, Thanthode, Iritty, Punnad, Mattannur, Chalode, Eachur, Melechova as LS OS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other en-route operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LS OS is **rejected**.

**Item No.33:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for the grant of a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the route Mundayamparamba-Thalassery (via) Edoor, Madathil, Iritty, Chavassery, Mattannur, Uruvachal, Kuthuparamba, as LS OS for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other en-route operators raised serious objections against this proposal. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No. 13/2023/Trans dated 03.05.2023, published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate only in ordinary service. Since the State Government has published the final notification and modified the scheme of route nationalization, the

applicant is not eligible to obtain a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service (LSOS). Hence, the decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit as LS OS is **rejected**.

**Item No.34:**

Heard. Reconsidered. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Thaliparamba, Chundanparamba (via) Sreekandapuram, Payyavoor, Chorukkala, Karimbam, Chengalayi, Ichery, Trichambaram, Muiyyam. The application was considered in the RTA held on 07/03/2023, vide item No. 51, and Adjourned. The decision is given below: "Heard. This is an application for a regular permit for 5 years to operate on the route Thaliparamba, Chundanparamba (via) Sreekandapuram, Payyavoor, Chorukkala, Karimbam, Chengalayi, Ichery, Trichambaram, Muiyyam. The inquiry report is not sufficient to assess the frequency of stage carriage services through the route portion - Chiravakku-Sreekandapuram. A frequency report with vehicle numbers and times, including KSRTC services, is compulsory for setting timing in the timing conference; otherwise, clashes among enroute operators may occur, leading to conflicts between the crew, rash and negligent driving, affecting road safety, and potentially causing an increase in road accidents. Hence, the Secretary RTA is directed to make necessary arrangements to inquire into the above-mentioned facts and place a specific report before the next RTA. Hence Adjourned." As per the detailed report submitted, the enquiry officer reported that the Trichambaram-Chiravakku well served-2kms. Chiravakku-Sreekandapuram-5 mins gap-19kms. Sreekandapuram-Payyavoor-15 mins-10 kms. Payyavoor to Chandanakkampara-22 mins. Thrichambaram-Muayyam-Bavuparamba-15 mins gap. Bavuparamba-Chorukkala at present, no bus services-2kms, and the introduction of this new service is more beneficial to the traveling public, especially people aspiring to taluk headquarters services at Thaliparamba. Hence, a regular permit as ordinary service is **granted** subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failing to do so will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.35:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Puthiyangadi-Thaliparamba-Cherupuzha (via) Padichal, Thimiri, Perumpadavu, Chapparapadavu, Melthurumba, Poovam, Manna, Chiravakku, Kuppam, Pazhayangadi, Thavam, Cherukunnu thara, Keezhara, Vellikkeel, Koovode Junction, Vellikeel Road, Pulipparamba, Lourde Hospital, Trichambaram, Muiyyam, Bavuparamba, Kolmotta, Parassinikkadavu. The enquiry officer reported that the introduction of this new service is more beneficial to the traveling public, especially as this proposal connects all the places to the taluk headquarters at Thaliparamba. The route portion Kuppam – Trichambaram, 4 kms, lies in notified route GO(P) No. 42/2009 Trans dated 14/07/2009,

which is less than 5% of the route length, which is 90.5 kms. Hence, a regular permit on the route Puthiyangadi-Thaliparamba-Cherupuzha (via) Padichal, Thimiri, Perumpadavu, Chapparapadavu, Melthurumba, Poovam, Manna, Chiravakku, Kuppam, Pazhayangadi, Thavam, Cherukunnu thara, Keezhara, Vellikkeel, Koovode Junction, Vellikeel Road, Pulipparamba, Lourde Hospital, Trichambaram, Muyyam, Bavuparamba, Kolmotta, Parassinikkadavu, as ordinary service, is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failing to do so will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.36:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Thalassery-Vadakumbad HS (VIA) Kuyyali, Kolassery, Parakkat. The enquiry officer reported that the route proposed for this fresh permit is a very short route, just 8 km from Thalassery Municipal Town and the Taluk headquarters. Thalassery is the nearest town and the main shopping center, hospital facilities, higher education institutions, government offices, the railway station, and the court are all in Thalassery. Hence, the local public in these areas depend on Thalassery for their every need. These areas are highly populated, and there is a real need for the promotion of bus service along this proposed route. Hence, a regular permit on the route Thalassery-Vadakumbad HS (VIA) Kuyyali, Kolassery, Parakkat, as ordinary service, is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failing to do so will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.37:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Thottummal – Thalassery (via) Perunthattil, Thalassery, Parakkat, Koolibazar. The enquiry officer reported that the route proposed for this fresh permit is a very short route covering interior places. Thottummal, Perunthattil, Vadakkumpad, Kolassery are very nearest areas from Thalassery Municipal Town and the Taluk headquarters. The main shopping center, hospital facilities, higher education institutions, government offices, the railway station, and the court are all in Thalassery. Hence, the local public in these areas depend on Thalassery for their every need. These areas are highly populated, and there is a real need for the promotion of bus service along this proposed route. Hence, a regular permit on the route Thottummal – Thalassery (via) Perunthattil, Thalassery, Parakkat, Koolibazar, as ordinary service, is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failing to do so will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.



**Item No.38:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the inter-district route Valayam-Kolassery (via) Kallachi, Nadapuram, Thooneri, Peringathur, Chokli, Manjodi, Kuyalli. It is reported that the proposed route covers a distance of 16.5 km from Valayam to Nadapuram-Peringathur, which lies in the Kozhikode district under the jurisdiction of Sister RTA Vatakara. Therefore, prior concurrence from Sister RTA Vatakara is required for the consideration of this application. Hence, the Secretary is directed to obtain prior concurrence from Sister RTA Vatakara, specifying the intermediate points on the route and the exact distance of overlap with the notified route within the jurisdiction of RTA Vatakara. The application will then be placed before RTA for reconsideration. The decision on the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **adjourned** to allow for compliance with these directions.

**Item No.39:**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Pothankandam-Iritty (via) Vallipilavu, Padichal, Thotummal, Cherupara, Thimiri, Therthally, Rayarome, Arongham, Alakodu, Karuvanchal, Thavakunnu, Naduvil, Pulikkurumba, Chemberi, Pooparamba, Payyavoor, Nuchiyad, Ulikkal, Thanthodu. The enquiry officer reported that the major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through rural village areas, connecting small towns like Therthalli, Alakkode, Chemberi, and Payyavoor with Iritty in the hill areas. Currently, there are no direct buses on this route, and the introduction of this service would be beneficial to the traveling public, especially students of Vimal Jyothi Engineering Colleges along the route. Hence, a regular permit on the route Pothankandam-Iritty (via) Vallipilavu, Padichal, Thotummal, Cherupara, Thimiri, Therthally, Rayarome, Arongham, Alakodu, Karuvanchal, Thavakunnu, Naduvil, Pulikkurumba, Chemberi, Pooparamba, Payyavoor, Nuchiyad, Ulikkal, Thanthodu as ordinary service is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failing to do so will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.40:**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Kannavam-Thalassery (via) Idumba, Thrikadaripoil, Kunderipoil, Vattoli, Chittariparamba, Sivapuram, Uruvachal, Kuthuparama, Kadirur. The enquiry officer reported that the major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through rural village areas, connecting Kuthuparamba Municipal Town and Thalassery, the taluk headquarters. The route portion from Kannavam to Thrikadaripoil, Maloor, and Uruvachal is generally underserved village areas. The Maloor-Kunderipoil-Mudappathur-Vattoli-Chittariparamba route

portion is entirely in a very remote, interior area. There is a 2 km virgin portion from Mudappathur to Mallannur with no bus service at present. Additionally, a road fitness certificate from the PWD authority is needed in this case. During the meeting, there were no serious objections raised against this application. Hence, a regular permit on the route Kannavam-Thalassery (via) Idumba, Thrikadaripoil, Kunderipoil, Vattoli, Chittariparamba, Sivapuram, Uruvachal, Kuthuparama, Kadirur, as ordinary service, is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision. Failing to do so will result in the revocation of the sanction without further notice.

**Item No.41:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Cherupuzha-Thaliparamba-Arippambra (via) Poovam, Kooveri, Chapparapadavu, Kuppam, Mukkunnu, Koovode, Chudala, Pacheni for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. During the meeting, KSRTC and other existing operators raised serious objections against this application. Upon scrutiny of the application, it was found that the applicant's major focus of operation is on the well-served sector Perumpadavu-Thaliparamba with a time gap of only 5 to 8 minutes, while only a nominal number of trips are provided to underserved areas such as Ambalavattam, Pazhukkatuparambu Colony, etc. This authority believes that granting this permit would disrupt the current equilibrium of timings among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Cherupuzha-Padichal and Perumbadavu-Chapparapadavu, potentially leading to unhealthy competition, traffic congestion, and negatively affecting the traveling public and other road users. Hence, the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.42:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Pulingome-Payyannur (via) Cherupuzha, Padiyottumchal, Vallippilavu, Pothankandam, Velichamthodu, Mathil, Kothaymukku, Railway Station for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. The enquiry officer reported that a major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through rural village areas and settled farming centers connecting Payyannur, the taluk headquarters. Bus travel facilities are very rare on the route portion from Padichal to Vallippilavu to Pothankandam up to Mathil at present. Since the proposed route terminates at Payyannur Railway Station, it would be highly beneficial for the local public and students along the entire route, providing a direct bus travel option to the Railway Station. Additionally, the portion of the route from Kottayimukku to Perumba, which is 2 km long, lies on the NH Nationalized route sector, within the permissible limits of the Government's notification relating to the scheme of nationalization. During the meeting, KSRTC raised some serious objections against this application. The objections were based

on the actual route length of 43 km, leading to objectionable overlap on the route. The representative of KSRTC pointed out that this mistake occurred because the report was prepared by MVI Irirry. He demanded a combined inspection with KSRTC before granting the permit. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Secretary RTA is directed to conduct a detailed enquiry through the field officer in the SRTO Payyannur regarding the above matter as a fresh case and place it before the Next RTA. For the compliance with the above directions, the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.43:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular permit for a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Kozhichal-Pilathara (via) Pulingome, Cherupuzha, Padichal, Kothayamukku, Perumba, Payyannur, Manjakkad, Prappouil, Thirumeni, Thattummel, Cheruppara, Thirumeni, Therthally, Nekli, Pedena, Mathamangalam, Kadannapalli for a period of 5 years from the date of issue. The enquiry officer reported that a major portion of the route proposed for this fresh permit passes through rural village areas and settled farming centers connecting Payyannur, the taluk headquarters, and Pariyaram, the center of Kannur Medical College. Bus travel facilities are very rare on this proposed route at present. Thrumeni and Therthally are remote areas just apart from Cherupuzha town, and Pariyaram is the center of Kannur Medical College. During the COVID pandemic, certain bus services were withdrawn on this proposed route, making the promotion of bus facilities much needed for the traveling public. The portion of the route from Kottayimukku to Perumba, which is 2 km, lies on NH and the notified route sector, which is within the permissible limits of the Government's notification for the scheme of nationalization. During the meeting, KSRTC raised some serious objections against this application. The objections were based on the actual route length of the route, which is 43 km, leading to objectionable overlap on the route. The representative of KSRTC pointed out that this mistake occurred because the report was prepared by MVI Irirry. He demanded a combined inspection with KSRTC before granting the permit. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Secretary RTA is directed to conduct a detailed enquiry through the field officer in the SRTO Payyannur regarding the above matter as a fresh case and place it before the Next RTA. For compliance with the above directions, the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

**Item No.44:**

Heard. This is an application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Perumparambu-Ottapalam. It was previously considered on 07/03/2023, vide item No. 38, and the following decision was made: "Heard. This is an application for a regular permit to operate on the route Anjarakandy Medical College-Thalassery-Mattannur (via) Anjarakandy, Mambram, Kayalode, Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, Uruvachal.

