

DECISION OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY VATAKARA
DATED 21.08.2023

- Present:-**
1. **Smt. A.Geetha IAS,**
District Collector and Chairman,
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara.
 2. **Sri.Karuppusamy.R. IPS**
District Police Chief
Kozhikode (Rural) and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara
 3. **Sri.R.Rajeev**
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara

Item No: 1

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Inter district route Kallachi- Panoor (via) Nadapuram, Perode, Parakkadavu. Chittakandipalam, Kallikkandy and Parad as Ordinary service. It was earlier considered by this authority on 22.11.2022 vide item No.3, and adjourned on the ground that the concurrence of the sister RTA is needed and the same is not seen obtained. Now the concurrence obtained by the sister RTA Kannur held on 07.03.2023 vide item No.4. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle with in one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.2

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Puthiyakavumukku- Nanminda Via. Kinaloor Estate, Govt. College, Balussery, Kurumpoil, Vattoli Bazar, Kariyathankavu, Arapeedika as Ordinary service. It was earlier considered by this authority on 11.04.2023 vide item No.9, adjourned for Road fitness certificate from the concerned authority since the route portion from Puthiyakavumukku to Rarothumukku 1 Km is virgin portion. Now the Secretary, RTA reported that the road fitness certificate obtained from concerned authority. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle with in one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No: 3

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra -district route Sand Bank -Thottilpalam (Via) Vatakara, Pazhamkavu Junction, Malolmukku, Vykkilassery, Nadapuram, Kuttiadi. It was earlier considered by this authority on 11.04.2023 vide item No.13, adjourned with a direction to the Secretary, RTA to clarify the intermediates points overlap the nationalized sector on the route Vatakara – Thottilpalam. The Field Officer, RTO Vatakara categorically reported that the applied route is from Vatakara – Thottilpalam is through Vatakara, Pazhamkavu, Malolmukku, Vykkilassery, Orkkatteri, Nadapuram, Kuttiadi. Based on the detailed report there is no objectionable overlapping in the notified portion. There is no serious objection against this proposal. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.4

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Muthukad- Chakkittappara (via) Peruvannamuzhi, Perambra, Chembra and Thanikandi as ordinary service. Perused the connected file and the enquiry reports of the field officer. The majority of trips proposed by the applicant are in the well served sector Perambra-Chakkittappara. Muthukad is a ill served area. Only one single trip is provided in the proposal. Hence, the applicant is directed to submit a modified set of timing proposal, providing even number of trips to Muthukad. On receipt of such a proposal from the applicant, the secretary, shall conduct an enquiry regarding its feasibility and place the matter before this authority for reconsideration. For the compliance of the above directions, **the decision on the application is adjourned** .

Item No.5

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Balussery – Vatakara Via. Manjapalam, Kootalida, Moolad, Chalikkara, Muyipoth, Thodannur. The enquiry officer reported that the portion from Vatakara - Perambra- Chakkittappara is a well served sector and Chalikkara to Baluyserry via. Kootalida is a moderately served sector. During the meeting enroute operators objected the proposal since the proposal badly affect their service. From the above facts, this authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors of Balussery-Perambra- Vatakara region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.6

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Vatakara –Payyoli Via. Thodannur, Elambilad, Maniyioor, Attakundupalam, Keezhur. The enquiry Officer reported that the proposed route from Vatakara to Thodannur and Keezhur to Payyoli are well served sectors. From the above reasons, this authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors of Payyoli- Vatakara region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.7

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the Intra-district route Perambra- Vatakara via. Payyoli, Muyipoth, Chaniyamkadavu, Palachuvad. The enquiry Officer reported that the proposed route from Perambra- Vatakara and Palachuvad – Payyoli are well served sector. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors of Perambra- Vatakara region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.8

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Perambra-Koyilandy via. Chenoli, Nochad, Ekkattur, Tharammal, Kurudimukku, Parakulangara, Ottakandam, Naduvannur, Muthambi. The enquiry Officer reported that the proposed route is through interior and ill served areas lying between Perambra and Koyilandy touching Naduvannur. Proposed route provides connectivity with Koyilandy, Naduvannur and Perambra towns. Inhabitants of the proposed route depend on these towns for their day to day things. Considering the nature of the road, only LMV buses can be operated. There is no serious objections received against this proposal. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted with the condition that vehicle should be LMV** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.9

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Perambra-Parakulangara(Via) Chenoli, Nochad, Ekkattur, Tharammalangadi, Kurudimukku. On verification, it is found that the major focus of operation of the applicant is on the sector Perambra - Kurudimukku. Only two trip to Parakulangra. Only two trips are offered to Parakulangara. The Field officer reported that the route from Kurudimukku to parambath for a distance of 0.500 Kms the route passes through a relatively well served sector. During the meeting Bus operators Association objected the proposal. The primary preference of the applicant may lead to the severe and undesirable competition among the fellow operators and may result in traffic congestion and cause accidents, jeopardizing the safety and convenience of the general public. For the above reasons, **the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.10

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Pasukkadavu-Perambra Via Maruthomkara, Kuttiadi, Valakettu, Koolikunnu, Peruvayal, Palliyath, Avala, Pannimukku. Report of the enquiry officer reveals that majority of the trips are focus on Pasukkadavu – Maruthomkara-Kuttiadi sector. The only one single trip is provided to both Peruvayal and Palliyath. Paruval and Palliyath are comparatively ill served area than Pasukkadavu and Maruthomkara. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors of Pasukkadavu-Kuttiadi region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be an extremely difficult task. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.11

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Palliyath – Kuttiady (Via) Estate Road, Manjakunipally, Krichyakam School Road, Velam H.S, Valakettu, Neelechukunnu. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The enquiry Officer reported that the proposed route Palliyath- Kuttiadi Via. Manimala, Velam route passes through ill served interior areas of Kozhikode Dist. This route will be highly beneficial to the people living in this ill served area and benefit the tourist travelling to and from the newly started Kids Park Active Planet at Manimala. Presently there is no stage carriage operating on the major portion of the route. The MVI recommended LMV vehicle on the proposed route. There are no serious objections received against this proposal. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted with the condition that vehicle should be LMV** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.12

Applicant is absent while the matter is considered. Hence decision of the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is ***adjourned***. Secretary, RTA shall place the application in the next meeting of RTA after receiving the due notice to the applicant indicating the date, time and venue of the meeting.

