

DECISION OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY VATAKARA DATED 22.11.2022

***Present:- 1. Dr. Narasimhugari T.L.Reddy, IAS,
District Collector and Chairman,
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara.***

***2. Sri.Karuppaswamy.R. IPS
District Police Chief
Kozhikode (Rural) and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara***

***3. Sri.R.Rajeev
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara***

Item No:01 to 6 .

The application for fresh permit from one to six heard in open forum more field enquiry necessary decision will be inform later.

Item No: 7

Heard. This is an application for condone the delay in producing the current records for the issue of regular permit granted by RTA held on 03.02.2021 vide item No.1 to operate on the route Vatakara- Kuttiadi. Application for regular permit was granted by RTA in its meeting held on 03.02.2021. On the decision of RTA it was directed to produce the current records of a suitable stage carriage for endorsing the permit granted within thirty days of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of regular permit will be treated as revoked without further notice. Decision communicated on 06.07.2021. The vehicle offered by the grantee was S/C KL 18 R 1494 on 30.08.2022. Maximum time allowable under Rule 159(2) of KMV Rule 1989 is over. Hence the decision of RTA Vatakara held on 03.02.2021 vide item Ni.1 is stands **revoked**.

Item No. 8

“Heard. This is request of RTA, Kannur for concurrence in respect of S/C KL 58 D-4559 for variation of permit, permitted to operate on the route Kuthuparamba-Thalassery. The proposed variation includes extension of route from Panoor to Nadapuram via. Parat, Kallikandy, Chettakandy, Parakkadavu and Perode a distant of 15.5 Kms .Out of this, a distance of 8 Kms lies in Kozhikode district and comes under the jurisdiction of RTA, Vatakara. **Concurrence granted** since the proposed extension, the portion of the route from Chettakandy Bridge to Nadapuram a distance of 8 Kms lies in Kozhikode district and comes under the jurisdiction of RTA Vatakara. There is no virgin portion on the route portion from Chettakandy Bridge to Nadapauram and there is no overlapping with any notified routes.

Item No. 9

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-18 K 1161 permitted to operate on the route Kozhikode - Ulliyeri - Perambra - Kuttyadi - Nadapuram - Kainatty - Vatakara - Koyilandy - Kozhikode (Circular Route) as LSOS. Variation applied for 1) One additional trip from Kuttiadi –Kozhikode (via) Perambra, Ulliyeri, Atholi, Pavangad on morning time.
2) Avoid the only one morning trip from Kuttiadi to Vatakara and to extend one later night trip from Kuttiadi to Vatakara along with removal of resting time at Ulliyeri. The proposed variation violates Sec.91 of MV Act 1988 and Rule 188 &189 of KMV Rules 1989. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

Item No.10

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 33 M 3400** to operate on the route Thalassery – Thottilpalam via. Manjodi, Nadapuram, Kuttiadiby

- 1) Additional one round trip from Chokli to Peringathur.
- 2) Change of halting place from Chokli to Peringathur

This matter was considered in the meeting dated 11.05.2022 of this authority. Vide decision item No.10 the RTA, adjourned the matter with direction to the Secretary to furnish a detailed report. The enquiry revealed that there is no curtailment or reduction of trip made in this case. The proposed changes are made only for the arrangements of buses labour facility as well as the public convenience. The time advancing of night trip and the commencement of early morning trip will definitely much beneficial to the travelling public of Chokli and Peringathur area. After the proposed variation, this will be the first stage carriage starting service from Peringathur to Thalassery. The variation is within the prescribed limit of 80(3) (1) of M V Act. Hence variation of permit is **granted**, subject to settlement of timings”.

