

REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, KANNUR DECISION OF RTA Dt. 25.03.2022

**Present:- 1. Sri. S.CHANDRASEKAR , IAS,
District Collector and Chairman RTA**

**2. Sri. P. B. RAJEEV,IPS
District Police Chief, KANNUR (Rural)
and Member of Regional Transport
Authority**

**3. Sri. R. RAJEEV
Deputy Transport Commissioner,
North Zone, Kozhikode and Member of
Regional Transport Authority**

Item No 1.

Heard. Matter relates to issue of Muncipal number to Electric auto rickshaws parking at Mattannur/Iritty Muncipalities. For stream timing this procedure, Bonner numbers with alphabet 'e' shall be issued to Electric auto rickshaws, parking in Muncipal limit. The permit holders shall apply before Joint RTO Iritty, with copy of RC and other records, address proof with photograph, contact number and bonnet number shall be issued based on their application. The details of the application shall be maintained in the office and the particulars of bonnet number issued shall be forwarded to the respective municipal authorities and police authorities for information.

Item No 2.

Heard. Reconsidered the request. Matter relates to sanctioning of a new bus stop near Narukode Samskarika Nilayam ar Kuppam- Pazhayangadi route. It is reported that there is a need for bus bay at the proposed stop to pick up and drop of passengers, considering the safety of passengers and other road issues. The request is allowed, subject to

- (1). Construction of bus bay at the proposed bus stop and
- (2). Approval of traffic regulatory committee of that area.

Item No 3

Heard. It is reported that the newly constructing Highway Bye pass is passing through the middle of Regional poultry farm office compound and the enquiry officer has recommended to consider the request for bus stop, after the completion of the construction. Hence **Adjourned**.

Item No 4

Heard. This is a request for a new bus stop near Training School in Kannur – Melechova Route. This request along with report of traffic police authorities shall be placed before the meeting of Traffic regulatory Committee. The decision of the committee on this request shall be placed before this authority for approval, if needed.

Item No 5.

Heard. Considered the suggestion. The proposal shall be placed before the meeting of traffic regulatory committee. The decision of the committee shall be brought to the notice of this authority, if needed.

Item No 6.

This is a request for concurrence of this authority for renewal of regular permit on the route Punalur – Keezhappally as LSOS. Regular permit issued by Secretary RTA Kottayam with effect from 23/03/2004. Concurrence **granted** for renewal of regular permit in accordance with the final notification of nationalisation scheme.

Item No 7

Heard. Secretary RTA has submitted proposal to revoke permits granted by RTA on the ground that the grantee has failed to submit the current records within the prescribed period. Ten cases of non- submission of records has been submitted before this authority. No detailed remarks have been submitted by the Secretary RTA in the agenda and notes. The applications have to be considered individually. The date of communication of decision of this authority to the grantee, any request filed for maximum time as contemplated under Rule 159(2) of KMV Rules for producing the records,

Judgments or orders of Hon'ble Court and appellate authority if any, are not mentioned in the notes. Hence Secretary RTA shall submit the application as individual item with detailed remarks and notes for taking a decision on the applications.

Item No 8

Heard. Stage Carriage KL 58/ P 8388 was operating on the route Kayalode – Thirrunelli temple on lease agreement with the permit holder, Sri Pushparaj and Sri Mahesh babu, the registered owner of Stage carriage KL 58/ P 8388. The lease agreement stands terminated with effect from 20/01/2022. Notice under Section 86 (1)(C) of MV Act issued to the permit holder with direction to produce records of a ready vehicle to conduct service on the permit issued to him. Notice issued on 31/01/2022. The permit holder failed to produce a ready vehicle so as to be available for service for which the permit was granted, as contemplated under Rule 152 of KMV Rules. This authority feels that the permit holder has not taken any fruitful action to conduct the service. The regular permit No C13/48/2005 issued to the permit holder Sri. Pushparaj is cancelled.