Item No.13

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Vatakara-Payyoli (Via) Panikotty, Thurasserimukku, Maniyoor, Attakundupalam, Keezhur. Perused the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The enquiry report reveals that the proposed route from Vatakara – Panikotti and Kizhur –Payyoli are well served sectors. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be an extremely difficult task. ***Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.***

Item No.14

Applicant is absent while the matter is considered. Hence decision of the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is ***adjourned***. Secretary, RTA shall place the application in the next meeting of RTA after receiving the due notice to the applicant indicating the date, time and venue of the meeting.

Item No.15

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kumbalachola- Parakkadavu(Via) Kakkattil, Nadapuram. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The enquiry reveals that the Parakkadavu to Nadapuram and from Kakkattil-Kumbalachola via. Kaiveli are ill-served sectors. There are no serious objections received against this proposal.

Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.16

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Payyoli – Vatakara (Via) Keezhur, Thurasserimukku, Palayadnada, Panikkotty, Bank Road, Kurumthodi. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The proposed route from Vatakara – Panikotti and Kizhur –Payyoli are well served sectors. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is reject**

Item No.17

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koyilandy-Korapra (via) Muthambi, Naduvathur, Nidumpoyil and Kurumayilthazha. The Field Officer reported that the proposed route is through interior and ill served areas lying between Koyilandy and Korapra and Koyilandy and Nidumpoyil. Proposed route provides connectivity for the inhabitants of the ill served areas with Koyilandy town. Koyilandy to Muthambiis having buses in 10 to 15 minutes frequency. Considering the nature of the road, only LMV buses can be operated. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted with the condition that vehicle should be LMV** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.18

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Balussery- Naduvanur(via) Manjapalam, Palolimukku, Koottalida, Balussery HS, Kannadipoyil, Poonath. The Field Officer reported that the proposed route connects so many remote areas which are ill served. There is no overlapping with notified route/approved scheme in this proposal. The introduction of new permit through rural areas enrich the strength of public transport system and highly beneficial to the travelling public and students. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.19

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koyilandy-Perambra (via) muthambi, Uralloor, Parankulangara, Kurudimukku, Ekkattoor and Chathoththazha as Ordinary service. The Field Officer reported that the proposed route is through interior and ill served areas lying between Koyilandy and Perambra providing connectivity with Muthambi, Uralloor, Paramkulngara, Parambath, Kurudimukku, Tharammal, Ekkattur, Chathoththazhe and Novchad. Inhabitants of the interior areas of the proposed route depend on Perambra and Koyilandy Towns. No overlapping on the notified routes. Considering the nature of the road, only LMV buses can be operated. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted with the condition that vehicle should be LMV** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.20

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koorachundu- Perambra-Payyoli (via) Koderichal, Chembra, Meppayoor. Perused the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Field officer reported that the route portion Perambra – Payyoli is well served sector. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be an extremely difficult task. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.21

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Perambra-Koyilandy-Meppayur-Ulloorkadavu (via)Kannoor Bazar, Koyilandy, Arikulam, Anchampeedika, Kollam, Narakode. The Field officer reported that there is an objectionable overlapping as per G.O (P)No.42/2009/Tran dtd 14/7/2009 (Modified as per G.O(P) 13/2023/TRANS dtd 03.05.2023). **Hence application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.22

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Kannur Bazar-Meppayur (via)Ulliyeri, Naduvannur, Kavumthara, Kurudimukku, Narakkode. The Field officer reported that the applicants intension is to operate most of the trips from Naduvannur to Meppayur. During the meeting severe objection raised from the enroute operators. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.23

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Villiappally- Vadakara-Perambra (via) Thodannoor, Thiruvallloor, Chaniyamkadavu- Muyipoth. The field officer reported that the proposed route from Villiappally-Vadakara and Vadakara-Perambra are well served sectors. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.24

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Koyilandy- Puliyancheri LP School- Hill Bazaar (via) Anakkulam, Koyilothumpadi, Ottu Company, Kodakkattumuri and PuliyancheriPalli as Ordinary service. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. Portion of the route from Koyilandy to Aanakkulam (2.7km) overlaps notified routes. Permissible overlapping as per route length is 490 meters only. Hence overlapping is objectionable as per G. O(P)No.42/2009/Tran dtd 14/7/2009 (Modified as per G.O.(P) 13/2023/TRANS dtd 03.05.2023. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No.25

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit on the intra-district route Estatemukku- Kattippara via. Ekarool, Iyyad, Kariyathankavu, Vattolo Bazar, nanminda, Kurumpoil, Kinaloor, Usha School, Balussery as Ordinary service. The Field Officer reported that the proposed route connects so many remote areas which is ill served. The Introduction of new permit through rural areas enrich the strength of public transport system and highly beneficial to the travelling public and students. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings& production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No.26

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit in respect of stage carriage KL15 6509 to operate on the intra district route Vadakara-Panikotti-Elambilad-Menmunda-Gugamukku-Ayancherri-Kuttiadi-Perambra-Ulliyerri-Atholli. The permit is **granted** as applied for in respect of KL 15 6509 with the proposed timings.