Item No.11

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an Application for variation of permit in respect of **KL-13-AD 2151** to operate on the route Villiappally – Kozhikode via Vatakara, Koyilandy as LSOS. Variation applied for

- 1) Extension from Villiappally to Kalleri Ambalam
- 2) Extension from Kozhikode to Kozhikode Medical College

The enquiry revealed that the proposed variation for extension from Villappally to Kalleri Ambalam and extension from Kozhikode to Kozhikode Medical College will be beneficial for the general public to reach Medical College from remote places directly. The route from Kozhikode to Kozhikode Medical College is well served one. Severe objections raised by the City operators bus owners against the extension from Kozhikode to Kozhikode Medical College. Kerala Govt vide GO(P) No.27/2000/Trans Dt. 10.09.2000 number of city services are restricted. Granting this permit on the route Kozhikode to Kozhikode Medical college will leads to unhealthy competition among the enroute operators and causes frequent accidents. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

Item No.12

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-18 X 0649** to operate on the route Kallachi-Thottilpalam-Vatakara. Variation applied for

- 1) Add one additional round trip from Nadapuram-Kallachi 2 Kms Morning first trip)
- 2) Add one additional round trip from Kallachi-Kakkatt 3 Kms (last trip).

The enquiry revealed that the proposed variation will be beneficial to the travelling public. There is no virgin portion and no additional notified sector in this variation portion (4 Kms in the existing route from Vatakara to Kainatty). There is no violation of Sec. 80(3) of M V Act. Hence variation of permit is **granted**, subject to settlement of timings”.

Item No.13

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-58-4536** to operate on the route Thalassery-Attakundukadavu Via. Vatakara, Maniyoor. Variation applied for

- 1) Trip curtailment from Thalassery to Vatakara in the Morning first trip)
- 2) Change of halting place from Thalassery to Vatakara
- 3) One additional trip from Vatakara to Thalassery in the afternoon time.
- 4) Vatakara to Maniyoor trip changed from afternoon to night.

The enquiry revealed that the proposed curtailment of last trip from Vatakara to Thalassery will affect the general public travelling in the last hours of the day. Curtailment of afternoon trip to Maniyoor will affect the general publics, and also severe objection raised by the enroute operators. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.14

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-06 C 975** permitted to operate on the route Koyilandy- Karattuparavia.Puthanchery, Ulliyeri, Meppayur, Kavumvattam as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for adding five round trips from Koyilandy- Kavumvattam. The enquiry revealed that theproposed changes of timings on the ill served sectors will affect the travelling public. The applicant, except existing timings of the existing 8 single trips on the Koyilandy- Kavumvattam sector, timings of all other trips are proposed to be changed. Change of existing timings will affect the travelling public and other existing operators on the route especially students. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.15

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-18 M 1236** to operate on the route Gulikapuzha –Kozhikode asLSOS via.Theekuni, Ayancheri, Kottappally,Vatakara, Koyilandy. Variation applied for

- 1) Curtailing the portion from Gulikapuzha to Vatakara 21 KMs
- 2) Change of halting place from Gulikapuzha to Vatakara

The enquiry revealed that the proposed variation will affect the travelling public since the Gulikapuzha to Vatakara is ill served route and the curtailment is in the morning and night trip. The enquiry officer not recommended the variation. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.16

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-09 Z 565** permitted to operate on the route Kolavipalam- Vatakara via. Moorad and Arabic College as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for 1) Re arrangement of trip on the Arabic College- Vatakara route (7.50 PM trip from Arabic College to Vatakara and rerun trip from Vatakara is re arranged to morning as 6 15 AM passing time from Arabic college to Vatakara and return from Vatakara at 6 50 AM) by extending up and down trips between Kolavipalam and Arabic college.2. Reduction of one trip from Arabic College to Vatakara at late night. Proposed change of timings on the ill served route will affect night passengers on the Kolavipalam – Vatakara route.Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.17.

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-56 V 3546** permitted to operate on the route Meenchanda- Kozhikode- Kuttiadi as LSOS Via.Ullyeri, Naduvannur. Variation applied for

- 1) Change of halting place from Meenchanda o Naduvannur.
- 2) By making change in existing timings the 1st and last trip to be modified so as to change the halting place from Meenchanda to Naduvannur.