Item No 9

Herad. Secretary RTA has placed the matter to consider the revocation of regular permit No 13/10002/2004, in view of dispute between Sri. Nizar Ahammed, the permit holder and Sri. Muhammed Rafeeq Thundakkachi regarding the sale of vehicle. It is brought to the notice of this authority during the hearing that Hon'ble High Court in its judgment in WP(C) 2845/22 of WP(C) 1013/22 dtd 28/01/2022 had viewed that Sri Nizar Ahammed had not made any application for transfer of permit in favour of other person and the petitioner, Sri. Muhammed Raffeq can approach the RTA appropriately at the relevant time when such application is made in future. The allegation regarding the sale of vehicle and transfer of the permit has been brought to the notice of Hon'ble High Court.

Secretary RTA has not mentioned anything about the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) 2013/22 and 2845/22 dtd 28/01/2022 in the agenda and notes. Secretary RTA shall hear both the petitioner and 3rd respondent and submit a detailed report before this authority. **Adjourned.**

Item No 10.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular permit on the route Manikkadavu – Sreekandapuram – Iritty – Aralam as ordinary service. It is reported that the major portion of the route passes through the rural village areas Thermala, Alathuparamba, Urathur, Tirur, Kanhileri connecting Iritty, irikkur and Sreekandapuram towns. The portion Jabbarkadavu – Aralam on the route is also ill served. It is reported that the travelling public is benefitted by the introduction of this service. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 11.

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular permit on the route Kalluvayal – Thaliparamba – Ettupara as ordinary service.

. It is reported that the route proposed passes through the remote interior areas Chapparamba, Chemberi, Nellikutty, Eattupara and connects Iritty, Taliparamba and Sreekandapuram town. Necessity of a regular permit suggested by the field officer. Hence regular permit **granted**, subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 12.

Heard. This is an application for regular permit for 5 years to operate on the route Vayathur Temple – Mamanam Temple – Peravoor as ordinary service. Vayathur, Thermala, Alathuparamba and Kalliad are ill served areas on the route. The proposed route connects these remote areas to Iritty, Peravoor and Irikkur towns and the enquiry officer has suggested that the travelling public is beneficial by the introduction of this service. Hence regular permit is granted subject to settlement of timings and production of a suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 13

Heard. This is an application for regular permit to operate on the route Charal – Sreekandapuram – Randamkadavur as ordinary service. It is reported that stage carriages are operating on the route with an average time gap of this route with an average time gap of 20 -30 minutes. Introduction of this service connecting Charal, Veniyappara, Randamkandam with Iritty, Irikkur and Sreekandapuram town will be useful to the travelling public. Hence

regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 14

Heard. This is an application for regular permit for 5 years to operate on the route Koluthuparamba – Kuthuparamba – Cheruvanchery as Ordinary service. It is reported that the major portion of the route passes through interior rural areas of the district connecting to Kuthuparamba and Thalassery towns. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 15

Heard. This is an application for regular permit for 5 years to operate on the route Chandanakkampara – Kannur as ordinary service. Enquiry officer has reported that the proposed route passes through internal hilly and remote areas of the district and promotion of public transportation facilities will be helpful to the travelling public and students. Overlapping on the notified route is within the permissible limit. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 16

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular permit on the route Mattool – Kannur as ordinary service. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in WP (C) 3771/2022 and connected records. It is reported that the proposed route overlaps the notified route for 7 Kms from Caltex junction to Valapatanam – Pazhayangadi road junction. This exceeds the permissible limit of prevailing conditions regarding overlapping. Moreover the proposed route is adequately served by stage carriages. Hence application is rejected.

Item No 17

Heard. This is an application for regular permit for 5 years to operate on the route Chandanakkampara- Parassinikadavu as ordinary service. It is reported that the introduction of service on the route is beneficial to the travelling public. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and

production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 18

Heard. This is an application for fresh regular permit on the route Thaliparamba – Sreekandapuram as ordinary service. It is reported that the proposed route connects Thaliparamba to remote hilly areas of the district and introduction of service is beneficial to the travelling public. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 19

Heard. This is an application for regular permit on the route Cherupuzha – Thaliparamba – Alakkode – Parappa as Ordinary service. Secretary RTA has reported that average time gap of existing stage carriages on the proposed route varies from 10 mts to 30 minutes. Field officer has suggested that introduction of new service benefits the travelling public. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 20