Item No:27

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-58-B-909 permitted to operate on the inter district route Thottilpalam – Thalassery Via. Kuttiadi, Nadapuram, Peringathur, Chokli, Saidarpally .Down trip from Thalassery to Temple Gate via.Manjodi.Variation applied for all trips (up and down trips)via. Manjodi on the route Thottilpalam- Thalassery. This authority is of the view that granting this variation of permit will lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion at Manjodi, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. RTA Kannur held on 20.09.2007 vide item No. 75(1)(other item)restricted to allowing variation of permits curtailing Temple Gate and Saidarpally. **Hence the application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No. 28

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-56-R-2996 permitted to operate on the route Mankayam- Meppayur Via. Naduvannur, Ulliyeri, Kinaloor, Vattoli Bazar, Manjapalam, Kottaramukku, Kurudimukk and Vakayad. Variation applied for extension of route from Naduvannur to Kootalida via. Kottur. Perused the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The enquiry report reveals that the working hours of the driver and crew are more than 15 hours, which violates Sec. 91 of MV Act of 1988. Also the extension from Naduvannur to Kootalida will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. **Hence the application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No. 29

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-18 R 2457 permitted to operate on the route Thalasserry – Kozhikode as LSOS Via. Saidarpally,Peringathur, Nadapauram, Kayakdei, Thaleekkara, Kuttiadi, Perambra return trip Via. Manjodi. Variation applied for curtailment of two single trips from Kuttiadi to Nadapuram. The enquiry report reveals that the intension of the applicant is to alter the entire time schedule. Hence the application is not to be considered as a variation but to be treated as a new permit U/s 80(3). And the MVI also reported that there is no urgent necessity as per KMVR 145(6) for considering the proposed

variation. This authority is of the view that granting this variation of permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be an extremely difficult task. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No.30

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-76-A-2632 to operate on the route Vakayad- Kozhikode Medical College via Naduvannur, Ulliyeri, Atholi, Pavangad, Kozhikode. Variation applied for reduction of two round trips from Vakayad to Naduvannur. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Field officer reported that the curtailment of trips between Ulliyeri and Vakayad will affect the general travelling public and especially students. Curtailment is on ill served sector. In the existing route Pavangad to Kozhikode 7 Kms overlaps notified routes as per G.O (P) No.42/2009/Trans Dt. 14.07.2009 (Modified as per G.O(P) No.13/2013/Trans Dt. 03.05.2023 permit on the Vakayad -Kozhikode Medical College route is a saved permit. As per G.O (P) No.13/2013/Trans Dt. 03.05.2023 variation on saved permit is not permissible. Existing timings and proposal timings on the Kozhikode -Medical College sector is not uniform. The proposed curtailment will be highly disadvantageous to travelling public. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No.31

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-58 K4108 permitted to operate on the route Theekkuni-Kozhikode via. Poomukam, Vadayam, Kuttiadi, Perambra, Ulliyeri, Atholi, Pavangad. Variation applied for extension from Kozhikode to Kozhikode Medical College 2 single trip. The Enquiry Officer reported that the proposed extension of the route Theekkuni-Kuttiadi-Kozhikode to Medical College is beneficial to people living in remote inaccessible and ill-served interior areas of Kozhikode district. Patients accessing Kozhikode Medical College from these remote areas are presently constrained to change buses for reaching Kozhikode Medical College. In order to find a solution to this issue the Hon'ble MLA of Kuttiadi Sri. K P KunhammadkuttyMaster has recommended the extension of the morning trip of this existing

route. Various Medical College staff residing enroute, who have to reach the Medical College in time will find this route extension beneficial. The general reporting time for staff at Kozhikode Medical College is 7.30am. So introduction of this extension will benefit both the patients as well as the Medical College staff. **Hence the application for variation of permit is granted.**

Item No.32

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-18 K 7475 to operate on the route Pasukadavu- Perambra (via) Kuttiyadi, Thottilpalam, MullanKunnu, Adukath, Maruthonkara Road and Kadiyangad as Ordinary service. Variation applied for 1) Curtailment of route portion from Kuttiyadi to Thottilpalam and Kuttiyadi to Nadapuram.2) One round trip add from Pasukkadavu to Kuttiyadi. The Field officer reported that the proposed route Pasukadavu- Kuttiyadi route passes through ill served interior areas of Kozhikode district. The proposal time shows that early morning two trips from Pasukkadavu are curtailed. From this, it is clear that the applicant hide the curtailment on the ill served sector Pasukkadavu. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **For the above reasons, the application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No.33

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-10 AE 7206 to operate on the route Ulloorkkadvu -Ezhukudikkal Beach - Meppayur (via) Kannoar Bazar, Koyilandy, Kollam and Nellyyadi as Ordinary service. Variation applied for 1)3Round trip from Koyilandy to Ezhukudikkal(via) Market road, Ice Plant,Ezhukudikkal Bridge-extension 5.5 km.2) Two round trip curtailment from Koyilandy to Eazhukudikkal via Madakkara-curtailment 5.5km.This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Field officer reported that curtailment of all existing trips on the Koyilandy-Eazhukudikkal sector (via) Arangadath, Madakkara and Kavalad which will affect travelling public and students. The existing and proposed timings suggested by the applicant and the details of variation requested in P V A Form are not tallying and the application itself a vague. Since the permit is saved one, as per G.O (P) No.42/2009 Trans dtd 14/07/2009 (Modified as per G.O (P)No.13/2023/Trans dtd 03.05.2023 variation is not permissible. **For the above reasons, the application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No:34

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 12 C 9117 permitted to operate on the route Vatakara-Iringannur(via) Kainatty, Orkkattery, Puthiyangadi as Ordinary Service for

- 1) Change of halting place from Vatakara to Iringannur.
- 2) Reduction of one single trip from Vatakara to Iringannur 6.55 PM, morning trip starting from Iringannur at 6.25 AM. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Field officer reported that the proposed variation is violation of section 80(3) of MV Act 1988 as the halting place is interchanged and there exist no urgent necessity as per KMVR 145(6). Based on the fact that Vatakara to Edachery is a well served sector and remaining portion is moderately served. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **For the above reasons, the application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No: 35

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 56 L 9797 with permit No 18/57/1997 permitted to operate on the route Chuzhali-Koyilandy (via) Nadapuram,Vatakara as Ordinary Service for