The enquiry report is not sufficient to get a clear picture of the frequency of stage carriage services through the route portion- Thalassery-Kuthuparamba-Mattannur. A frequency report with vehicle numbers and time, including KSRTC services, is compulsory for setting timing in the timing conference; otherwise, it will result in clashes among enroute operators, leading to conflicts between the crew, rash and negligent driving, affecting road safety and potentially increasing road accidents. Hence, the Secretary RTA is directed to make necessary arrangements to inquire about the above-mentioned facts and place a specific report before the next RTA. Hence, adjourned." Upon reviewing the application and the specific report, it is found that the applicant's primary focus of operation is on the well-served sector of Thalassery-Mambram, with a time gap of only 2 to 5 minutes. However, these objections cannot be sustained. During the meeting, several objections were received from organizations of existing operators against this proposal. They stated that the proposed route is well served, and there is no requirement for further permits. This authority believes that granting this permit would disrupt the current equilibrium of timings among the stage carriage operators in the well-served Thalassery-Mambram-Koothuparamba region, potentially leading to unhealthy competition, traffic congestion, and negatively affecting the traveling public and other road users. Hence, the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.45:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 46:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 47:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 48:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 49:**

**Item No. 49:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 50:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 51:**

Heard, and the transfer of the permit is allowed, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 52:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 53:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 54:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 55:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 56:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 57:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 58:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 59:**

Heard, this is an application for the transfer of a permit (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. Balakrishnan.O) in respect of stage carriage S/c KL 13 AE 2122. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the **transfer of the permit (death) is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 60:**

Heard, these are applications for the transfer of permits (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. P C Venugopalan) in respect of stage carriages KL 13 L 459 and transfer of the permit from the name of the legal heir to the name of Sri Nithin Gangadharan. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the transfer of the permit (death) is allowed, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid. In the second application, the Secretary RTA reported that the vehicle is under the possession of the legal heir, which is the 1st applicant, and no premium or payment or other consideration has been exchanged between them, as specified under Sub Rule (2) of Rule 178 of KMVR 1989. The 2nd Applicant is financially sound to conduct the service, and the joint application is genuine and bona fide. Hence, the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 61:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 62:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 63:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 64:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 65:**

Heard, this is an application for the transfer of a permit (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. P C Venugopalan) in respect of stage carriage KL 13 AB 9495. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the **transfer of the permit (death) is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 66:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 67:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 68:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 69:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 70:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 71:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 72:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 73:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 74:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 75:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.



**Item No. 76:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 77:**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 78:**

Heard, this is an application for the transfer of a permit (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. Velayudhan K C in respect of stage carriage KL 56 N 7448. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the **transfer of the permit (death) is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No. 79:**

Heard, this is an application for the transfer of a permit (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. Sunish KV in respect of stage carriage KL 13 AF 6375. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the **transfer of the permit (death) is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

**Item No 80**

Heard, these are applications for the transfer of permits (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Smt. Seena P) in respect of stage carriages KL 13 T 6939 and transfer of the permit from the name of the legal heir to the name of Sri Sahadevan. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the transfer of the permit (death) is allowed, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid. In the second application, the Secretary RTA reported that the vehicle is under the possession of the legal heir, which is the 1st applicant, and no premium or payment or other consideration has been exchanged between them, as specified under Sub Rule (2) of Rule 178 of KMVR 1989. The 2nd Applicant is financially sound to conduct the service, and the joint application is genuine and bona fide.

Hence, the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to the clearance of MVS tax and government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 81**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 82**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 83**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 84**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 85**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 86**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No 87**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No 88**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No 89**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No 90**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No 91**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No 92**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No 93**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No. 94**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

### **Item No. 95**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 96**

Heard, this is an application for the transfer of a permit (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. Sunish KV) in respect of stage carriage KL 58 T 3069. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the **transfer of the permit (due to death) is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 97**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 98**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 99**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 100**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 101**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 102**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 103**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 104**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 105**

Heard, this is an application for the transfer of a permit (filed subsequent to the death of permit holder Sri. JAYARAJAN N.K) in respect of stage carriage KL 58 P 3079. Consent of the other legal heirs for effecting the transfer of the permit is submitted. Hence, the **transfer of the permit (due to death) is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 106**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 107**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Item No. 108**

Heard, and the **transfer of the permit is allowed**, subject to clearance of Mvs.tax and Government dues, if any, production of NOC from the financier if applicable, and remittance of the application fee if not paid.

#### **Permit Variations Item No 109**

Heard. This is an application for the variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 59 M 0369 authorized to operate on the inter-district route Panathur-Kozhikkode Via Kanhangad-Payyannur-Kannur-Thalassery-Vatakara-Koyilandy as LSOS having permit No KL1313-1050-1997 valid up

to 01-05-2017. The permit holder requested the curtailment portion from PANATHUR to PAYYANNUR for complying GO (MS)No13/2023/TRANS DTD 01-072020 AND GO(P) No 13/2023/TRANS/DTD 03-05-2023.

The field officer recommended obtaining a report from the concerned authority, whether it is a takeover permit or not. If it is a takeover permit, the application may be considered accordingly.

During the meeting, KSRTC objected to the variation. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No 13/2023/Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate ordinary service only. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. On an examination of the application, the validity of the permit expired on 01/05/2017.

Hence, the secretary shall conduct an inquiry regarding the validity and takeover of the permit and place the matter before this authority for reconsideration. For the compliance of the above directions, the decision on the application is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No. 110**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 B 8595 (New No KL 86 B 989) permitted on the route Mattol – Service Bank - Kannur HQ hospital (Via) Mattol, Madakkara, Illipuram, Irinavu Road, Haji Road. The permit holder requested variation as 1. Extension from Service Bank to Pazhayangadi Bus stand, Via Mottambram (2 round trip). The enquiry report shows that the proposed arrival time at Kannur 6.05 am is much beneficial to the railway passengers towards Shornur side for the train starting from Kannur. And the proposed arrival time at Pazhayangadi, 7.45 am and 6.18 pm are much beneficial to the railway passengers including students, traveling from Pazhayangadi railway station. Hence the variation of the permit is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 111**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 58 V 1024 plying on the route Thalassery Govt Hq- Vilakkottur- Via Panoor, Manekkara. The permit holder requested variation as an extension from Vilakkottur to Valayam via Kayalottuthazha, Kallunira, a distance of 9 km, and provided one round trip on the route portion Vilakkottu to Valayam without any curtailment on the existing route, trips, and timings. The enquiry officer reported as bus services are very rare on this route portion at present the

newly provided trip by extension will be much feasible to the local public. A portion of the extending route, Kaylottuthazha to Valayam 7 km lies on Kozhikkode District under the jurisdiction of RTA, Vatakara. So the prior concurrence of the RTA Vatakara is needed in this case. The variation is within the permissible limit of Sec 80(3) of M V Act. Hence the variation of the permit is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 112**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 78 A 305 plying on the route Chandanakkampara – Kozhikode Via. Iritty, Thalassery, Vadakara as LSOS. The permit holder requested variation of permit for limiting route length within the limit 140 Km by curtailing the route portion from Ulikkal to Chandanakkampara (22km) and renewal of permit as LS OS.

The enquiry officer reported that as per G.O.(M.S) 22/2020 Trans dated 01/07/2020, the S/C have no right to operate on the route with a route length more than 140 KM. Route length of the permit is 141 KM. Hence the applicant applied for curtailment of route portion from Chandanakkampara to Ulikkal, i.e., 23 Kms. Hence the route length after the proposed curtailment is 118 Km.

During the meeting, KSRTC filed an objection. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No 13/2023/Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate ordinary service only. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service.

Hence renewal of permit and variation are **granted** on the inter-district route Ulikkal –Iritty – Kozhikode Via. Iritty, Thalassery, Vadakara - Alakkode-Kozhikkode Via Oduvally, Manna, Thaliparamba, Kannur, Thalassery, Vatakara as ordinary service.

#### **Item No: 113**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 S 2070 plying on the route Parappuram- Thottilpalam Via Andalurkadavu -Dharmadam-Thalassery-Manhodi-Chokli-Mekkonnu-Monthal Peringathur -Nadapuram-Kuttiyadi. The permit holder requested variation as one additional round trip on the route portion Peringathur to Nadapuram and back without any other changes on the existing route, trips, and timings. The enquiry report

shows that no extension or curtailment is made and no changes in existing operating trips and timings. The newly provided morning trip is surely feasible for the traveling public. Hence the variation of permit is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

**Item No: 114**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of permit in respect of stage carriage SC KL 13 Y 2223 plying on the route Niranthod-Mattannur-Kannur HQ Hospital via Chalode-Pavannoormotta-Mayyil-Kambil-Kattambally-Puthiyatheru-Kannur Nbs-Melechovva-Kudukkimotta. The permit holder requested variation as curtailment of one round trip from Ettayar to Calode, extension of one round trip from Ettayar to Sreekandapuram (14 km), and advancing the halting place from Niranthode to Mayyil by extending the route from Niranthode to Mayyil (4 km). The enquiry report shows that for an extension of one round trip from Ettayar to Sreekandapuram (via) Malappattam Bridge, Kaniyarvayal, as there are only 5 direct buses plying on this route Kannur-Sreekandapuram, hence this extension will be beneficial for the traveling public. The permit holder has applied for halting place advance from Niranthode to Mayyil, as Mayyil is the nearest town in this area, and workshop facility and laborers' accommodation facility are available at this place. Objectionable overlap on the notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same. The extension will not violate the provision of G.O (P) 8/2017/Trans Dated 13.03.2017 of KMV Rules, **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

**Item No: 115**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 S 2002 authorized to operate on the intradistrict route Kannur HQ Hospital-Iritty via Kaltex-Puthiyatheru-Stp Road-Kannadiparamba-Chelerimukku-Munderi Motta-Kudukkimotta-Mattannur-Ulikkal-Payyavur-Sreekandapuram-Irikkur-Chalode. The proposed variation is for (1) curtailing the route portion Chalode to Palottupalli (via) Irikkur, Payyavur, Ulikkal, Iritty up to Palottupalli, a distance of 56 km, and Mattannur to Mattannur Airport, 2 km, and limiting the existing route Kannur HQ Hospital-Kannadiparamba, Munderimotta, Kudukkimotta, up to Mattannur and return (via) Eachur, Melechovva (7) (2) extending from Palottupally to Vembady (4 km) and suggesting one round trip from Mattannur to Vembady.

The field officer reported that the permit holder has applied for variation of permit and proposed curtailing the route Chalode-Irikkur, Sreekandapuram-Payyavoor-Ulikkal-Iritty up to Palottupalli (56 km) and Mattannur to Airport (2 km), and limiting the operation Kannur HQ Hospital, Kannadiparamba, Munderi Motta-Kudukkimotta – Chalode up to Mattannur. An extension is also suggested from Palottupalli to Vembady (4 km) and providing 3 round trips on the route portion Mattannur to Vembady (via) Palottupally by



extension, a very ill-served route just 6 km from Mattannur. In this case, the total length of curtailment comes to 57, which is a violation of Sec 80(3)(1) of MV Act and hence not a preferable matter. On perusal of the objections against the proposed withdrawal of service from portions filed by the traveling public (especially the students' community) and people's representatives, as well as the enquiry report submitted by the field officer, this authority has come to the view that the withdrawal of service from the ill-served portion Chalode-Irikkur, Sreekandapuram-Payyavoor-Ulikkal-Iritty up to Palottupalli will adversely affect the traveling public, especially the students' community. The field officer reported that the variation is a violation of Sec 80(3)(1) of MV Act since the total curtailment is 57 km. Hence, the above application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No: 116**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 11 R 1767 to operate on the intradistrict route Kozhichal-Puthiyangadi-(via) Pulingome, Cherupuzha, Peringome, Arayanchal, Kothayi Mukku, Perumba, Payyannur, Pilathara, Payangadi. The proposed variation is for Mottambram to Mattool (10 kms) and changing the halting place from Puthiyangadi to Cherupuzha.