The Regd.owner only intends to change the parking place of the said vehicle to a safe spot within his residence premises at Naduvannur. As a result of this variation, no additional trip is generated and no unhealthy competition. Additionally, parking the vehicle safely within the regd.owner’s residence premises raises the overall level of safety and hygiene. Hence variation of permit is **granted**, subject to settlement of timings”.

Item No.18.

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of **KL-53-101** permitted to operate on the route Koyilandy-Perambra-Palliyath-Meppayur Via. Muthambi, Keezhatiyur, Naduvannur, Anjampeedika, Arikkulam as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for re arrangement of trip on the Perambra-Palliyath route. 10.50 PM trip from Perambra to Palliyath (11.20) is re arranged to morning as 07.50. The Enquiry Officer reported that the applicant wants to change the departure timing between Perambra and Palliyath from 10.50 am to 07.50 am. This will be comparatively beneficial to early morning travelers and office goers and students. Hence variation of permit is **granted**, subject to settlement of timings”.

Item No.19

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of **KL-57 P 0819** to operate on the route Kallanode- Balussery- Kozhikode. Variation applied for

- 1) Curtailing the portion from Thalayad- Kakkayam 10.8KM
- 2) Extension from Thalayad to Cheedikuzhi 2.5 KM

The enquiry revealed that the proposed curtailment of trip Thalayad to Kakkayam will affect the travelling public. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.20

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL-13 T 5119** to operate on the route Atholi- Kozhikode (via) Ulliyeri, Koyilandy, Elathur and Pavangad as Ordinary service. Variation applied for

- 1) Deviation of trip from Ulliyeri(01.07PM) to Kozhikode (via) Atholi, Pavangad instead of Ulliyeri- Kozhikode (via) Koyilandy.
- 2) Curtailment of trip from Ulliyeri to Koyilandy at 6.41 pm and its return and change of halting time

The enquiry revealed that the proposed variation (including curtailments and deviation) is 55.5 km. Moreover Section 80(3) of MV Act is also violated. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.21

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 56 T 9548** permitted to operate on the route Ulliyeri-Vatakara(via) KoyilandyAnakkulam,Muchukunnu as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for

- 1) Curtailment of the up and down single trips(trip from Koyilandy at 1.26PM and MuchukunnudepartAs per the proposal the existing number of trips on the Koyilandy –Muchukunnu sector will be reduced to 4 single trips .

The enquiry revealed that the proposal the existing number of trips on the Koyilandy –Muchukunnu sector will be reduced to 4 single trips .The above curtailment requested by the permit holder will affect the existing travelling public and students. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.22

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 18 Y 8339** permitted to operate on the route Malolmukku-Thalassery(via) Pazhamkavu,vatakara as Ordinary Service. Variation applied for

1)3 round trip curtailment from Vatakara to Malolmukku via Pazhamkavu

2)4round trips deviation from Vatakara to Malolmukku via Vaikkalassery,Kurikkilad.

The enquiry revealed that the proposed variation will be beneficial for the general public in the Vaikkalassery,Kurikkiladroute.At the same time, the proposed variation will be affect the general public in the Pazhamkavu, Mangottupara route, since this route is ill served route.Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.23

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C **KL 11 AQ 8269** permitted to operate on the route Kakkayam –Kozhikode (via) Balussery as Ordinary Service for. Variation applied for one additional trip on the route Balussery-Kozhikode(24km).No halting place change.

The enquiry revealed thatBalussery –Kozhikode is well served route. Public transport service available with in5 to 10 minute gap. Additional trips lead more conjunction and causes unhealthy competition between the operators .

The changing of timings to Kakkayam not beneficial to the travelling public and students.The additional trip leads increase of frequency innationalized route –Eranjipalam to Kozhikode 3km. Hence, application for variation of permit is **rejected**”.