- 1) Addition of one round trip from Nadapuram-Chuzhali and Chuzhali - Nadapuram. 2) Curtail the portion from Vatakara-Koyilandy. This authority examined the connected file and verified the enquiry reports of the field officer. The Field officer reported that, after the curtailment total length of the route is reduced to 28 Kms. So the permissible overlapping on the nationalized sector is 1.5 Kms. The route after variation is objectionable as modified G.O.(P) No.13/2013 dtd 03.05.2023. The variation result an addition of trip at 12.03 pm from Vatakara to Chuzhali. This route portion from Vatakara to Nadapuram is a well served saturated route. This authority is of the view that granting this variation of permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No.36

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit on the intra district route Vatakara –Kozhikode valid up to 29.11.2021 in respect of the stage carriage KL 56 A 4464. The vehicle financed with IKF Finance Ltd, 40-1-444, 3rd Floor, Corporate Centre, Labbipet, Vijayawada 999999. The registered owner informed that the financier is not issued Form 35 without any reason. He had informed that he paid full amount of Loan. In this regard the registered owner sent a letter to the financier requesting NOC. The Secretary, RTA reported that the registered owner produced the details of the above letter. The Secretary, RTA also sent a notice to the financier, calling to file any objection if any within 7 days of receipt of the letter. The financier acknowledged the letter and not responded till date.

Vide letter CI/22837/TC/2015 Dtd 4.12.2015, has issued a direction as follows. As per the Sec.51 of M V Act 1988, the registered owner shall obtain NO Objection Certificate from the financier if the vehicle is subject to hire purchase, lease or hypothecation agreement before applying for renewal of permit, for issue of Duplicate Certificate of Registration etc. But if the company is closed down without intimating the client, he cannot cancel the hypothecation of his vehicle or he cannot obtain any service connected with his vehicle. In such cases registered owner may be directed to send letter to the finance company by registered post with acknowledgement due. If the letter is returned un served, the same may be included along with the application for revocation of hire purchase, lease or hypothecation agreement. After this steps shall be taken to close hypothecation after obtaining an undertaking from the registered owner of the vehicle stating that if any liability will arise with regard to the agreement, it will be undertaken by the applicant. The registering authority/Additional registering authority shall note the details of such letters in the remarks of the hypothecation cancellation data base.

Hence this authority **granted the renewal of permit**, obtaining an undertaking from the registered owner of the vehicle stating that if any liability will arise with regard to the agreement, it will be undertaken by the applicant and subject to the clearance of government dues if any .

Item No.37

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit on the intra district route Vilangad - Vatakara - Kozhikode (Via) Nadapuram, Kainatty, Koyilandy As LSOS valid up to 14.12.2022 in respect of the stage carriage KL 18 K 1512. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the intra district route Vilangad-Kozhikode. **Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid.

Item No.38

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit on the intra district route Poozhithode - Kozhikode (VIA) Peruvannamuzhi, Kadiyangad, Perambra, Ulliyeri, Atholi as LSOS valid up to 01.11.2022 in respect of the stage carriage KL 47 F 3699. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the intra district route Poozhithode-Kozhikode.

Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid.

Item No.39

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit on the intra district route Kozhikode - Vilangad Via. Atholi, Perambra, Kuttiadi, Kallachi, Vanimel as LSOS valid up to 28.06.2023 in respect of the stage carriage KL 18 W 3251. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the intra district route Kozhikode- Vilangad. **Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid.

Item No.40

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit on the intra district route Kozhikode-Perambra-Kuttiadi (Via) Atholi, Ulliyeri And Balussery as LSOS valid up to 27.01.2023 in respect of the stage carriage KL 56 D 1017. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the intra district route Kozhikode-Perambra-Kuttiadi

Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid.

Item No.41

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit on the intra district route Thottilpalam-Kozhikode (Via) Kuttiady Perambra-Ulliyeri-Atholi as LSOS valid up to 07.07.2023 in respect of the stage carriage KL 18 K 9045. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the intra district route Thottilpalam -Kozhikode (Via) Kuttiady Perambra-Ulliyeri-Atholi. **Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid.

Item No.42

Heard, this is to consider the belated application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL-58-AH-6031 permitted to operate on the inter district route Kannur- Kozhikode as LSOS. The regular permit valid up to 15.10.2022 and permit renewal application received from the applicant on 29.04.2023 after expiry of the permit. On perusal of records, this authority is convinced that the applicant was prevented by good and sufficient reason from filing the application within the stipulated time as per section 81(2). The delay occurred in submitting the application is condoned. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route Kannur – Kozhikode. **Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any, remittance of Rs. 7500-/ as a compounding fee or obtaining the service verification report and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application for renewal.

Item No.43

Heard. This is an applications for renewal of regular permit No.18/381/2003 valid up to 29.10.2018 in respect of S/C KL 18 L 1221 (Leased vehicle) to operate on the route inter district route Mullankunnu – Thalassery (via) Thottilpalam, Kuttiadi as ordinary service for a further period of 5 years from 30.10.2018. This authority examined the connected file. The existing route bus S/c KL 18 L 1221 is a leased vehicle since 26.09.2018. Lease agreement expired on 25.09.2019. The vehicle is not operating since 01.10.2018 onwards. Now this application is considering almost after 5 years of expiry of permit. The applicant fails to submit any valid reason for not operating the vehicle. During the meeting severe objections received against the renewal of regular permit since the existing time of the vehicle is allotted to other vehicle. Hence this authority views that grant of this permit. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **Hence application for renewal of permit is rejected.**