The field officer reported that the permit holder has applied for variation of permit as the extension from Mottambram to Mattool, as there is no direct bus service from Mattool to Kozhichal, so the traveling public will find it very useful. Changing the halting place from Puthiyangadi to Cherupuzha is necessary as the vehicle is experiencing damage due to salty wind, and moreover, the traveling public at Cherupuzha will benefit from this change. On perusal of the objections against the proposed withdrawal of the last service from Pazhayangadi to Payyannur and the evening trips to Cherupuzha and Pulingome, it will adversely affect the traveling public, especially the students' community. This is the only bus on the above route. Hence, the above application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No: 117**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage S/c KL 13 AA 729 plying on the route Thalassery-Poyiloor-Madappora (via) Manhodi, Manekkara, Panoor, Parat, Kunnothparamba, Cheruparamba, Central Poyiloor. The proposed variation is an extension from Chamathakkad to Parayullaparmaba, 3 km, and proposes the morning starting trip Parayullaparamba towards Thalassery by advancing the halting place from Panoor to extending termini. Another extension is proposed from North Poyiloor to Parat, 7 km, to conduct the above trip through Thoovakunnu – Kallikandy and Parat towards Panoor. The halting trip is suggested from Panoor to Kunnothparamba through Kaivelikkal by extension, 4 km, from Panoor to Kaivelikkal and towards Cheruparamba and North Poyiloor. The enquiry officer reported that Parayullaparmaba is an interior remote place

just apart from Poyiloor, and bus traveling facilities are so rare at present. So the morning trip proposed will be much feasible for the traveling public, especially the labor workers towards Panoor and Thalassery town area. The route portion Panoor-Kaivelikkal-Kunnoth Paramba is also a very ill-served route now. No curtailment is on the existing route of this vehicle. During the meeting, there is no serious objection raised. Hence, **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 118**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 56 1800 plying on the route Kalanki-Charal via Manikkadavu-Chapattupalam, Mattara, Vattiyamthode, Ulikkal, Thanthode, Iritty, Payencherimukku, Kakkayangad, Peravoor, Ulikkal, Nuchiyad, Manippara, Madathil, Edoor, Veerppad, Keezhpalli, Vallithode, Anappanthkavala, Angadikadavu. The Proposed variation is an extension from Haji road to Perumpunna (via) Ayyapankavu, Palapuzha, Puthussery, 11.5 kms, and proposed to deviate one existing single trip through Haji Road, Ayyapankavu, Palappuzha up to Perumpunna towards Peravoor instead of (via) Kakkengad and suggested one more additional trip from Iritty to Peravoor through this deviating route portion after reducing one single trip on the route Iritty to Mattara. Another one additional trip also suggested from Iritty to Charal. The enquiry officer reported that bus traveling facility is so rare through Haji Road, Ayyapankavu, Palappuzha, Puthussery, and up to Prumpunna, the newly proposed trips by deviation are definitely much feasible for the traveling public of the above interior route portion. The avoiding route portion Vilakkode-Kakkengad, Peravoor has ample bus service at every 10 minutes gap and hence will not adversely affect the traveling public. The another additional trip proposed route portion Iritty-Vallithode-Charal is also very ill-served now, the enhanced additional trip is surely needed for the traveling public. Iritty-Ulikkal-Mattara route portion has ample services at present. No curtailment is on the existing operating route of this vehicle. There are no serious objections received against the proposal. Hence, **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 119**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 N 1020 plying on the route MADAKKARA-KANNUR via CHERUVATHUR, KALIKKADAVU, THRIKKARIPPUR, PAYYANNUR, EDATTU, PAYANGADI, PAZHAYANGADI ROAD JUNCTION, PUTHIYATHERU, KALTEX. Variation deviation from Madakkara to Thrிக்கarippur, trip curtailment Payyannur to Thrிக்கarippur one round trip. Changing halting place from Madakkara to Thrிக்கarippur. The enquiry officer reported that there are more bus services on the route Payyannur to Thrிக்கarippur, so the curtailment will not affect the traveling public. The noon trip Payyannur to Cheruvathur-Madakkara is curtailed, and this trip is deviated from Madakkara to Thrிக்கarippur in the evening

and morning. The halting place is changed from Madakkara to Thrikkarippur as the owner of the vehicle is residing in Thrikkarippur. No serious objections were received against this variation. Hence, **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

**Item No: 120**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 U 2151 to operate on the interdistrict route CHERUKUNNUTHARA-PAYYANNUR via CHERUKUNNU, PAYANGADI, PILATHARA, PAZHAYANGADI, PUTHIYANGADI. The enquiry officer reported that there is no curtailment or extension proposed. The trip at 7:49 PM from Payyannur to Cherukunnu Thara is split as Payyannur to Pilathara in the evening and Pilathara to Cherukunnu Thara in the morning with the purpose of changing the halting place from Cherukunnu Thara to Pilathara. From Pilathara to Pazhayangadi and Cherukunnu, the stage carriage service is less in the early morning so as to reach the Railway Stations at Pazhayangadi and Kannapuram. During the meeting, strong objections were received against the withdrawal of service to Cherukunnuthara in the night. The impact of this curtailment is not reported in the report. As per the proposed timings, the existing timings in all the termini except Puthiyangadi are changed. Hence, the secretary, RTA shall conduct an enquiry on the above matter and place it before the next meeting. For compliance with the above directions, the decision on the application for variation of permit is **adjourned**.

**Item No: 121**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 N 0193 plying on the route Chalode-Thaliparmab-Marakkarkandy via Mayyil-Karimkalkuzhi-Parassinikadav-Puthiyatheru-Kannur HQ Hospital. The permit holder applied for a variation as an extension from Kolacherry Mukku to Pullooppikadavu, 9.5 kms, and SBI Junction to Bus stand, 0.5 kms (total 10 kms).

The field officer reported that there are two extensions, and the total extension is 10 km. As per the report, the total route length before variation is 50 kms, and after variation is 59 kms. As per the proposal timings, Karikkal kuzhi. This shows that the permit holder's real intention is to change the halting place and shuffle the existing timings. During the meeting, KSRTC and other operators raised several objections. This authority is of the view that granting this variation will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Kannur Town and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

**Item No: 122**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 C 2221 plying on the route Kannur HQ Hospital-Mattool South via Kannur Nbs, Puthiyatheru, Pappinisseri Junction, Irinav Road, Cherukunnuthara, Pazhayngadi, Beech Road, Muttambram, Mattool, Madakkara, Irhan Road. The proposed variation is an extension from Kacherithara to Haji Road (via) Illipuram, 4 kms, and suggested to deviation of one single trip at 12.45 noon from Mattool to Kannur (via) Madakkara, Kacherithara, Illipuram up to Haji Road, and towards Kannur by deviation without any other changes on the existing route, trip, and timings of this vehicle. The enquiry officer reported that as bus traveling facilities are so rare through Illipuram, the deviating trip will be beneficial to the traveling local public and students of this rural area. No curtailment is on the existing route except the above slight deviation. No serious objections were received against this variation. Hence, variation of permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

**Item No: 123**

Heard, this is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 59 B 877 to operate on the interdistrict route Payyannur Kannur City-Via Edat, Hanumanambalam, Cheruthazham Ambalam Road, Aduthala, Eripuram, Payangadi Beach Road, Cherukunnuthara, Pappinisseri Jn, Puthiyatheru, Kaltex, Kannur Nbs, Kannur Hq Hospital. The permit holder has applied for a variation as trip curtailment from Thayyil Valappu to Palliparumba road (via) Kattilepeedika (2.5 kms), Extension from Cheruvathalamotta to Mayyil, 5 km, trip curtailment one round trip curtailment from Cheruvathalamotta to Kuttiyattor GP, Halting place advanced Kavinchal to Thayyil Valappu.

The enquiry officer reported that reduced three round trips on the sector Puthiyangadi to Puthiyangadi beach, which may adversely affect the traveling public to the beach. No curtailment made on the existing operating route.

During the meeting, strong objections were received against the reduction of trips on the portion Puthiyangadi to Puthiyangadi beach and change of timings. On perusal of the objections against the proposed withdrawal of service and people's representatives as well as the enquiry report submitted by the field officer, this authority has come to the view that the withdrawal of service is not recommended at all. Hence, the above application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No: 124**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage C KL 59 M 1528 plying on the route Kannur HQ Hospital-Kuttiyattoot Panchayath-Via Kannur, Puthiyatheru, Kolachery Mukku, Palliamparamba Road, Kavumchal, Palliparumba, Thayyilvalappu Road, Chekkikulam, Thayyil Valappu, Kattilepeedika, Perumachery. The variation applied is one single trip curtailment from Thayyil Valappu to Palliparumba road (via) Kattilepeedika (2.5 kms), Extension from Cheruvathalamotta to Mayyil, 5 km, trip curtailment one round trip curtailment from Cheruvathalamotta to Kuttiyattor GP, Halting place advanced Kavinchal to Thayyil Valappu. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed extension place, Mayyil, is the nearest town area as there are more schools, colleges, and markets located in this place, and this is a fast-growing town. At present, only 4 buses are operating on this route (10 trips). So this variation will be much useful for the traveling students and the public. The Curtailment portion from Thayyil Valappu to Palliamparamba road (2.5 km) (via) Kattilepeedika is a well-served area than the other route via Kavinchal. One round trip curtailing from Cheruvanthala motta to Kuttiattoor GP, there are many bus services on this route. Halting place advanced from Kavinchal to Thayyil Valappu, as the workshop facilities are arranged here. Objectionable overlapping on notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same. Hence, the variation of permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 125**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of a permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 S 1377 plying on the route Kannur – Puthiyangadi – (Via) Thaliparumba – Ezhome – Payangadi – Bekkalam. The variation proposed is on the route as Kannur-Puthiyangadi-Pattuvam, (via) Bekkalam, Vadakkanchery, Kuttikol, Thaliparumba, Ezhome, Pazhyangadi, and Pilimparumba, Extension from Chiravakku to Pattuvam, 6 km, trip curtailment one round trip curtailment one trip from Thaliparumba to Kannur(NH), The enquiry officer reported that the curtailment of one round trip from Thaliparumba to Kannur, which is totally on National Highway, Addition of one round trip Thaliparumba to Pattuvam proposed. The proposal reduces 38 kms in daily mileage on National Highway. The extended portion is less served than the trip curtailed portion. Hence the variation of the permit is **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 126**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of permit in respect of stage carriage Sc KL 38 F 0972 plying on the route Cherupuzha-Thaliparumba (Via) Manjakkad, Parappil, Peruvattom, Moonamkunnu, Rayoram, Arangam, Alakkode, Oduvilathattu,

Manna & Chiravakku. The variation proposed is one round trip extension from Alakkode to Kappimala (Via) Ottathai (10 kms). The enquiry officer reported that the variation from Alakaode to Kappimala (via) Ottathai, as Kappimala is a hilly area, there is no sufficient bus service on this route, and the variation will be much useful to the traveling public and students. The communities from Kappimal have to come to the town area Alakode and Taliparamba, hence this variation will be much useful for the traveling public, business people, and students. No serious objections were received against this application. Hence the variation of the permit is **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 127**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 13 AQ 1139 permitted to operate on the route Kannur - Thalassery Via. Kannur NBS Thazhe Chovva, Choottad, Edakkad, Dharmadam, Koduvally, Kuttikkakam. The proposed variation is the deviation of two round trips from Kannur to Thalassery at 3.47 pm and 6.07 pm deviated Via. Chala Bypass instead of Thottada. The field officer reported that this will adversely affect the traveling passengers at the Thottada sector. During the meeting, several objections were received against this deviation, stating that it will affect the students of institutions like Polytechnic Thottada, ITI, SN COLLEGE, etc. If we look at the report of MVI and the objections received in the meeting, it can be seen that the deviation will badly affect the students on the Thottada sector. Moreover, on verification of the proposed time, it is clear that the permit holder's intention is to change the timings. This will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Thalassery—Kannur region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No: 128**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 59 Q 2224, operating on the route Kannur - Muthussery Via. Puthiyatheru, Dharmasala, Thaliparamba, Chiravakku, Manna, Nadukani, Oduvally, Karuvanchal, Alakkode, Karthikapuram, Udayagiri, Arivilanhapoyil, Moonamthodu, Thalipara, Koottakalam variation. Extension: - One round trip Thaliparamba - Thalipara Changed as Thaliparamba - Erattapalam by extension from Arivilanhapoyil. The enquiry report reported that The extension from Arivilanjapoyil to Erattapaalam (1.5 kms), by curtailing one trip from Udayagiri - Thaliparamba (3 kms). The extended Route Portion is comparatively ill-served (3 trips & 2 buses) than the curtailed trip portion (4 buses & one KSRTC with 12 trips). No other necessity & variation under rule 145(6) of KMVR 1989 envisaged. No serious objection was received against

this application. Hence variation of permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 129**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 58 C 1774 permitted to operate on the route Kannur – Pariyaram Medical College via. Puthiyatheru, Pappinissery Junction, Irinavu road Junction, Kacherithara, Madakkara, Mattool South, Mattool Bus stand, Mottambram, Pazhayangadi, Eripuram, Vangara, Chempellikkundu, Hanuman Ambalam Junction, Hanuman Ambalam Edatt, Payyannur, Cheruthazham, Pilathara, Pariyaram Medical College. The proposed variation is the rearrangement of two trips: 1) 12.19 pm - Kannur – Payyannur changed Pariyaram Medical College and 2) 6.45 pm – Kannur – Payyannur via. Madakkara, Irinave road, Mattool. This application was considered by this authority on 07.03.2023, vide item no 205, and adjourned for a detailed report. During the meeting, KSRTC and other private operators raised several objections against this variation. They stated that trip rearrangement makes unhealthy competitions on the route. As per the detailed report, the Inquiry officer reported that this proposed variation is a rearrangement of trips, i.e., Kannur to Pariyaram instead of Kannur to Payyannur and the other trip Kannur to Payyannur instead of Kannur to Pariyaram. There are no changes in daily mileage and the number of trips in the proposed variation. Objectionable overlapping on the notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same. At present, the vehicle arrives at Pariyaram Medical College at 06.15 pm. No OP consultation and inpatient visits are available at this time. Now it is proposed to change the arriving time at Pariyaram Medical College to 01.33 pm, i.e., beneficial to the patients and other public. Madayi panchayath President, Vice President, and Members submitted their representation for this variation. Hence the application is as per rule 145-7(1) of KMVR.