Item No.24

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for variation of permit in respect of S/C KL 57 L 9896 permitted to operate on the route Kinaloor-Iyyad-Balusserly-Kurumboyil-Karattupara – Mankayamas Ordinary Service. Variation applied for 1)Additional single trip from Balusserly to Govt: College via Kinaloor estate .Return trip from Govt college to Balusserly via Eradimukku, Kappliyil, Ekarool. The enquiry revealed that that the additional trip to Govt. College is highly beneficial to the students and staff of the college also the travelling public. The timing to Karattupara from Balusserly changed for this additional trip. Hence, application for variation of permit is **granted**” subject to settlement of timings”.

Item No.25

“Heard. Perused the judgment. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for renewal of permit in respect of S/CKL 08 AW 7799 on inter district route Kozhikode – Thrissur and application for variation of permit by curtailing the portion of route from Thalassery – Kozhikode. Considered the application. Draft proposal regarding the passenger Road Transport Scheme is pending for finalization. Hence the decision on these applications are **adjourned**. Application shall be placed before the RTA on finalization of the draft scheme and the application shall be considered as per the terms and conditions of final scheme.

In compliance of the judgment in WPC No.31815/2022 dtd.11.10.2022 of Hon’ble High Court of Kerala and letter number B1/136/2022/Trans dt.31.10.2022 &Endt. D2/22/2020-TC, T.P for 4 months U/s 87 (1) d granted to the S/C KL 08 AW 7799 to operate on the route Kozhikode- Thrissur as LSOS without change in the existing timings between Kozhikode to Thrissur.

Item No.26

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for renewal of permit in respect of S/C KL 11 AH 1786 on inter district route Kuttiadi – Guruvayoor as Super Fast and application for variation of permit by curtailing the portion of route from Kuttiadi- Kozhikode to bring down the route length below 140 Kms. Considered the application. Draft proposal regarding the passenger Road Transport Scheme is pending for finalization. Hence the decision on these applications are **adjourned**. Application shall be placed before the RTA on finalization of the draft scheme and the application shall be considered as per the terms and conditions of final scheme.

In compliance letter number B1/136/2022/Trans dt.31.10.2022 &Endt. D2/22/2022-TC, T.P for 4 months U/s 87 (1) d granted to the S/C KL-11 AH 1786 to operate on the route Kozhikode – Guruvayoor as LSOS without change in the existing timings between Kozhikode to Guruvayoor.

Item No.27

Heard, this is to consider the belated application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL-13-T-2797 permitted to operate on the route Puthoorvattam Harijan Colony-Kattamvally-Koyilandy-Vatakara-Balusseri-Kurumpoil. The regular permit valid up to 25.06.2022. and permit renewal application received from the applicant on 03.11.2022after expiry of the permit. The delay occurred in submitting the application is condoned and the renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable and Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application for renewal subject to realization of compounding fee for permitless operation, if any detected.

Item No.28

Heard, this is to consider the belated application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL-73-A-4347permitted to operate on the route AreekkalThazhe- Meppayoor. The regular permit valid up to 24.06.2022. and permit renewal application received from the applicant on 27.06.2022after expiry of the permit. The permit holder remitted compounding fee for permitless operation. The delay occurred in submitting the application is condoned and the renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable and Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application.

Item No.29

Heard, this is to consider the belated application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL 56 A 8325 permitted to operate on the route Pathirappatta-Kozhikode MC viaKaivelyNadapuramKuttiady as LSOS. The regular permit valid up to 22.04.2022 and permit renewal application received from the applicant on 03.09.2022after expiry of the permit. The delay occurred in submitting the application is condoned and the renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable and Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application for renewal subject to realization of compounding fee for permitless operation, if any detected.

Item No.30

Heard, this is to consider the belated application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL 18 B 8193 permitted to operate on the route Perambra-Vatakaravia)Meppayoor,Payyoli. The regular permit valid up to 30.08.2022 and permit renewal application received from the applicant on 16.09.2022after expiry of the permit. The delay occurred in submitting the application is condoned and the renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable and Secretary RTA is permitted to endorse renewal from the date of application for renewal subject to realization of compounding fee for permitless operation, if any detected.