Item No.44

Heard. These are applications for renewal, transfer of permit on account of the death of the permit holder, and replacement of permit in respect of S/C KL 18 2101 permitted to operate on the intra district route Vatakara – Kuttiadi, Thanneerpanthal, Katameri, Kainatty, Orkkatteri, Nadapuram Road, Villiappally - Mahepalam as OS with permit No.18/116/2001. This authority examined the connected file. The validity of permit is seen expired on 17.12.2021. Application for renewal of permit is filed almost after 1 ½ years of expiry. This is contrary to Sec. 81(2) of MV Act 1988. The legal heir is not submitted any valid reason to substantiate the inordinate delay on his part in submitting renewal application within the stipulated time as per MV Act. The applied route Vatakara – Mahepalam is a nationalized sector. The Age of the vehicle attained 20 years on 31.12.2022. For the above reason,
A) Renewal of permit is ***rejected.***
B) In view of the decision (A) above, the subsequent ***transfer of permit on account of the death of the permit holder, and replacement of permit applications are all dismissed as in fructuous.***

Item No.45

Heard.Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 13 AG 2390 to operate on the inter district route Nadapuram - Guruvayoor as LSFP (via) Kallachi, Kakkattu, Theekkuni, Gulikappuzha, Avala, Pannimukku, Perambra, Ulliyeri, Balusseri, Bye pass, Kozhikode, Froke NB, U.city, Kottakkal, Edappal, Kunnamkulam Morning trip via Pavangad, Atholi&Ulliyeri. The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route Kozhikode- Guruvayoor. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Nadapuram to Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode – Guruvayoor as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4)of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, **renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode- Guruvayoor**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No.46

Heard. Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL-78-A-2043 to operate on the inter district route Manakkayi – Guruvayoor as LSOS (Via) Iritti-Uruvachal-Koothuparamba- Thalassery-Vatakara-Kozhikode-Feroke-NB-Chankuvetty-Kuttiapuram-Edapal-Changaramkulam and Kunnamkulam.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Manakkayi to Ramanattukara. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Ramanattukara to Guruvayoor. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Manakkayi to Ramanattukara as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4) of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, ***renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Manakkayi to Ramanattukara***, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No.47

Heard.Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 11 AH 1786 to operate on the inter district Kuttiadi – Guruvayoor as Super-Fast (Via) Perambra, Ulliyery, Balussery, bye pass, Kozhikode, Ramanattukara, University, Kolappuram, Kottakkal, Chunguvetty without touching Kottakkal bus stand, Puthanathani, Valancheri, Kuttippuram, Edappal, Changaramkulam, kunnamkulam.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kozhikode-Guruvayoor. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Kuttiadi- Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode-Guruvayoor as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4) of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, **renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode- Guruvayoor**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

ItemNo.48

Heard.Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 08 AW 7799 to operate on the route inter district route Thalassery- Thrissur (via) Mahe, Vatakara, Kozhikode, Ramanattukara, University, Changuvetty (without touching kottakkal B S) Kuttipuram, Edappal, Kunnamkulam, Kacheri, Amala and Ponkunnam as Super fast service.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kozhikode- Thrissur. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Thalassery to Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode-Thrissur as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4)of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, **renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode- Thrissur**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No.49

Heard.Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL- 08 BA 5306 to operate on the route inter district route Thrissur-Kozhikode-Thalassery as Super-Fast (via) Poonkunnam, Kunnamkulam, Perumbilavu, Kadavallur,Changaramkulam, Edappal, Kuttippuram, Valanchery, Changuvettyb(without touching Kottakkal BS),Froke, Koyilandy.Vadakara.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kozhikode-Thrissur. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Thalassery to Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode-Thrissur as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4)of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, ***renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode- Thrissur***, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No.50

Heard.Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 65 J 5465 to operate on the route inter district route Kaiveli- Thrissur (via) kakkattil, Kuttiyadi, Perambra, Atholi, Ferok NB, University, Kolappuram without touching KottakkalValanchery, Edappal, Kunnamkulam and Kacheri as LSOS.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kozhikode-Thirur- Thrissur. The permit holder applied for curtailing the route portion from Kaiveli to Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode-Thirur -Thrissur as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4)of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, ***renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode- Thrissur***, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No:51

Heard. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL-18 X 9799 to operate on the inter district route Kaiveli- Thrissur (via) Kakkattil, Nadapuram, Vatakara return trip Kozhikode to west hill Chungam (via)Bye pass, Kozhikod,Feroke new bridge, university, Kolappuram, Changuvetty without touching Kottakkal, Valanchery, Kuttippuram, Edappal, Kunnamkulam,Keechery, Poonkunnam.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kaiveli - Valanchery. The permit holder applied for curtailing the route portion from Valanchery- Thrissur. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kaiveli - Valanchery as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4)of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, **renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kaiveli - Valanchery**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required

Item No:52

Heard. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit No.18/09/2005 in respect of S/C KL 45 S 9395 as Super fast, which was authorized to operate on the inter district Koonnanmavu-Kodungallor-Kannur as Super fast by curtailing the route from Valappad to Koonnanmavu and from Kannur to Kozhikode so that the total route length does not exceed 140 Kms in consistent with the Rule 2 (oa) of Kerala Motor Vehicles 1989 which states that an Ordinary Limited Stop service means a service which is operated on a route having a distance of not exceeding 140Kms which limited number of stops, having at least one stop in every fare stage.

The route enquiry report submitted by the MVI Vatakara reveals that the permit holder intend to curtail the route portion from Kannur – Kozhikode a distance of 90 Kms. The MVI Triprayar reported that permit holder is curtailing another portion from Koonanmavu to Valappad a distance of 42 Kms. From these curtailments the permit holder limits the route length below 140 Kms (130 Kms).

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4)of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Under these circumstances, the above application for **renewal and variation of permit is granted as Ordinary service to operate on the inter district route Kozhikode – Valappad** by allowing the proposed curtailment of the portion from Valappad to Koonnanmavu and from Kannur to Kozhikode subject to the production of NOC from financier, if

applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required. The secretary, RTA is directed to grant the replacement application subject to as per Rule 174 of KMV Rule 1989 and direction of Transport Commissioner Thiruvananthapuram vide letter No. C1/116265/2023 Dtd. 25.03.2023.