By verifying the report, it did not ensure that the patients would receive OP Facility due to this trip change at 1.33 pm. Hence it cannot be assured of compliance with the rule mentioned in the report. Moreover, on verification of the proposed time, it is clear that the permit holder's intention is to change the timings. This will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Payyannur – Pilathara — Kannur region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence the application for a fresh regular stage carriage permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No: 130**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 40 Q 7886 covered with

Regular Permit No- KL-2022-SC-1406A valid up to 12.12.2027, operating on the route – Jayagiri- Sreekandapuram- Manakkadave- Thaliparamba Via Alakkode, Karuvanchal, Oduvally, Naduvil, Chiravakku. The proposed variation is an extension of one round trip from Manakkadave to Cheekkad (2.5 kms only). The enquiry officer reported that the extension from Manakkadave to Cheekkad one round trip (2.5) kms. Cheekkad is a dwelling area of tribes. Only 4 buses are servicing on this route. Hence the extension will be much beneficial to the traveling public, including students. No serious objections were received against this application. Hence **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 131**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-59/H-8921 permitted to operate on the route Karingal Kuzhi - Kannur HQ Hospital via Mayyil, Orappodi, Mullakkodi, Arimbra, Kmbil, Puthiyatheru, Padikunnu. The proposed variation is an extension from Mayyil to Kandakkal, one round trip, and Mayyil to Koyyam, deviation of 3 single trips-Karinkalkuzhi to Chekkiattukavu instead of Arimbra, Mullakkodi, and one round trip additional from Karinkal Kuzhi to Mayyil via Padikunnu. This application was considered by this authority on 07.03.2023, vide item no 190, and adjourned for a detailed report to verify the necessity of verification of Rule 145(6).

As per the detailed inquiry, the Koyyam Bridge has recently been opened. Hence KMV Rule 145(6) is applicable in this case. During the meeting, the natives of Mullakodi raised serious objections against this variation since the variation results in the denial of service to that area. The natives who appeared in the meeting also revealed that there exists a shortage of Stage Carriages in the Mullakodi sector.

The detailed report further reveals that at present there are twenty stage carriages operating from Kannur HQ Hospital to Koyyam (The extension requested). The proposed reduction of trips through Mullakodi will adversely affect the traveling public. In the guise of changing trips and timings, the applicant intends to avoid trips/change convenient timings of trips to comparatively ill-served areas and proposes to provide trips to comparatively well-served sectors, which is against the common interest of the traveling public in the interior areas covered by the permit.

This authority is of the view that granting this variation permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Mayyil – Kannur HQ region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the application for variation of the permit is **rejected**.



**Item No: 132**

Perused the judgment in MP No. 219/2023 in MVARP No. 24/2023 dated 06/02/2023. Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-58 -C-9720 covered with Regular Permit No-13/1031/1997 valid up to 14/07/2028 operating on the route- Mahepalam-Kottiyoor Ambalam Via Kelakam, Manathana, Peravoor, Nidumpoil, Kuthuparamba, Thalassery for the conversion of service as Limited stop ordinary services without any other changes.

This application was considered by this authority on 07.03.2023, vide item no 198, and adjourned for directed to obtain a specific report. Verified the detailed report.

KSRTC filed an objection. Since the State Government had published the final notification (G.O.(P) No 13/2013?Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023) and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence the request is denied, and the application for variation of the permit is **rejected**.

**Item No: 133**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL-13 P-9549 covered with Regular Permit No- KL1313/100124/2006 valid up to 08/03/2027 operating on the route- Pullooppikadave-Kannur HQ Hospital Via Pullooppi, Kunhipally, Kakkad, South Bazar, Plaza. The proposed variation is to change the Halting place from Kannur HQ Hospital to Kannadiparamba. Additional Trip: a. Two round trips Pullooppikadave to Kannadiparamba b. One round trip Pullooppikadave to Christian Palli c. One round trip Pullooppi to Kallukettuchira.

The enquiry officer reported that the extended areas are not well served, and also there are no major changes in the existing trips. Objectionable overlapping on the notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same, i.e., 0.5 km. No serious objections were received against this application. Hence the variation of permit is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

**Item No: 134**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 60 C 7973 covered with Regular Permit No- KL1313/10027/2001 valid up to 25/04/2027 operating on the route- Kannur-Payyannur via Puthiyatheru, Dharmasala, Thaliparamba, Pariyaram M.C, Pilathara, Kolmetta, Parassinikkadavu,

Mullakkodi, Mayyil. The proposed variation is a deviation of a single trip on Payyannur to Kannur trip, deviation at Dharmasala to Parassinikkadave. As Parassinikkadave to Kannur by adding one round trip Dharmasala to Parassinikkadave. The enquiry officer reported that the variation is an additional trip from Dharmasala to Parassinikkadave, which is beneficial to pilgrims and the general public. No serious objections were received against this application. Hence, the variation of permit to add one additional trip from Dharmasala to Parassinikkadave is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings and without changing any other timings.

**Item No: 135**

Heard. This is an application for a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL-59-S-9818 permitted to operate on the intradistrict route – Parassinikkadave-Kannur HQ Hospital via Arimbra, Mullakkodi, Mayyil, Niranthode, Cheruvathalamotta, Chattukappara, Kuttiyattor panchayath Pallimukku, Kannanpeedika, Vaduvankulam, Kololam, Chalode, kumbam, Koodali, Kudukkumotta, Eachur, Munderimotta, Valiyannur, Melechovva, Kaltex, Chekkikulam, Villagemukku, Veshalamukku, on the strength of a regular permit valid up to 05/06/2028. The proposed variation is for 1. Extension: (a) Vaduvankulam to Irikkur via Nayattupara, Mamanam temple (b) Kololam to Irikkur via Mattannur, Nayattupara 2. Trip re-arrangement and reduce one single trip Kudukkumotta to Valiyannur 3. Curtailment: Cheruvathalamotta to Kudukkumotta via Villagemukku 4. Additional one single trip Chalode to Kudukkumotta. The field officer reported that the S/C KL-59/S-9818 is operating on the route– Parassinikkadave-Kannur HQ Hospital via Arimbra, Mullakkodi, Mayyil, Niranthode, Cheruvathalamotta, Chattukappara, Kuttiyattor panchayath Pallimukku, Kannanpeedika, Vaduvankulam, Kololam, Chalode, kumbam, Koodali, Kudukkumotta, Eachur, Munderimotta, Valiyannur, Melechovva, Kaltex, Chekkikulam, Villagemukku, Veshalamukku. The permit holder has applied for a variation of the permit and proposed an extension from Kololam to Irikkur (Via) Nayattupara, 11 KM, and Vaduvankulam to Nayattupara, 1 km advance one round trip from Kuttiyattur Panchayath to Irikkur (Via) Vaduvankulam, Nayattupara, and the return trip from Irikkur to Chalode (Via) Vaduvankulam and Kololam after curtailing the route portion Kudukkumotta to Cheruvathalamotta (via) Villagemukku 6 km, and avoiding the existing single trip on the route portion Kudukkumotta to Cheruvathalamotta. The advancing trip Kuttiyattor panchayath to Irikkur Via Vaduvankulam, Nayattupara, and the return trip up to Chalode will surely be much useful to the local passengers as bus traveling facilities are so rare through this way at present. The curtailing route portion Kudukkumotta to Cheruvathalamotta, 6 km is comparatively well-served than the extension portion Vaduvankulam to Kololam Via Nayattupara. Objectionable overlapping on the notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same. The last trip from Kannur HQ Hospital (existing departing time 9.00 pm) is changed to morning (proposed departing time 9.48 am). During the meeting, KSRTC and other en-route operators raised serious and several objections against this variation. On perusal of the objections against the

proposed withdrawal of service from ill-served portions Kudikkimotta to Cheruvathalamotta filed by the traveling public (especially students' community), this authority has come to the view that the withdrawal of service from the ill-served portion will adversely affect the traveling public, especially students' community, and allowing trips through well-served sectors is not recommended at all. This authority is of the view that the granting of variation of the permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Parassinikadavu – Kannur HQ region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. The right to operate any new service and to increase the trips is exclusively reserved for State Transport Undertakings. So, the change of the last trip from Kannur HQ Hospital (existing departing time 9.00 pm) to the morning (proposed departing time 9.48 am is a violation of Go (p) No 13/2023 Trans dtd 03.05.2023. Hence, the above application for a variation of the permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No: 136**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage KL-59/H-9637 covered with Regular Permit, operating on the route Thaliparamba-Irity via Chiravakku, Block office junction, Sreekandapuram, Irikkur, Thanthode, Chundaparamba, Payyavoor, Chemberi, Vathilmada, Ponnumparamb. The proposed variation is an extension from Chemberi to Alakkode via Pulikkurumba, Naduvil, Thuvakkunnu, change of Halting place – Payyavoor to Alakkode, Curtailment of portion from Chemberi to Koottumugham via Chungakunnu, and reduction of One single trip Sreekandapuram to Payyavoor via Icheri.

The enquiry officer reported that the total distance covered by the extension and curtailment exceeds 24 km, i.e., 27 km. A single trip in the route portion Payyavoor to Sreekandapuram is reduced. The early morning trip and night halting trip provided by extension are beneficial to the traveling public. Even though there are services on the route from Chemberi to Koottumugham, the curtailment adversely affects the public traveling at that particular time.

During the meeting, KSRTC and other enroute operators raised serious and several objections against this variation. On perusal of the objections against the proposed withdrawal of service from ill-served portions Chemberi to Koottumugham raised from the side of the traveling public (especially the student community), this authority has come to the view that the withdrawal of service from the ill-served portion will adversely affect the traveling public, especially the student community, and allowing trips through well-served sectors is not recommended at all. This authority is of the view that granting the variation of the permit will unsettle the

present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Thaliparaba – Chiravakku - Iritty region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the above application for the variation of the permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No: 137**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL-58/N-9508 covered with Regular Permit No- KL1313/2536/2013 valid up to 28/10/2023 operating on the route - Valathode-Chedikkulam via Edapuzha, Karikkottakari, Edoor, Anapanthikavala, Angadikkadave, Randamkadave, Mathil, Iritty, Ulikkal, Ayyappankavu, Aralam, Chedikkulam, Velimanam, Keezhpalli. The applied variation is of curtailment - Route portion Iritty to Ulikkal and Aralam to Chedikkulam and Extension from Iritty to Charal (Via) Vallithode, Angadikkadave. The enquiry officer reported that the route portion from Iritty-Charal is a very ill-served route, and the newly proposed trip by extension is surely much feasible for the traveling public. As the route portion Iritty-Ulikkal, 7 KM, is having adequate bus service at every 10 minutes gap, avoiding only trip by curtailment does not adversely affect the traveling public. The proposed variation is within the permissible limit under section 80(3) of the MV Act. No serious objection was received against this application. Hence, variation of the permit is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No: 138**

This is a request submitted by Sri. Jinukrishnan, Rajitha nivas, Kunhimangalam, Kannur, to reconsider the decision of item no:196, RTA held on 07/03/2023. This Variation of permit was granted in respect of S/C KL-59/F-7941 operating on the Muthuvam-Parassinikkadave via Thirumeni, Cherupuzha, Payyannur, Thaliparamba based on the enquiry report submitted by the Secretary RTA. Now the request is due to the curtailment of the last trip since it will adversely affect the traveling public on the route Cherupuzha-Thirumeni. Hence Secretary RTA is directed to conduct a detailed enquiry on this matter and **place it in the next meeting**.