Item No:31

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL 58 D 3259 to operate on the inter-district route THANNERPANDAL - VADAKARA – THALASSERY (via) Mahe. The regular permit valid up to 24.06.2022 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No: 32

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL-59 M 2333 to operate on the route **inter district route** THALASSERY- KOZHIKODE as LSOS (via) Mahe, Vadakara and Koyilandy.The regular permit valid up to 03.12.2022 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No: 33

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL-59-F-0468 to operate on the **inter district route**THANNERPANDAL - VADAKARA – THALASSERY. The regular permit valid up to 28.12.2022 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No: 34

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C KL-10-AY-4524 to operate on the **inter district route** KUTHUPARAMBA – KOZHIKODE AS LSOS .The regular permit valid up to 09.07.2022 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No: 35

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL-58-A 0440** to operate on the **inter district route** PANOOR –VATAKARA AS OS The regular permit valid up to 28.01.2023 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No: 36

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL 58 B 6901** to operate on the inter-district route Villiappally- Thalassery (via) Vadakara, Kainatty, Orkatteri, Thuruthumukku, Kunnummakkara, Kunhippally, Mahe. The regular permit valid up to 29.10.2022 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No: 37

Heard, this is to consider the application for renewal of stage carriage permit in respect of S/C **KL13 AF 7029** to operate on the inter-district route Thalassery- Kozhikode as LSOS. The regular permit valid up to 13.04.2023 and permit renewal application received in time. The renewal of permit **granted** subject to clearance of all government dues and production of No Objection Certificate from the financier, if applicable.

Item No:38

Applicant Absent. This is an application for condone the delay in producing the current records of S/C KL 18 S 3434 for the issue of renewal of permit granted by RTA held on 10.07.2019 vide item No.33 to operate on the route Mullambath-Kozhikode via. Kuttiadi - Vatakara as intra district service. Application for renewal of permit was granted by RTA in its meeting held on 10.07.2019. On the decision of RTA it was directed to produce the current records of stage carriage for endorsing the renewal of permit granted within thirty days of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of renewal of permit will be treated as revoked without further notice. Decision communicated on 19.09.2019. Maximum time allowable under Rule 159(2) of KMV Rule 1989 is over. Hence the decision of RTA Vatakara held on 10.07.2019 vide item Ni.33 is stands **revoked**.

Item No: 39

1. Perused.
2. Heard. Reconsidered the application for renewal in view of order of STAT in MVAA No. 287/2013 in compliance to the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) 25700/2022 dated 10/08/2022. Complied the order of Hon'ble STAT and High court of Kerala. In the accident four persons lost their precious life. It is the duty of permit holder to control the driver. The permit holder could not escape from the responsibilities. Hence renewal of permit application in respect of S/C KL 11 AG 1818 is **rejected**.

Item No.40

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.41

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.42

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.43

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.44

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”

Item No.45

- Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.46

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.47

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.48

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.49

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.50

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.51

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.52

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.53

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.54

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”

Item No.55

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.56

Transfer of permit is **rejected** since the permit renewal application is pending due to non production of NOC from financier.

Item No.57

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.58

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.59

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.60

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.61

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.62

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.63

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.64

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.65

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.66

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.67

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.68

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.69

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.70

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.71

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.72

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.73

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.74

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed A&B, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.75

Heard. On the meeting the applicant has produced a judgment in WPCNo.37898/2022 dtd.18.11.2022 of Hon’ble High Court of Kerala. Secretary, RTA is directed to enquire on the above aspect in respect of S/C **KL 56 M 6844** and place before the next RTA. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No.76

Perused the Order of STAT Ernakulam in MP No.631/2022, in MVAA No.135/2022 Dtd. 20.07.2022 and WPC No. 31249/2022 of Hon’ble High Court of Kerala. The Clearance certificate in respect of S/C KL 13 L 934 issued on 24.02.2012 without surrendering the regular permit as per order in MP No.162/2012 in MVARP No.62/2012 dtd. 17.02.2012 of Hon’ble STAT, Ernakulam. After 10 years the permit holder approached for renewal and replacement of vehicle with S/C KL 18 AB 8735. There is no vehicle since 24.12.2012. That is there is no valid permit. Objections have been raised against the replacement application as well as renewal of regular permit. The permit holder is directed to applied for fresh permit on the above route. The Secretary RTA directed to conduct detailed enquiry if the applicant filed the fresh permit application. Hence application for replacement and renewal of permit is **rejected**.