Heard. This is an application for regular permit so as to operate on the route Chedikkulam – Palathumkadavu – Keezhapally as ordinary service. Enquiry report reveals that major portion of the proposed route is passing through rural areas Cheikkulam, uliyil, Thillenkeri, Keezhpally. It is reported that a bridge and approach road has been newly built near Kootupuzha at the entrance of Kacherikkadavu – Palathumkadavu road and there is no bus service at present through the way. PWD authorities has issued fitness certificate for this portion. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 21

Heard. Reconsidered the application for regular permit on the route Peravur – Thalassery – Iritty as LSOS. Route length of the proposed route is 93 Kms. Secretary RTA has reported that density of travelling public is very high on this route and promotion of new service will be beneficial to the travelling public. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and

production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 22

Heard. Reconsidered the application for regular permit on the route Kannur HQ Hospital – Kannadiparamba – Chalode. Peruse the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in WP(C) 4488/22 dtd 10/02/2022. It is reported that the proposed route passes through the rural remote areas and connects with the major towns of district. Hon'ble STAT in MVAA No 41/2021 has directed this authority to grant the permit, if there are no other legal impediments. Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 23

Heard. This is an application for regular permit on the route Edayar-Thalassery – Iritty as LSOS. Reconsidered the application on the basis of order of Hon'ble STAT in MVAA 16/22, wherein Hon'ble STAT has directed to grant regular permit to the applicant.

Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 24

Heard. This is an application for regular permit on the route Kannavam – Thalassery – Iritty as LSOS. Reconsidered the application on the basis of order of Hon'ble STAT in MVAAS No. 22/22, wherein Hon'ble STAT has directed to grant regular permit to the applicant.

Hence regular permit granted subject to settlement of timings and production of suitable vehicle within one month from the date of receipt of communication of the decision.

Item No 25

Heard. This is an application for regular permit to operate on the route Chandanakampara- Kannur HQ as ordinary service. As per the timings proposed by the applicant, the service is concentrated between Komakkari to Kannur HQ only. Only trips suggested to Chandanakampara (via) Payyavoor,

SK puram, Mayyil and Kuttikattoor is for starting and halting the trips at early morning and late night.

Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry regarding the feasibility of the conducting service on the route and whether the travelling public is actually benefitted by this proposal of new service. A report on the compliance of Rule 188, 189 of KMVAct and Rules read with Section 91 (1) of MV Act shall also be submitted. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No 26

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 27

Absent. Adjourned.

Item No. 28

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 29

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 30

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 31

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 32

“Heard, transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to
Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

Item No. 33

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 34

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 35

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 36

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 37

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 38

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 39

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 40

“**Heard, transfer of permit is allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 41

“**Heard, transfer of permit is allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 42

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 43

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 44

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 45

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 46

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 47

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 48

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 49

Absent. **Adjourned.**

Item No. 50

“Heard, transfer of permit is **allowed**, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 51

“**Heard, transfer of permit is allowed**, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 52

“**Heard, transfer of permit is allowed**, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 53

“**Heard, transfer of permit is allowed**, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 54

“**Heard, transfer of permit is allowed**, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 55

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 56

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 57

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 58

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 59

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 60

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 61

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 62

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 63

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 64

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 65

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 66

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 67

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 68

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 69

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 70

- “Heard, transfer of permit is allowed,** subject to
- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
 - (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 71

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 72

“Heard, transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to
Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

Item No. 73

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 74

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 75

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 76

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 77

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to
(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 78

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 79

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 80

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 81

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 82

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 83

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 84

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 85

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 86

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No 87

“Heard, transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to
Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

Item No 88

“Heard, transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to
Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

Item No. 89

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 90

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 91

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 92

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 93

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 94

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 95

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 96

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 97

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 98

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

(1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 99

“Heard, transfer of permit is allowed, subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 100

“Heard, transfer of **permit is allowed,** subject to

- (1). Clearance of Govt. dues, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Co. if applicable”.