#### **Item No: 139**

These are applications for Renewal, Variation, and Replacement. The S/C KL 13/AJ 8071 is permitted to operate on the route Cherupuzha-Kozhikkode via Alakkode, Thaliparamba, Kannur, Thalassery, Mahe, and

Vatakara as LSOS with permit Number: 13/100026/2003 and valid up to 26/01/2023. The permit holder applied for variation to limit the route length below 140 km by curtailing 20 km of the route portion from Cherupuzha to Alakkode.

The Secretary RTA submitted that S/C KL-13/AJ-8071 is owned by Sri. Jayakrishnan, S/o Unnikrishnan, Chottothidam, Aroli.P.O., Kannur. The vehicle was possessed by Sri. Abdul Saleem.P.V, Palli puthiya veettil, Pullooppi, Kannadiparamba under an agreement of lease. The Lease agreement between the registered owner and the permit holder was canceled on 27/1/2023, and the vehicle was released from the permit. Hence, there is no vehicle on the permit after 27/1/2023. The permit holder submitted an application for Replacement, Variation, and Renewal of the inter-district permit bearing Reg No. KL 13/AJ 8071 (Old No). Permit renewal application was submitted on 22/12/2022. The route length exceeds 140 kms. After the cancellation of the lease agreement, the permit holder submitted an application for replacement and variation of permit. On 2/3/2023, records of S/C KL-34/F-6048 were produced as an incoming vehicle, which is not owned by the applicant but only possessed under lease, and also submitted an application for the variation of the permit. Since the regular permit has already expired, and the route length exceeds 140 km, the applications could not be processed.

During the meeting, KSRTC filed an objection. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No 13/2023/Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate ordinary service only. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service.

Hence, renewal of permit, variation of permit, and replacement are **granted** on the inter-district route Alakkode-Kozhikkode via Oduvally, Manna, Thaliparamba, Kannur, Thalassery, Vatakara as ordinary service.

#### **Item No. 140**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of permit in respect of stage KL 13AE 7029, covered and operating on the Mattool-Kannur HQ Hospital (Via) Mottambram, Puthiyangadi, Pazhayangadi, Pappinisseri Junction, Puthiyatheru, Kaltex. The proposed variation is:

1. Extension from Irinavu road to Mattool odayarkkam road (via), Madakkara 4 KM.
2. Trip arrangement from Payangadi to Puthiyangadi.
3. Trip Curtailment from Irinave road to Pazhayangadi, two single trips.
4. Deviation from Irinave road to Payangadi (via) Madakkara, Matool, Mttambram, two single trips.

The enquiry report reported this trip curtailment from Irinave to Pazhayangadi, due to this deviation, as there are more bus services on this route. Objectionable overlapping on notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same. Also applied for changes in the time schedule to Puthiyagadi. A report is submitted for further necessary action. Hence, variation is **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

**Item: 141**

Heard. Perused the records. This is a proposal to take action against the application for vehicle replacement in respect of Vehicle No. KL 44 C 6330 by S/C KL 67 A 5634, with permit Number KL/ 13/SC/2019/60 valid up to 29/12/2026, operating on the Route Thalassery, Iritty via, Kuthuparamba, Mattannur, Payancherymukku, Kakkayangad, Thillenkeri, Sivapuram, and Uruvachal. The Secretary RTA directed the applicant to produce a vehicle of the same nature within 30 days for replacement, since the proposal did not comply with section 83 of the Kerala Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Rule 174 of Kerala Motor Vehicle Rules 1989. But the permit holder failed to produce a vehicle of the same nature until now. Hence, the above application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No. 142**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 18-N-6642, permitted to operate on the route Thottilpalam-Kannur (Via) Kuttiyadi, Nadapuram, Peringathur, and Thalassery Mattool-Kannur HQ Hospital (Via) Mottambram, Puthiyangadi, Pazhayangadi, Pappinisseri Junction, Puthiyatheru, Kaltex. The proposed variation is a single trip deviation through Chala by-pass. This application was considered by RTA on 07/03/2023 vide item no and adjourned for verifying the "Necessity of variation envisaged under Rule 145 (6) of KMV Rules 1989 not reported by the field officer." During the meeting, several objections were received against this deviation, stating that it will affect the students of institutions like Polytechnic Thottada, ITI, SN COLLEGE, etc. On verification of the proposed time, it is clear that the permit holder intends to change the timings. This will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Thottil Palam-Kuttiady-Thalassery-Kannur region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which, in turn, will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the above application for a variation of the permit is **rejected**.

**Item No. 143**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 13 AW 7468 (Previous No KL 58-B -

7054) permitted to operate on the route Ambayathode-Kottiyoor-Thottad (Via) Peravoor, Nedumpoil, Kuthuparamba, Mambram, Odakadavu, Bavode, Chakkarakkal, Anjarakandy, Pathiriyad, Eachur, Thayyil. The proposed variation is a deviation from 6.00 pm at Chakkarakkal-Kannur (via) Pallipoil, Perikkad, Mathrubumi. This application was considered by RTA on 07/03/2023 and adjourned for verifying the "Necessity of variation envisaged under Rule 145 (6) of KMV Rules 1989 not reported by the field officer." During the meeting, several objections were received against this deviation, stating that it will affect the traveling public, including the students. As per the detailed report, there is an extension of 3 Km. On verifying the objections, the application, and the specific report, this authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Kannur HQ-Chakkarakkal region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the above application for a variation of the permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 144**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 18-A-5319 covered by regular permit No. 13/98/1996 valid up to 27/09/2026 and operating on the route Azhikkal Ferry-Kannur HQ Hospital (Via) Moonunirath, Valapattanam, Puthiyatheru, Kannur. The proposed variation is the extension of one round trip from Valapattanam to Kannur HQ Hospital and a change of halting place from Kannur HQ Hospital to Azhikkal Ferry. The enquiry report reported that the S/C KL-18-A-5319 is servicing on the route Azhikkal-Kannur HQ Hospital-Via-Moonunirathu, Valapattanam, Puthiyatheru, and Kannur. The permit holder has applied for a variation. The existing 10.20 am departing time from Kannur HQ Hospital to Valapattanam and back is changed to Kannur HQ hospital-Azhikkal ferry. At present, the vehicle conducts 6 round trips on the sector Kannur HQ Hospital-Azhikkal Ferry and one round trip on the sector Kannur HQ Hospital-Valapattanam. Now it is proposed to conduct 7 round trips on the sector Kannur HQ Hospital-Azhikkal Ferry via Valapattanam. Before the proposed variation, there are three termini points, and after the proposed variation, it is reduced to two. Also proposed to change its halting place from Kannur HQ hospital to Azhikkal ferry without curtailing any existing trip because workshop facilities are arranged there. There is no extension or curtailment in the existing route portion. No major changes in the existing timings also. Objectionable overlapping on the notified sector before and after the proposed variation is the same. The variation is within the permissible limit of Sec. 80(3) (1) of MV Act. Azhikkal port is opened recently. Hence, KMV rule 145(7) (ii) may be applicable. This variation is beneficial to the traveling public and laborers to Azhikkal port. No serious objections were received against this proposal. Hence, the variation of the permit is **granted** subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 145**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 60-L 5995 covered by permit no KL2023-SC-0026A valid up to 09/01/2028, operating on the Mayyil-Karuvanchal via Ettayur, Malappattam, Kaniyarvayal, Sreekandapuram, Chembanthotty, Naduvil, Thavukunnu. The proposed variations are trip rearrangement and extension from Sreekandapuram to Chemberi (via) Chepparamba (one round trip) and additional one round trip Sreekandapuram to Naduvil (via) Nediyaenga, Chembanthotti (2) Change halting place from Naduvil to Sreekandapuram. The enquiry officer's report reported that the proposed extension route portion is an ill-served area. The proposed extension and additional trips help the traveling public and students in that particular sector. There are no serious objections received against this application. Hence, variation of the permit is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 146**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 60 B 6039 operating on the route, Madappathur, Mambram (Via), Vannathimoola, Manantheri, Thokkilangadi, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur, Thalassery, Koduvally Meethalepeedika, Chirakuni, Andalur, Kunnath, Pinarayi, Companimotta. Variation of permit applied for are 1. ONE SINGLE TRIP Thalassery-Mambram Via Chirakuni Thattarimukku Andaloor Deviation Ch Mukku, University, Andaloor (2) ONE SINGLE TRIP-Thalassery-Mambram(Via) Chirakuni, Thattarimukku, Andaloor, deviation as Chirakuni, Kolat Palam Road, University, Andaloor kavu, and (3) halting place change from Koothuparamba To Mambram (Via) Kayalode. The enquiry officer reported that the permit holder has applied for a variation of the permit and proposed an extension from Koothuparamba to Peralassery (via) Kayalode, Mambram, a distance of 9.5 km and proposed the morning starting trip from Mambram to Koothuparamba via Kayalode by advancing the halting trips Kuthuparamba to Mambram for the purpose of bus labor facility arrangement. One round trip is provided from Mambram to Peralassery and back, the extending route portion, Andaloor extension is proposed from Chimukku-Palayad University Centre up to Chirakuni, 3 km, and deviating single trip via University centre, so as to be feasible to the students and staff of university centre and Govt, Brennen college. As the Peralassery trip is at morning school time, it is surely feasible to the students and staff of AKG Memorial HSS and the passengers to the popular Peralassery Temple. The trip provided on the route Koothuparamba-Mambram at night time is also feasible to passengers; no curtailment is on the existing route and trips. No serious objection was received against the variation. Hence, the variation of the permit is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.



#### **Item No. 147**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 59 Q 6696 operating on the route Cherupuzha-Thaliparamba Via Therthally, Alakode, Oduvally, Chapparapadavu, Eruvatty, Chanokund, Manikkal Bridge Karimkayam, Nellipara. The proposed variation of permit applied for is -(1) one single trip deviation from Therthally To Thaliparamba (Via) Pacheni, Perumpadavu And Nadukani. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed extension route portion is a comparatively ill-served area. The proposed extension and additional trips help the traveling public and students in that particular sector. No serious objections were received against this variation. Hence, variation of permit **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other existing timings.