Item No. 77.

“Heard. This is an application to consider the action to be taken Under Rule 152 of KMV Rules against the stage carriages, which participated in the lightning strike on the route Kuttyadi – Kozhikode held on 16.06.2022, 17.06.2022, 13.11.2022, 14.11.2022, 15.11.2022 thus creating hardships to the travelling public. The operators argued that they are helpless in this case because the employees conducted the strike and it was beyond their control. KMV rule 153 sub section 3 provided that the permit holder shall be responsible for the conduct of the driver and other employees as a condition of permit every transport vehicle. The unbearable hardship to travelling public by sudden unexpected undeclared lightning strike cannot be tolerated. Hence a minimum fine of Rs. **7500/-** per vehicle, is imposed under KMV rule 186 for each violated vehicle”.

Item No. 78

“Heard. The Secretary, RTA shall entrusted to consult a traffic regulatory committee for taking appropriate decision on this matter and place in the next RTA. Hence **adjourned**”.

Item No. 79.

“Heard. The Secretary, RTA shall entrust to consult a traffic regulatory committee for taking appropriate decision on this matter and place in the next RTA. Hence **adjourned**”.

Item No. 80.

Heard. Perused the interim orders of Hon’ble STAT, Ernakulam. The matter related to conversion of ordinary service to LSOS. The applications were rejected by this authority. Appeals were filed before the Hon’ble STAT and Hon’ble STAT in its interim order has directed to operate the service as LSOS provisionally. The applications for conversion of ordinary service to LSOS was rejected by this authority, taking into consideration the travelling facility of passengers especially students. The existing travelling facility of short trip passengers is deprived. There is no provision to issue interim sanction to operate as LSOS. Color code has to be changed and stops have to be limited. Hence **rejected**. Secretary, RTA shall urgently bring the matter before standing counsel, STAT and file a review against the interim orders and scope of filing a writ petition before honorable high court by appraising the above facts. A DO letter in this regard shall be send to Transport Commissioner on the lack of effective intervention of standing counsel in STAT which lead to the decision. Also, a High Court case shall be filed in the matter against the Judgment of STAT.

Supplementary Item No.1.

Heard. Perused the order of Hon'ble STAT. As per the order inMP No.1006/2022 in MVAA No. 153/2022 dtd. 10.08.2022 stayed the earlier decision of RTA by circulation dtd. 25.07.2022. The final order awaiting. Application for renewal of permit is **adjourned**. Secretary RTA shall consider the application for Temporary Permit U/S 87(1) d for continued operation of stage carriage”

Item No.2

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.3

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.4

Heard. Transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No.5

“Heard. The learned counsel represented the applicant. This is an application for renewal of permit in respect of S/C KL 10 AV 637 on inter district route Nadapuram – Guruvayoor asLSFP and application for variation of permit by curtailing the portion of route from Nadapuram- Kozhikode to bring down the route length below 140 Kms. Considered the application. Draft proposal regarding the passenger Road Transport Scheme is pending for finalization. Hence the decision on these applications are **adjourned**. Application shall be placed before the RTA on finalization of the draft scheme and the application shall be considered as per the terms and conditions of final scheme.

Sd/-

**1. Dr. Narasimhugari T.L.Reddy, IAS,
District Collector and Chairman,
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara.**

Sd/-

**2. Sri.Karuppaswamy.R. IPS
District Police Chief
Kozhikode (Rural) and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara**

Sd/-

**3. Sri.R.Rajeev
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of
Regional Transport Authority, Vatakara**