Item No:53

Heard. Perused. These are applications for renewal and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 10 BD 9872 to operate on the route inter district route Thalassery-Kozhikode-Thrissur via Mahe, Vatakara, Faroke NB, University, Kakkad, Changaramkulam, Kadavallur, Kunnamkulam, Poonkunnam as Super-fast service.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kozhikode-Thrissur. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Thalassery to Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode-Thrissur as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4) of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, renewal of permit is ***granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode-Thrissur***, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No:54

Heard. Perused. 1) This is an application for renewal of permit and variation of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 08 BC 9595 to operate on the inter district route Thrissur- Kannur as Super fast(via) Ponkunnam, Kunnamkulam, Changaramkulam, Edappal, Changuvetty, without touching kottakkal, University, Feroke N B Kozhikode, Vatakara and Thalassery.

The permit holder applied for renewal of permit and variation by limiting the route length below 140 Kms on the route from Kozhikode- Thrissur. The permit holder applied for curtailing on the route portion from Kannur to Kozhikode. He also applied for temporary permit to operate on the route Kozhikode- Thrissur as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4) of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence for the above facts, renewal of permit is ***granted as Ordinary Service from the date of expiry of permit on the route Kozhikode- Thrissur***, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid. Subsequently, Variation is allowed and decision on the temporary permit is not required.

Item No.55

Applicant is absent while the matter is considered. Hence decision of the application for renewal of permit is ***adjourned***. Secretary, RTA shall place the application in the next meeting of RTA after receiving the due notice to the applicant indicating the date, time and venue of the meeting.

Item No.56

Heard. This is an application for condone the delay in producing the current records in respect of S/C KL 57 C 9497 to operate on the inter district route Vatakara-Panoor Via Orkkatteri, Kunnummakkara, Kariyad, Mekkunnu. In the meeting of Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara held on 27.10.2021 vide item No.44, the renewal of permit was granted to the applicant on the above route. Though the decision of grant of permit was communicated to the applicant on 16.12.2021 with direction to produce current records within 30 days, the grantee failed to produce the same within the prescribed time. Now considering the request dated 14.06.2023 filed by the grantee, maximum time of four months in aggregate is allowed under Rule 159(2) of KMV Rules 1989, was already expired 15.04.2022, hence the ***request of the applicant is rejected and the grant of permit stands revoked.***

Item No.57

Heard. This is an applications for renewal of regular permit in respect of S/C KL 11 AW 8379 to operate on the inter district route Kannur-Kozhikode as LSOS for a further periods of 5 years. Since the State government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalisation of routes, the applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the intra district route Vilangad-Kozhikode. **Renewal of permit is granted as Ordinary Service**, subject to the production of NOC from financier, if applicable, clearance of Govt. dues, if any and remittance of application fees, if not paid.

Item No.58

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.59

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.60

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.61

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.62

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.63

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.64

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.65

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.66

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.67

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.68

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.69

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.70

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.71

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.72

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.73

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.74

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.75

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.76

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.77

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable.

Item No.78

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.79

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.80

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.81

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.82

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.83

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.84

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.85

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.86

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.87

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.88

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.89

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.90

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.91

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.92

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.93

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.94

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No: 95

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 56 B 8089 permitted to operate on the route ChombalaHarbour – Vatakara-Koyilandy –Kozhikode as LSOS. Variation applied for change of halting place from Kozhikode to Vatakara. The matter was enquired through the MVI, Vatakara and submitted the report. The enquiry report reveals that 1) curtailment of evening trips at 6.10 pm from Vatakara to Chombala Harbour and return to Vatakara and another trip at 6.50 pm from Vatakara to Kozhikode.2) Introduction of morning trip at 4.55 am from Vatakara to Chombala Harbour and return to Vatakara and another trip at 5.45 am from Vatakara to Kozhikode and return.

During the meeting KSRTC and other enroute operators objected the variation since there is a violation of clause 19 of G.O. (P) No.42/2009/Trans dated 14.07.2009. (Modified as per G.O (P) No.13/2013/Trans Dt. 03.05.2023. On perusing the application, enquiry report and objections the authority is of the view that the curtailment is on the ill served sector Chombala Harbour (2) trips badly affect the travelling public especially students of that area. The introduction of new trips on the well served notified sector will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. The observation of the MVI (the proposed variation violates the Sec 80(3) of the MV Act 1988, as the halting place is being inter changed without any urgent necessity as per KMVR 145(6) also to be considered. ***For the above reasons, application for variation of permit is rejected.***

Item No.96

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No.97

Perused the Order of Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in WPC No.32529/2022.

ഒരുകൂട്ടം അപേക്ഷകർ തങ്ങളുടെ ഇലക്ട്രിക് ഓട്ടോറിക്ഷകൾക്ക് വടകര മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റിയിൽ പാർക്കിംഗ് പ്ലേസ് പെർമിറ്റിനായ് നൽകിയ അപേക്ഷകളാണിത്. ഈ അതോറിറ്റിയുടെ 22.11.2022 ലെ മീറ്റിംഗിൽ ഐറ്റം നമ്പർ 78 ആയി ഈ അപേക്ഷകൾ പരിഗണിക്കുകയും ഈ വിഷയത്തിൽ തീരുമാനം എടുക്കാനുതകുന്ന റിപ്പോർട്ട് നൽകുവാൻ സെക്രട്ടറി ആർ ടി എ യെ ചുമതലപ്പെടുത്തുകയും ചെയ്തു. ഇതു പ്രകാരം ട്രാഫിക് റെഗുലേറ്ററി കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ യോഗത്തിൽ ഓട്ടോറിക്ഷകൾക്ക് നിലവിൽ അനുവദിച്ച പാർക്കിംഗ് സ്ഥലത്തു പരമാവധി പെർമിറ്റുകൾ അനുവദിക്കപ്പെട്ടതിനാൽ വടകര മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റി പുതിയ പാർക്കിംഗ് സ്ഥലം അനുവദിച്ചാൽ മാത്രമേ പുതിയ പെർമിറ്റുകൾ അനുവദിക്കാൻ കഴിയൂ എന്ന വിദഗ്ധ സമിതിയുടെ റിപ്പോർട്ട് അംഗീകരിച്ചു. ട്രാഫിക് റെഗുലേറ്ററി കമ്മിറ്റി പുതിയ പാർക്കിംഗ് പ്ലേസ് മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റിയിൽ കണ്ടെത്തുവാൻ ഈ റിപ്പോർട്ട് വടകര മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റിക്ക് കൈമാറി. മേൽവസ്തുതകളിൽനിന്നും വടകര മുനിസിപ്പാലിറ്റി പുതിയ പാർക്കിംഗ് സ്ഥലം അനുവദിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് മാനദണ്ഡങ്ങൾക്ക് വിധേയമായി മുൻഗണന ക്രമത്തിൽ അപേക്ഷകളിൽ തീരുമാനം കൈക്കൊള്ളുവാൻ സെക്രട്ടറി ആർ ടി എ ചുമതലപ്പെടുത്തുന്നു.