#### **Item No. 148**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 13 P-6116 permitted to operate on the route, Iritty-Thalassery (Via) Kadirur, Kuthuparamba Uruvachal, Sivapuram, Thillengeri, Kakkayangad, Vilakkode, Payancherimukku. The proposed variations are 1) extension from Kakkayangad To Peravoor (Via) Muringod 2) change of halting place Kakkayangad To Peravoor. The enquiry officer reported that the permit holder has applied for a slight extension from Kakkayangad to Peravoor, 5.5 km, and provided the starting and halting trip at Peravoor by advancing the halting place from Kakkayangad to Peravoor. The extending termini without any curtailment on the existing operating route and trips. Peravoor is a very nearest town just 5.5 km apart from Kakkengad. The early morning trip and the night-time halting trip provided by the extension are surely much feasible to the traveling public of this area and for the purpose of bus labor facility arrangement and better workshop and housing facility comparing Kakkengad. No curtailment is on the existing route, trips, and timings. The extension is within the permissible limit of sec 80(3) (1) of MV Act. No serious objections were received against this variation. Hence, variation of permit **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings

#### **Item No. 149**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 78-7270, permitted to operate on the route Kottiyur Temple-Thalassery-Ayithara-(Via) Kelakam, Peravoor, Nidumpoil, Kolayad, Kannavam, Vattapara, Kuthuparamba, Kadirur. The proposed variation is an extension from Kottiyoor Temple to Ambayathode (2km) and conversion to L.S.O.S. This application was considered by this

authority at a meeting held on 07/03/2023 and adjourned for a detailed report regarding the necessity of the variation envisaged under Rule 145 (6) of KMV Rules 1989, as it was not reported by the field officer. In the detailed enquiry report, the MVI SRTO Thalassery reported that no special need under rule 145 (6) of KMV Rules 1989 exists. During the meeting, KSRTC filed an objection. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No 13/2023/Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate ordinary service only. Since the State Government had published a final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence, the above application for the variation of the permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 150**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 38 D 6201, permitted to operate on the route, Payyannur-Perupadavu Via Payyannur Railway station, Pilathara, Payathumpoil, Koipra, and valid up to 02/08/2023. The variation applied for is an extension of three single trips from Perumpadavu to Karippal UP School. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed extension from Perumpadavu to Karippal UP School is very much useful for the students. Karippal is a famous Hindu Pilgrim Centre. The proposal will be beneficial to the traveling public and pilgrims. There are no other changes in the existing trips and timings. There is no serious objection received against the variation. Hence, Variation of permit **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 151**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 11-AA-5102 covered RP No-13/101863/2013, valid up to 02/08/2023, operating on the route, S N Temple, Mathamangalam Via Perool, Kanayi, Payyannur Rly, Ramanthali, Vadakkumbad. The variations applied for are 1) an extension from Payyannur Railway Bridge to Kavvay 2) S.N Temple To Ramanthali, Naval gate and 3) halting place Change From S N Temple To Kanayi. The enquiry officer reported that the extension from Payyannur to Kavvai will be much useful as there are fewer buses on this route. The extension from SN temple to Ramanthali Naval gate will be more beneficial for the Naval Academy Staff and students. Halting change from SN temple to Kavvayi is beneficial as this is a Naval Academy defense area. There is no serious objection received against the variation of the permit. Hence, Variation of permit **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 152**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 10-AA-7077 covered RP No-

KL1313/10000025/2003, valid up to 23/04/2023, and permitted to operate on the route Manakkayi-Periyathil (Via) Kayani, Uruvachal, Mattannur, Chavassery, Kooranmukku. The permit holder applied for a variation as three round trips from Manakkayi to Vengad Theru (via) Manakkay Kadavu Bridge. This application was considered by this authority on 07/09/2023 and adjourned for the production of a Road fitness certificate of the newly constructed bridge. Now the road fitness certificate of the Bridge issued by the Executive Engineer of the bridge division Kannur dated 26/05/2023 has been produced. The enquiry officer reported that the newly constructed Manakkayi bridge is connecting Vengad Grama Panchayath and Mattannur Municipality in Kannur District. No serious objections were received against this variation. Hence, Variation of permit **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other existing timings.

### **Item No. 153**

Heard. This is an application for a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 58 R 7129, covered RP No-13/125/1997, valid up to 16/10/2022, and permitted to operate on the route – Peralassery Temple-Thottilpalam (Via) Parapuram, Andalo-Orkavu Ozhayilbhagam, Manjodi, Kuttiyadi. The proposed variation is an additional trip from Thalassery to Nadapuram and a reduction of one round trip from Thalassery to Parappuram. This item was earlier considered by this authority on 07/03/2023 and adjourned for verification of the necessity of the variation envisaged under Rule 145 (6) of KMV Rules 1989.

The field officer, SRTTO Thalassery, who was entrusted with the specific inquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that the rule 145 (6) of KMV rules is applicable in case of a special facility for extension, such as a newly built road or bridge, etc. No such special facility is applicable in this case. The adjourned matter may be considered accordingly. During the meeting, the representative of PBOAs alleges that the proposed variation extension is in a well-served area, and the reduction is in a comparatively ill-served area.

This authority is of the view that granting this variation of permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well-served sectors of Thalassery region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion, which, in turn, will harmfully affect the traveling public as well as other road users. Hence, the above application for a variation of permit is **rejected**.

### **Item No. 154**

Heard. This is an application for a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 05 AK 2920, permitted to operate on the route Kappimla-Thaliparamba Via Ottathai, Alakkode, Karuvanchal, Oduvally, as one round additional trip from Karuvanchal to Thaliparamba and a change of halting place from Kappimala to Oduvally.

The field officer reported that the proposed variation, a trip extension from Karuvanchal to Thaliparamba in the evening and a return trip from Thaliparamba to Kappimala at night, is very beneficial for the traveling public, especially at night. The change of halting place from Kappimala to Oduvallithattu is due to the vehicle owner residing in this area. Both of the above proposals are very useful for the traveling public.

During the meeting, KSRTC objected to the variation, citing a violation of overlapping in the notified sector. The report of the inquiry officer is not specific on this aspect, which is required for making a decision on the application. Hence, the Secretary shall conduct a specific inquiry. The enquiry report shall contain: (1) Route sketch of the existing route mentioning the exact distance and marking of each district with details of overlapping with the notified route in the present route (2) Whether the proposed route passes through the notified route and whether the change in trips violates clause 19 of G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009. (3) The effects of proposed timings and changes in trips on the existing traveling facilities of the public. The comparative advantages/disadvantages of the proposed variation shall be reported with required data of presently operating stage carriages (frequency of stage carriages) on the route. For compliance with the above directions, the decision on the application for a variation of permit is **adjourned**.

#### **Item No. 155**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 59 M 3036, operating on the route Thaliparamba-Manakkadavu Via Chiravakku, Manna, Oduvallithattu, Karuvanchal, Alakkode, Karthikapuram, Thelluroad, Chapparapadavu, Chanakakund, Karingayam, Nellipara, Therthalli, Manjakkad, Cherupuzha, Parapoil, Peruvattom, Rayarom, Arangam. The proposed variations are 1) Extension-One single trip deviation from Manakkadavu to Poovanchal via Moorikadavu, Kappimala, and Mavumthattu 2) Curtailment-One single trip from Manakkadavu to Poovanchal via Karthikapuram-6kms. The enquiry officer reported that the extension by deviation of one single trip from Manakadavu to Poovanchal (13kms) via Moorikadavu, Kappimala, and Mavumthattu, with only one bus servicing through this extension route portion, and reducing one single trip from Manakadavu to Poovanchal via Karthikapuram - 6kms, where there are more buses plying on this route portion comparatively to the extension route. So the extension deviation is more beneficial to the traveling public, especially the students traveling during the evening. Hence, Variation of permit **granted**, subject to settlement of timings without changing any other existing timings.

#### **Item No. 156**

Heard. This is an application for a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 AG 2999, operating on the route Pazhassipally-Kannur HQ Hospital Via Padikkachal, Mayyi, Kambil, Puthiyatheru, Thalap, Kaltex. The proposed variation is an extension of one round trip from Chekkiyattukavu to Kolarayi (3.5kms) and curtailing one round trip from Mayyil to Pazhassipalli. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed variation includes a reduction of existing trips and extension with changes in the existing timings. During the meeting, a member of Kuttiattoor Grama Panchayath objected to the reduction of trips on the portion from Mayyil to Pazhassipalli, stating that this will affect the traveling public, especially students.

Upon perusal of the objections against the proposed withdrawal of service from ill-served portions filed by the traveling public (especially the student community) and people's representatives, as well as the fresh inquiry report submitted by the field officer, this authority has come to the view that the withdrawal of service from the ill-served portion Mayyil to Pazhayyipally will adversely affect the traveling public, especially the student community, and is not recommended at all. Hence, the above application for a variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 157**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 58 G 2529, permitted to operate on the route Kooram Mukku-Irity Via Mattannur, Chakkarakkal, Uliyil, Thillankeri, Kakkayangad, Mamanam Temple, Irikkur, Kodolipram, Kolappa, Anjarakandy, Karaperavoor, Keezhallur. The proposed variations are 1) Extension-from Palottupalli to Vembady (4km) and proposed two round trips on the route portion Mattannur-Vembady after curtailing the existing one round trip on the route portion Mattannur-Anjarakandy-Chakkarakkal (15kms), deviating 3 trips on the route portion Kakkayangad-Muzhakunnu-Kavumpady-Thillankeri and towards Uliyil and Mattannur by extension of 4.5 kms. The enquiry officer reported that the permit holder has applied for a variation of permit and proposed an extension from Palottupalli to Vembady (4kms) and provided two round trips on the route portion Mattannur-Vembady after curtailing the existing one round trip on the route portion Mattannur-Chakkarakkal (15kms) and deviating 3 trips on the route portion Muzhakunnu, Kavumpady, Thillankeri through Muzhakunnu-Mudakkozhi-Karuvally-Thillankeri and towards Uliyil as Mattannur by extension (5kms). As bus traveling facilities are rare towards Vembady, the newly provided trips by extension will be much useful to the traveling public. As the route portion Muzhakunnu-Mudakkozhi-Karuvally-Thillankeri is passing through a very remote interior area, the proposed deviation is surely highly feasible for the traveling public. The very popular Mridanga Shyleswary Temple is on this deviating route portion. Avoiding only one round trip on the route portion Mattannur-Anjarakandy,

Chakkarakkal by curtailment does not adversely affect the traveling public, as there are ample bus services on the route at a gap of 10 minutes. The variation is within the permissible limits of sec. 80(3)(i) of M.V Act. No serious objections against the variation. Hence the Variation of permit **granted**, subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 158**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage S/C KL 55 E 4261, operating on the route Naduvil-Kappattukunnu Via Chempanthotty, Kottoor Vayal, Sreekandapuram, Adoor, Malapattam, Kappattukunnu, Karayathumchal, Chemperi. The proposed variation is an additional one round trip from Sreekandapuram to Naduvil School via Chempanthotty. The enquiry officer reported that the extension of one round trip from Sreekandapuram to Naduvil School (12kms) will be much beneficial to the students and traveling public. No serious objections against the variation. Hence Variation of permit **granted**, subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 159**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage of S/C KL 58 AH 4509, operating on the route Kozhikkode-Payyannur Via Koilandy, Payyoli, Vadakara, Mahe, Thalassery, Kannur, Dharmasala, Thaliparamba, Pariyaram, Pilathara, Perumba, Kannur Nbs, Js Pole, Alavil, Azheekkal Ferry as LSOS. The proposed Variation is the curtailment of One round trip from Pilathara to Payyannur-7kms.

The inquiry officer reported that the total route length of this permit is 147 km. As per government order GO(MS) NO:22/2020 TRANS DTD 01.07.2020 and GO(P) No 13/2023 dtd 03.05.2023, all routes with a route length more than 140 km are reserved for STU'S. Hence the applicant applied for curtailing its route portion from Pilathara to Payyannur (07 km) to limit its route length within 140 km as per the government orders and limited its route length to 140 km. Objectionable overlapping on the notified sector before the proposed variation is 140 km, and that is 133 km after the proposed variation. This proposal is to comply with the above GO (Ms) 22/2020 dated 01.07.2020, to limit the route length below 140 kms. The portion curtailed fully in the NH and NH/NS Sector. There are no changes in the existing halting place and timings. Also, to obtain a report from the concerned authority. As per the Government of Kerala Notification G.O. (P) No 13/2023/Trans Dtd 03.05.2023 published in SRO 537/2023 dated 03/05/2023, private stage carriages are permitted to operate ordinary service only. No serious objections against the variation. Hence, the variation of permit **granted** as ordinary service subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

### **Item No. 160**

Heard. This is an application for a regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 86 B 3736 plying on the route Pothankundu-Kanavayal-Choorapadavu-Via Padichal-Cherupuzha, Pulingome, Kozhichal, Rajagiri, Vazhakkundam. The proposed variation is an extension from Pothankundam to Cheemeni, a distance of 8 kms, and providing 2 round trips towards Cheemeni by extension and another extension from Pulingome to Thayyeni, 9 kms, and proposing one round trip on the route portion Pulingome to Thayyeni without curtailment on the existing route. The inquiry officer reports that the extension applied to the route portion Velichamkundu to Cheemeni (6 km) and Pulingome to Thayyeni (9 km) lie within the Kasaragod District limit under the jurisdiction of RTA Kasaragod. Hence, prior concurrence of the RTA, Kasaragod is needed in both extensions.

The Secretary is also directed to obtain prior concurrence from RTA Kasaragod with specific mention of intermediate points on the route and the exact distance of overlapping with the notified route in the jurisdiction of RTA Kasaragod and place the application before RTA for reconsideration. For compliance with the above directions, the decision on the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is **adjourned**.

### **Item No. 161**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of stage of S/C KL 52 N 3497 permitted to operate on the route Hajiroad Junction - Koyyam Via Illipuram, Kacherithara, Cherukunnu Temple, Cherukunnuthara, Keezhara, Koovod, Thaliparamba, Chinaclayjunction, Mottammal, Paliyathuvalappu, Anjampeedika, Dharmasala, Keltronnagar, Parassinikadavu, Arimbraroad, Karinkalkuzhi, Mayyil, Panthottam. The proposed variations are 1) an extension from a) Cherukunnuthara to Thekkumbad-5kms b) From Koyyam to Parakkad Swami Madam-2kms. The inquiry officer reported that the extension proposed from Thekkumbad to Cherukunnu -5kms, there are only 3 bus services. Therefore, the introduction of service to this extension will be much useful for the fishermen, students, and the general public. Extension from Koyyam to Parakkad Sami Madam-2 kms will be much beneficial for the pilgrims coming from Parassinikadavu to Koyyam Swami Madam and also for the traveling public and the students to Thaliparamba. No serious objections against the variation. Hence, the Variation of permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

### **Item No. 162**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 18 F 4995 permitted to operate on the route Cheruvanchery-Thalassery via Koppalam, Panoor, Valliyayi. The permit holder applied for a variation of permit as an extension

from Manjody-Thazhechambad-8kms and a deviation of the halting trip from Thalassery to Panoor via Manjody, Manekkara, Thazhe Chambad, and towards Panoor instead of Manjody, Koppalam, Chambad.

The inquiry officer reported that the permit holder has applied for an extension from Manjody to Thazhe Chambad via Manekkara (8kms) and suggested operating the last halting trip from Thalassery to Panoor via Manjody, Manekkara, Thazhe Chambad, and towards Panoor instead of Manjody, Koppalam, Chambad, by extension without any curtailment on the existing operating route and trips. Thalassery-Koppalam-Panoor has adequate bus service at every 10-minute gap. Bus service is very rare on the route portion Thalassery-Maneekkara-Panoor, especially at night. Hence, the only trip deviation will be much useful to the traveling public. The variation is within the permissible limit of Sec. 80(3)(i) of MV Act. No serious objections against the variation. Hence, the variation of the permit is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 163**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 58 S 4544 permitted to operate on the route Cheruvanchery – Thalassery via Kunothisparamba, Parat, Panoor, Koppalam, Manjodi, having permit No KL 1313/18/1998 valid up to 31/10/2027. The proposed variation is an extension from Samipeedika to Panoor (Via Chendayad-Varapa-Mutharipeedika up to Panoor), a distance of 12 kms, and suggested separating a single trip from Cheruvanchery – Panoor through Samipeedika-Chendayad-Mutharipeedika up to Panoor by deviation instead of Kunnothisparamba and Paratt without any curtailment on the existing trip.

The inquiry officer reported that stage carriage traveling facility is very rare through Samipeedika-Chendayad-Varapa-Mutharipeedika up to Panoor, comparing route portions Samipeedika-Kunnothisparamba-Paratt-Panoor. So the applied deviation by extension is highly feasible for the traveling public of this area, with no curtailment on the existing operating route. The variation is within the permissible limit of Section 80(3)(i) of MV Act and does not apply to a special need under rule 145(6) of KMV Rule. No serious objections against the variation. Hence, the variation of the permit is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 164**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 13 M 2630 permitted to operate on the route Thalassery-Chendayad via Manjodi, Koppalam, Panoor, Makoolpeedika, Nittangal, having permit No KL 1313/100030/2002 and valid up to 29/05/2027. The proposed variation is:



1. An extension from Panoor to Kadavathoor (via) Kattimukku – Palathayi, a distance of 7.5 km.
2. Providing one round trip on the route portion Panoor Kadavanthoor by extension, after curtailing the existing route portion Makkolpedika-Mutharipeedika-Chendayad (6 km), avoiding the only round trip on the route portion Panoor-Chendayad.
3. Proposing an additional trip for Panoor-Thalassery (Via) Koppalam, the very same existing operating route portion.

The inquiry officer reported that the proposed curtailment affected the traveling public to a small extent, and no special need is applicable under rule 145(6) of KMV Rules. Hence, the variation of the permit is **granted**.

### **Item No. 165**

Heard. This is an application for a variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 S 3600 (New Vehicle: KL 58 G 3069) permitted to operate on the intradistrict route Chakkarakkal-Kannur via Mowanchery, Eachur, Melechovva, Thana, Chalode, Mattannur, Keezhallur, Anjarakandy, Kappad, Thazhechovva on the strength of a regular permit valid up to 29/05/2027. The permit holder has applied for a variation of permit and proposed curtailing the portion of the route Panayathamparamba-Chalode (2 km, only one round trip) and limiting the trip Anjarakandy-Chalode trip at Panayathamparamba. This application was considered by this authority on 07/03/2023, vide item no. 156, and adjourned for a detailed report regarding the impact of curtailment.

The field officer, Kannur, who was entrusted with the specific enquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that the curtailment will adversely affect the traveling public in the curtailment portion. During the meeting, Private Bus Operators objected to the variation, stating that it would lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion. Hence, considering the above facts, the application for the variation of the permit is **rejected**.

### **Item No. 166**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL 13 U 3225 covered by a regular permit No-13/3025/2014, valid up to 22/12/2024, and operating on the Puralimala-Iritty (Via) Muringoli-Peravoor-Kakkengad-Meethalapunnad-Vilakkodu. The applied variation is an extension from Iritty to Kakkuva (via) Madathil, Edoor, Keezhpally, a distance of 19.5 km, and proposed one round trip from Iritty to Kakkuva and back, after reducing one round trip on the route portion Iritty to Peravoor without curtailing on the existing operating route.

The application was considered by this authority on 07/03/2023, vide item no. 159, and adjourned for the detailed necessity report whether the variation envisaged under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rules 1989. In the detailed report, the inquiry officer MVI SRTO Thaliparamba reported that as there are ample bus services on the route portion Iritty-Peravoor at every 10 minutes and not adversely affecting the traveling public. There is no violation of Rule 145(6) of KMV Rules 1989. No serious objections received against the application. Hence the variation of the permit is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings without changing any other timings.

#### **Item No. 167**

Heard. Perused the records. This is an application for the grant of a variation of permit in respect of the stage carriage S/C KL 59 F 3434 operating on the route Puthiyangady-Kannur via Mottambram, Payangady, Eripuram, Ezhome, Kuppam, Chiravakku, Pattuvam, Thaliparamba, Puthiyatheru. The variations applied for are:

1. Extension from Dharmasala-Parassinikadavu-4 km.
2. Curtailment from Chiravakku to Pattuvam-6 km.
3. Trip curtailment from Kannur-Pattuvam (one round trip).
4. Trip added from Kannur-Parassinikadavu (one round trip).

The inquiry officer reported that the proposal is to reduce one trip from Kannur to Pattuvam and add one trip from Kannur to Parassinikadavu by extension from Dharmasala to Parassinikadavu. Parassinikadavu is a well-known pilgrim center in Kannur District. The matter was considered earlier by this authority's meeting dated 17/03/2023, vide item no. 151, and adjourned for a detailed report regarding the effect of curtailment of Chiravakku to Pattuvam-6 km, which would adversely affect the traveling public and students. Hence, the variation of the permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 168**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 13 AA 3787 permitted to operate on the route Koyyam-Cherukunnuthara via Valakkai, Karimbam, Thaliparamba, Dharmasala, Ozhakrom, Anchampeedika, Chinaclay Junction, Chera, Morazha Center. The permit holder has applied for a variation of permit with the following changes:

1. Extension from 7th mile-Chera via Koovode-6.5 km & Vellikkeel-Kunharayal via Kummanad-1.5 km.
2. Trip curtailment: one round trip from Koyyam-Cherukunnuthara.
3. Deviation of one single trip from Cherukunnuthra-Thaliparamba via Morazha Centre by curtailing the trip Ozhakrom-Cherukunnuthara via Mottammal.

4. One single trip deviation from Thaliparamba to Morazha via 7th Mile, Koovode, Kummanad, Kunharayal by curtailing 7th Mile-Kunharayal via Dharmasala, Ozhakrom.

This application was considered by this authority on 07/03/2023, vide item no. 152, and adjourned for a detailed report regarding the impact of curtailment. The field officer, Thaliparamba, who was entrusted with the specific inquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that curtailment on the route from Koyyam to Thaliparamba will adversely affect the traveling public, especially students. During the meeting, Private Bus Operators objected to the variation, stating that it would lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion. Hence, considering the above facts, the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 169**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 R 4615 operating on the route Koottupuzha-Kallikandy via Vallithode, Iritty, Mattannur, Kuthuparamba, Thalassery, Madapeedika, Mekkunnu as LS OS. The permit holder has applied for a variation of permit with the curtailment from Thalassery-Kallikandy via Madapeedika, Mekkunnu.

The application was considered by the RTA on 07.03.2023, vide Item No. 155, and was adjourned for a report on the necessity of the variation envisaged under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rule 1989. The field officer, Thalassery, who was entrusted with the specific inquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that no such necessity has arisen. During the meeting, Private Bus Operators objected to the variation, stating that it would lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion. Hence, the adjourned matter should be reconsidered accordingly, and the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 170**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 D 3585 operating on the route Valayam-Nadapuram-Thalassery via Kuruvanthery, Chekkiyad, Parakkadavu, Perode, Thuneri, Peringathur, Mundathod, Kadavathur, Mukkilepeedika, Chockli, Manjody, Chonadam, Kolassery as ordinary service. The permit holder has applied for a variation of permit with the following changes:

1. Curtailment from Parakkadavu to Peringathur via Nadapuram, Thuneri-14 km.
2. Additional Cut Trip from Parakkadavu-Peringathur via Kadavathur, Mukkilepeedika.

The application was considered by the RTA on 07.03.2023, vide Item No. 154, and was adjourned for a report on the necessity of the variation

envisaged under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rule 1989. The field officer, Thalassery, who was entrusted with the specific inquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that no such necessity has arisen. During the meeting, Private Bus Operators objected to the variation, stating that it would lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion. Hence, the adjourned matter should be reconsidered accordingly, and the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 171**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage S/C KL 13 T 2577 operating on the route Chendayad – Thalassery Hq Hospital via Panoor, Ponnampalam, 5th Mile as ordinary service. The permit holder has applied for a variation of permit with two extensions: 4 km from Chendayad to Samipeedika and 8 km from Thalassery to Pallur via Manjody, Madapeedika, Paral. The application was considered by the RTA on 07.03.2023, vide Item No. 153, and was adjourned for a report on the necessity of the variation envisaged under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rule 1989.

The field officer, Thalassery, who was entrusted with the specific inquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that no such possibility has arisen. During the meeting, Private Bus Operators objected to the variation, stating that it would lead to unhealthy competition between the en-route operators and traffic congestion. Hence, the adjourned matter should be reconsidered accordingly, and the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

#### **Item No. 172**

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 10 AB 2412 (New Vehicle KL 10 AG 3816) operating on the route Thalassery-Koothuparamba via Manjodi, Nangarathpeedika, Madapedika, Edayil peedika, Pookom, Chokli Registrar office, Paral, Panoor, Attimukku, Kadavathur, Kaivelikkal, Mulliyarthode, makkulpedika, Valliyai, Cheruvancherry, Marappalam, Kariyattupuram, Naravoor, Pookode, Pathipalam, Kathiroor, Eranjolippalam as ordinary service. The permit holder has applied for a variation of permit as a deviation of an additional trip from Panoor to Koothuparamba via Mutharipeedika, Varapra, Chendayad, Mavilery, Valliyayi, Marappalam, Kariyattupuram, Naravoor, Pookode. The application was considered by the RTA on 07.03.2023, vide Item No. 162, and was adjourned for a report on the necessity of the variation envisaged under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rule 1989.