Item No.98

ശ്രീ പി പി രാജീവൻ ആയഞ്ചേരി പഞ്ചായത്ത് ബസ് സ്റ്റാൻഡ് സംരക്ഷണ സമിതിക്കുവേണ്ടി ആയഞ്ചേരി ടൗണിൽ പണി കഴിപ്പിച്ച ബസ് സ്റ്റാൻഡിൽ ബസ്സുകൾ കയറുന്നില്ല എന്ന പരാതി കരുതലും കൈത്താങ്ങും എന്ന വടകര താലൂക്കിലെ അദാലത്തിൽ ഉന്നയിച്ചു. അദാലത്ത് പരാതിയിൽ അന്വേഷണം നടത്തുന്നതിനായി സെക്രട്ടറി ആർ ടി എ വടകരയെ ചുമതലപ്പെടുത്തി.

ഈ വിഷയത്തിൽ അന്വേഷണം നടത്തിയ എം വി ഐ വടകര ആയഞ്ചേരി ടൗണിൽ നിർമ്മിച്ച ബസ് സ്റ്റാൻഡ് K M V Rule 344 of Rule 1989 പറയുന്ന നിബന്ധനകൾ പാലിക്കുന്നില്ല എന്ന് റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. നിലവിലെ നിയമപ്രകാരം ബസ് സ്റ്റാൻഡിന്റെ നിർമ്മാണവും പരിപാലനവും ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട തദ്ദേശ സ്വയംഭരണ സ്ഥാപനങ്ങളിൽ നിക്ഷിപ്തമാണ്. ആയതിനാൽ ഈ അതോറിറ്റി ആയഞ്ചേരി പഞ്ചായത്ത് സെക്രട്ടറിയെ ബസ് സ്റ്റാൻഡിന്റെ അംഗീകാരത്തിനുള്ള നടപടികൾ സ്വീകരിക്കുവാൻ ചുമതലപ്പെടുത്തുന്നു. അതുവരെ ഈ വിഷയത്തിലുള്ള തീരുമാനം മാറ്റിവയ്ക്കുന്നു.

Item No: 99

Ratified the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Vatakara.

Item No: 100

Nil

Item No: 101

Will be decided later.

Item No: Supplementary 1

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra district route Vatakara- Kuttiadi (via) Villiappally, Ayanchery, Poomugham, Vadayam.

It was earlier considered by the RTA dt. 11.04.2023. vide item No.11, and adjourned for the frequency of service on the applied route to verify whether the grant of new permit create any unhealthy competition among the operators. In this regard, MVI Vatakara submitted the frequency report and categorically report that grant of this permit will not create any chance of unhealthy competition. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No: Supplementary 2

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra district route Perambra – Kayanna (Via) Unnikunnu, Marutheri, Kottappuram, Kurikkalkolly. The Field Officer reported that the proposed route Perambra-Kayannavia.Marutheri passes through ill served interior areas of Kozhikode Dist. This route will be considerably beneficial to the people living in this ill served area and will benefit the students travelling to and from different Educational institutions like Perambra HSS and inhabitants working at Perambra. As the major portion of the proposal is intended for the service of people living in the interior areas, the new permit will be beneficial for the public. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No: Supplementary 3

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to operate on the intra district route Poozhithode- Chakkittappara Via. Chembanoda, Peruvannamuzhi, Perambra, Thanikandipalam, Valayamkandam. On scrutiny of the file the enquiry officer reveals that theproposed route Perambra-Peruvannamuzhi-Chakkittappara- Poozhithode passes through ill served interior areas of Kozhikode Dist. The proposed route will be beneficial for the travelling publics in interior ill served area like Thanikandi, Chakkittappara,Poozhithode permit will be beneficial for the public. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notice.

Item No: Supplementary 4

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to Operate on the intra district route Kinaloor – Permbra Via. Ervadimukku, Kappiyil, Ekarool, Kootalida, Chedikkulam, Narayamkulam, Kayanna, Muliyingal. The Field Officer reveals that the proposed route connects so many remote area in Kozhikode district which are ill-served. The introduction of new stage carriage service enrich the strength of public transport service and reduce the use of private vehicles and thereby reduce the vehicle pollution. **Hence regular permit as ordinary service is granted** subject to settlement of timings & production of current records of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of this decision failing which sanction will be revoked without further notic

Item No: Supplementary 5

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to Operate on the intra district route Thalayad-Koyilandy(via) 28th mile, Thonikadavu, Kallanode, Koorachundu, Chembra, Perambra, Meppayur, Keezhariyur, Ulliyeri, Balussery, EstateMukku and Kollam. On scrutiny of the file and the report of the enquiry officer reveals that the major trip is on the route Meppayoor to Koyilandy. The portion is presently well served. The route Koyilandy- Meppayur is well served and stage carriage services are available at an average interval of 10 minutes. The proposed route Thalayad is offered to overcome the overlapping of the notified sector. This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors of Meppayur -Koyilandy region and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No: Supplementary 6

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to Operate on the intra district route Thalayad - Koorachund (Via) Estatemukku, Balussery, Ulliyeri ,Ulloor Town, Ulloor Road, Koyilandy, Kollam, Meppayur, Perambra, Koorachund, 28th Mile as Ordinary service. On scrutiny of the file the report of enquiry officer reveals that there is an overlapping in the notified sector a distance of 2.7 kms. The applied route is from Thalayad to Koorachund. There is only single trips are proposed to Thalayad , Meppayur and Perambra. It is very clear that the intension of the applicant is to overcome the overlapping the notified sector. The MVI also reported that intermediate places mentioned in the proposed timing is differ.