The field officer, Thalassery, who was entrusted with the specific inquiry on the matter, has categorically reported that Rule 145(6) of KMV Rule is applicable in the case of extension through a newly built route or bridge, etc. Also, such a special facility is applicable in this case. The feasibility of the main trip of the above vehicle is connecting very interior remote, generally, very ill-served places, apart from Panoor and

Koothuparamba towns. Hence, the adjourned matter should be reconsidered accordingly, and the application for variation of permit is **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

#### **Item No. 173**

Heard. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 67 D 395 on the inter-district route Panathur – Iritty via Odayamchal, Parappa, Edathode, Vellarikkund, Beemanadi, Chittarikkal, Cherupuzha, Alakkode, Oduvally, Naduvul, Chemberi, Payyavur, Ulikkal as LSOS valid up to 13/07/2023. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence, the request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter-district route Panathur – Iritty via Odayamchal, Parappa, Edathode, Vellarikkund, Beemanadi, Chittarikkal, Cherupuzha, Alakkode, Oduvally, Naduvul, Chemberi, Payyavur, Ulikkal. Hence, the renewal of the permit is **granted** as Ordinary Service, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

#### **Item No. 174**

Heard. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 59 U 0201 on the inter-district route Panathur – Iritty via Kolichal, Odayamchal, Vellerikundu, Cherupuzha, Alakkode, Payyannur as LSOS valid up to 13/07/2023. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence, the request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter-district route Panathur – Iritty via Kolichal, Odayamchal, Vellerikundu, Cherupuzha, Alakkode, Payyannur. Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** as Ordinary Service, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

#### **Item No: 175**

Heard. This is a belated application for the renewal of a regular permit on the intra-district route Mayyil – Chemberi - Thaliparamba via Malappattam, Kaniyar Vayal, Sreekandapuram, Chundaparamba, Payyavoor, Kanhileri, as Ordinary Service valid until 21.06.2023, in respect of the stage carriage KL 59 Z 2483. But the application for renewal was not submitted on time. On perusal of records, this authority is convinced that

the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reasons from filing the application within the stipulated time as per Section 81(2). Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** for five years from the date of expiry of the permit, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, remittance of Rs. 7500/- as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

**Item No: 176**

Heard. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 58 AF 2303 on the inter-district route Thalassery – Iritty via Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, Uruvachal, Mattannur, Uliyil as LSOS valid until 26/07/2022. The application was not submitted on time. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence, the request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter-district route Thalassery – Iritty via Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, Uruvachal, Mattannur, Uliyil. Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** as Ordinary Service, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, remittance of Rs. 7500/- as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report, clearance of Government dues if any, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

**Item No: 177**

Heard. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 13 AR 1177 on the inter-district route Parassinikkadavu - Kozhikode - Kuthuparamba - via Dharmashala, Kannur, Thalassery, Vadakara, Chala, Mambaram, as LSOS valid until 04/12/2022. The application was not submitted on time. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence, the request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter-district route Parassinikkadavu - Kozhikode - Kuthuparamba - via Dharmashala, Kannur, Thalassery, Vadakara, Chala, Mambaram. Hence, **the renewal of the permit is granted** as Ordinary Service, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

**Item No: 178**

Heard. This is a belated application for the renewal of a regular permit on the intra-district route Parassinikkadave - Athyarkavu via Cheruvanchery, Kottiyodi, Pookode, Thalassery, Kannur Civil Station, Kannur NBS, Puthiyatheru, Dharmashala, as Ordinary Service valid until 29.05.2022, in respect of the stage carriage KL-18/F-8757. But the

application for renewal was submitted on 06.07.2023. On perusal of records, this authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reasons from filing the application within the stipulated time as per Section 81(2). Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** for five years from the date of expiry of the permit, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, remittance of Rs. 7500/- as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

**Item No: 179**

Heard. This is a belated application for the renewal of a regular permit on the intra-district route Meloor - Kuthuparamba via Thalassery, Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, as Ordinary Service valid until 31.01.2023, in respect of the stage carriage KL-13/L-8205. But the application for renewal was submitted on 22.02.2023. On perusal of records, this authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reasons from filing the application within the stipulated time as per Section 81(2). Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** for five years from the date of expiry of the permit, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, remittance of Rs. 7500/- as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

**Item No: 180**

Heard. This is a belated application for the renewal of a regular permit on the intra-district route Nirmalagiri College – Vatakara via Panoor, Chokli, Mahe, as Ordinary Service valid until 31.10.2020, in respect of the stage carriage KL-58/R-9733. But the application for renewal was submitted on 22.02.2023. On perusal of records, this authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reasons from filing the application within the stipulated time as per Section 81(2). Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** for five years from the date of expiry of the permit, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, remittance of Rs. 7500/- as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

**Item No: 181**

Heard. This is a belated application for the renewal of a regular permit on the intra-district route Varam Kadavu - Kannur HQ Hospital as Ordinary Service valid until 31.10.2020, in respect of the stage carriage KL-13 AE 5793. But the application for renewal was submitted on 10.04.2023. On perusal of records, this authority is convinced that the applicant was

prevented by good and sufficient reasons from filing the application within the stipulated time as per Section 81(2). Hence, the **renewal of the permit is granted** for five years from the date of expiry of the permit, subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues if any, remittance of Rs. 7500/- as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

#### **Item No: 182**

Heard. This is an application (filed on 22.01.2021) for the renewal of a permit, which was valid until 22.01.2021, in respect of stage carriage KL 13 U 6688 to operate on the intra-district route Kannur - Mattool (Via) Cherukunnuthara, Mottambram. Since the validity of documents related to MV Act, 1988 & CMV Rules, 1989 was extended until 31.12.2021 by the Government due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the above application is treated as one filed in time. The Secretary RTA reported that an objection was received from Sri Muhammed Rafeeqe, brother of the permit holder, against the renewal application, stating that both the permit and vehicle were sold to him. This application was considered by this authority on 07/03/2023 and adjourned since the permit holder was absent. The matter was inquired by the Motor Vehicle Inspector Kannur and reported that:

1. The owner and the permit holder of the vehicle are Sri Nizar Ahammed S/o Mohammed P P, Near Saidarpally, Madayi Po, Kannur, and he states that he has not sold the vehicle or handed it over to anybody.
2. The above Stage Carriage is presently under the possession of Smt. Fathima Beevi, W/o Muhammed Rafeeq (brother of Nizar Ahammed, owner of the above S/C), with an agreement with Nizar Ahammed and is parked at RS no276/127, near Madayi Vayanashala, Madayi for the last two years and is not conducting any service and is in a dilapidated condition.
3. The owner Sri Nizar Ahammed and Mohammed Rafeeq are brothers and they are in dispute and in clash in this case.
4. It is also known during the enquiry that Sri Nizar Ahammed had sold the permit to another third party in oral and he is conducting service with another S/C with a temporary permit of KL 13 U 6688.

On perusal of the objection, enquiry report submitted by the field officer, this authority has come to the view that the permit holder deviated from the conditions in the permit applications. Hence, the above application for the **renewal of the permit is rejected**.

#### **Item No. 183**

Heard. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL-58 AH 5277 on the intra-district route Kelakam-Kannur (Via) Manathana, Peravoor, Iritty, Mattannur, Chalode, Mele Chovva, as LSOS valid up to 08/04/2023. The application was submitted on



21/06/2023, which is not in time. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence the request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter-district route Thalassery – Iritty via Kadirur, Kuthuparamba, Uruvachal, Mattannur, Uliyil. Hence the **renewal of the permit is granted** as Ordinary Service subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, Remittance of Rs.7500/- as a compounding fee or getting the service verification report, clearance of Government dues, if any, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

#### **Item No. 184**

Heard. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 59 C 8080 (New vehicle: KL 59 Z 3636) operating on the inter-district route Panathur-Iritty via Odayamchal, Vellarikund, Chittarikkal, Cherupuzha, Alakkode, Naduvil, Sreekandapuram, Payyavoor, Iritty, as LSOS valid up to 30.11.2022. The application was submitted in time. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct Limited Stop Ordinary Service. Hence the request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter-district route Panathur-Iritty via Odayamchal, Vellarikund, Chittarikkal, Cherupuzha, Alakkode, Naduvil, Sreekandapuram, Payyavoor, Iritty. Hence the **renewal of the permit is granted** as Ordinary Service subject to the production of NOC from the financier if applicable, clearance of Government dues, if any, and remittance of application fees if not paid.

#### **Item No. 185**

Heard. Perused the order of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPC no:19230/2021. This is an application for the renewal of a regular permit in respect of the stage carriage KL 58 C 4329 operating on the intra-district route Mattool Kannur Via Puthiyangady Beach, Mottambram, Pazhayangady as Ordinary Service valid up to 08/09/2021. On verification of the application and connected file, this authority has a view that the permit holder fails to comply with the order of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPC No 19230/2021 within the prescribed time. Hence, it is decided **to decline the renewal of the permit. In view of the decision above, the replacement application is dismissed as in-fructuous.**

#### **Item No: 186**

Heard. This is an application for the replacement of Stage Carriage KL 58 E 7447 with Stage Carriage KL 58 AG 3668. The outgoing vehicle is operating with permit No. KL/1313/165/2001 valid up to 20/10/2026 on the route Maloor-Thalassery via Kanhilery, Karetta, Thokkilangady,

Koothuparamba, Kathirur. The basic permit of the permit was Stage Carriage KRC 2529 with seating capacity 43 in all. Considered the application as per section 83 of MV act read with Rule 174 of KMV Rules. The basic permit was issued to a vehicle with seating capacity 43. Considering this aspect, the material difference does not exceed the 25% limit. Moreover, the incoming vehicle was registered as per BUS Body Code. As per the letter no C1/64/2023 Tc dated 25.03.2023 Transport Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram directs to grant the replacement of the vehicle without considering the difference in quarterly tax. Hence **Replacement is granted.**

### **Item No. 187**

Ratified

### **Supplementary Item - Item No S - 01**

Heard. Perused the order of the Judgment in Hon'ble High Court in WA No 586/2023 of WPC No 19223/2021. This is an application for a variation of permit in respect of Autorickshaw operators within the Municipality Limit in the light of Judgment in Hon'ble High Court in WA No 586/2023 of WPC No 19223/2021 dated 05/04/2023. This application was considered by this Authority on 05.02.2021 vide item No 07 and was rejected. Petitioners approached the Hon'ble High Court against this order vide WA No 586/2023 of WPC No 19223/2021. The Hon'ble High Court delivered the order as follows: "Petitioners have an alternative remedy which has not been availed. Order Ext. P4 (Decision of RTA dated 05/02/2021) does not reveal any of the conditions impelling the petitioners to approach directly to this court. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to avail the remedy of appeal against the decision dated 05.02.2021 by excluding the period spent in this Court."

RTA cannot review its own decision. Hence, the request is **rejected.**

### **Item No S - 02**

This is an application for a fresh regular permit in respect of a new or suitable vehicle to operate on the route Thalassery-Koothuparamba via Bremavemukku, Ottachimakode, 6th mile, Panunda, Kottayathangandi, Pookode, Kadirur, Ukas Motta for five years from the date of issue. The enquiry officer reported that the proposed route passes through very interior rural places so as to connect Koothuparamba Municipal Town and Thalassery taluk headquarters. Bus traveling facilities are rare at present, touching these initial places towards Thalassery. The local public and student community in these areas are suffering from the scarcity of bus

traveling facilities. The frequency report shows that there is a demand for stage carriage services.

Hence, a regular permit on the route Thalassery-Koothuparamba via Bremavemukku, Ottachimakode, 6th mile, Panunda, Kottayathangandi, Pookode, Kadirur, Ukas Motta as ordinary service is **granted**, subject to the settlement of timings and production of current records of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision, failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

**Item No 03-27**

The decision on these items is **adjourned**. The Secretary shall place these applications in the next meeting of RTA with due notice to the applicant, indicating the date, time, and venue of the meeting.

**Member 1. Sri. S. CHANDRASEKHAR,**  
**IAS, District Collector and Chairman,**  
**RTA Kannur.**

**Member 2. Smt. HEMALATHA.M. IPS**  
**District Police Chief, kannur Rural.**  
**RTA Kannur.**

**Member 3. Sri. R. Rajeev Deputy Transport Commissioner,**  
**North Zone, Kozhikode**  
**RTA Kannur.**