The enquiry report reveals that the working hours of the driver and crew are more than 15 hours, which violates Sec. 91 of MV Act of 1988. Also it will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sectors and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. **Hence the application for variation of permit is rejected.**

Item No: Supplementary 7

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular stage carriage permit to Operate on the intra district route Vatakara – Chakkittappara (Via) Chaniyamkadavu, Muyipoth, Perambra, Thanikandipalam, Valayamkandam. The report of the enquiry officer reveals that the proposed route Vatakara – Perambra is well served and services are available at an average interval of 10 mts. The portion Chakkittappara –Perambra is presently ill served, but a few applications have been reported recently.

This authority is of the view that granting this permit will unsettle the present state of equilibrium of timings prevailing among the stage carriage operators in the well served sector Vatakara- Perambra (reported as 5 to 10 mts gap) and may lead to unhealthy competition between the en route operators and cause traffic congestion, which in turn will harmfully affect the travelling public as well as other road users. Also settling timings amicably, avoiding time clashes with existing operators will be and extremely difficult task. **Hence the application for fresh regular stage carriage permit is rejected.**

Item No: Supplementary 8

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit in respect of S/C KL-08-BN-3497 to operate on the inter district route Thalassery- Trissur as LSFP. (Route length above 140 Kms) 2. To consider the application for temporary permit U/s 87(1) d for 4 months in respect of S/C KL-08-BN-3497 to operate on the inter district route Thalassery- Trissur as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4) of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence, decision on this application for renewal of permit is **adjourned** by directing the applicant to file a proposal as directed in the Notification mentioned above(Limiting route length below 140 Kms). On receipt of such proposal from the applicant, the Secretary, RTA shall conduct an enquiry regarding the feasibility and place the matter before the concerned authority for reconsideration.

Item No: Supplementary 9

Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit in respect of S/C KL-41-L-3487 to operate on the inter district route Ernakulam South BS- Thalassery as Super Fast. (Route length above 140 Kms)

2. To consider the application for temporary permit U/s 87(1) d for 4 months in respect of S/C KL-41-L-3487 to operate on the inter district route Ernakulam South BS- Thalassery as LSOS.

This authority considered this matter earlier and adjourned for the finalization of scheme. Since the State Government had published the final notification and modified the scheme of nationalization of routes vide G.O.(P) No.13/2023/Tran dated 03/05/2023 (SRO No.537/2023). As per Serial No.4 (4) of this Notification, If the existing route length exceeds 140 Kms, such ordinary service shall be permitted to curtail the route length provided the trips shall not be increased in the notified route. The applicant is not eligible for getting a permit to conduct limited stop ordinary service. Hence request of renewal of permit as LSOS is denied. The applicant is permitted to conduct only ordinary service on the inter district route.

Hence, decision on this application for renewal of permit is **adjourned** by directing the applicant to file a proposal as directed in the Notification mentioned above (Limiting route length below 140 Kms). On receipt of such proposal from the applicant, the Secretary, RTA shall conduct an enquiry regarding the feasibility and place the matter before the concerned authority for reconsideration.

Item No. Supplementary 10.

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable

Item No. Supplementary 11.

Heard. This is an application for regular variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-18-U-153, permitted to operate on the route Vatakara – Nadapuram – Kokri – Kuttyadi – Thottilpalam (via) Nadapuram, Kallachi, Valayam, Chuzhali, Muthukkutti and Kallunira. Variation applied for

- 1) Additional trip from Nadapuram to Vatakara and Valayam to Vatakara
- 2) Curtailing the portion from Valayam to Kokkrivia. Thanimuku, Kallunira
- 3) Change of halting place from Nadapuram to Valayam
- 4) Extension of trips from Kallunira to Valayam via. Kundumkara.
- 5) Curtailment of trip Kokkri-Chuzhali-Nadapuram-Thottilpalam

The report of the enquiry officer reveals that 1) curtailment of Valayam to Kokkri via. Thanimukku, Chuzhali and from Valayam to Vatakara, an ill served sectors and remote areas, will affect adversely on the travelling public on these sectors. 2) The interchange of halting place a violation of Sec 80(3) of M.V Act 1988. 3) There is no urgent necessity as per KMV R 145(6). During the meeting severe objection received against the variation since the curtailment are on ill served remote areas. Moreover the intension of the applicant is to alter the entire time schedule and the application not to be considered as a variation but to be treated as a fresh permit under Sec 80 (3). Also the proposed variation of additional trip on the notified route from Vatakara to Kainatti is violates clause 19 of G.O. (P) No.42/2009/Trans dated 14.07.2009. (Modified as per G.O (P) No.13/2013/Trans Dt. 03.05.2023). **In view of the above application for variation of permit is rejected**

1. **Smt. A.Geetha IAS,**
District Collector and Chairman,
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara.

District Collector
Kozhikode

2. **Sri. Karuppasamy. R. IPS**
District Police Chief
Kozhikode (Rural) and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara

KARUPPASAMY. R. IPS
DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF
KOZHIKODE RURAL
VATAKARA

3. **Sri. R. Rajeev**
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara

DEPUTY TRANSPORT COMMISSIONER
NORTH ZONE, KOZHIKODE.