Item No. 101

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit so as to extend from Thondiyaal to Manjalpuram and advancing and reducing a single trip from Thondiyaal. It is reported that the proposed variation is needful to the travelling public. Hence variation **granted,** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 102

Heard. Reconsidered the application for variation of permit. This application was adjourned for want of specific report regarding necessity and violation of clause 19 of G.O (P) No 8/2017/ Trans dtd 23/03/2017. It is reported that the proposed deviation at the night trip touching town police station stop, Old bus stand, Taluk office and Civil station stop; Thekke bazaar is useful to the travelling public and there is no violation of clause 19 of G.O(P) No 8/2017/Trans dtd 23/03/2017.

Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 103

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit involving extension for 8 Kms and curtailment of trips. The halting place is changed from Kannur to Choottand. The necessity of variation and violation of Section 80 (3) of MV Act not specified by Secretary RTA. Hence **adjourned,** with direction to conduct a detailed enquiry and place before next RTA, with specific report.

Item No 104

Heard. This is an application for violation of permit. Variation involves extension and change of halting place. Virgin portion for 2 Kms reported from

Eripram bridge to Puthiyangadi U. P School. Fitness certificate for a total road length of 1.670Kms from Puthiyangadi to Muttam submitted by PWD (Roads) section. Madayi. It is also reported that the maximum width of road is 6.00m and minimum width is 3.30m. There are two culverts and a bridge on the proposed route. The feasibility of a stage carriage service and whether the proposed route is fit for service for stage carriage KL13/X 7797 (seating capacity 48 in all) not reported. **Adjourned.** Secretary RTA shall place the application before next RTA after obtaining report from the concerned authorities.

Item No 105

Heard. It is reported by the enquiry officer that at present bus services are less on the proposed extension portion Mayyil Pavanoor Motta – Chooliyad – Irikkur and the proposed trip curtailment from Pavanoormotta to Chalode will not seriously effect the travelling public. It is also reported that halting place is advanced from Chalode to Mayyil to facilitate arrangement for the crew.

Variation **allowed**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 106

Heard. Variation involves curtailment of route from Melechovva to Mownachery for a distance of 8Kms and 1 Km from Kannur old bus stand to New bus stand and extension of route from Panayathamparamba to Tharammal. It is reported that the proposed curtailed portion is a well served area and the extended portion is ill served area and is beneficial to the travelling public of that sector. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 107

Heard, Variation involves deviation of one single trip from Muduvani to Koranpeedika via Kummanad instead of Aykkal Kulam. It is reported that Kummanad is a highly populated area and only one stage carriage is conducting service through this portion. Hence variation is **allowed** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 108

Heard, The permit holder has applied for extension of one round trip from Perumpadavu to Eriyam via Edakkam, Karipal and applied for curtailment from Peruvamba to Kannan kadu via Olayam padi , Kariyappalli road to Kakkara and Perumbadavu to Eriyam via Thennam. Total curtailment is 14.5 Kms. Many objections have been received from the travelling public including students, stating that the proposed curtailment will seriously affect their existing travelling facility. The portion of the route curtailed is an ill served sector. Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry regarding the above matter and submit a specific report. Frequency of services and necessity of variation under Rule 145 (6) of KMV Rules shall also be reported. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No 109

Heard, This is an application for variation of permit so as to conduct one round trip from Koothuparamba to Chakkarakkallu via Pathiriyad, Anjarakandi to Medical College, Keezhathur to Koothuparamba. It is reported that addition of trips are introduced in ill served sectors and the trip to Medical College is beneficial to travelling public and patients. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 110

Absent. **Adjourned**.

Item No 111

Heard. The proposed variation involves extension from Panoor-Nadapuram for a distance of 15.5 Kms. Of this, a distance of 8 Kms lies in Kozhikode district and comes under the jurisdiction of RTA Vadakara. General concurrence granted by RTA Kozhikode up to 10 Kms is not applicable in case of fresh and variation of regular permits. Hence concurrence of RTA Vadakara shall be obtained. **Adjourned**.

Item No 112.

Heard. Variation involves extension of route from Anjarakkandy to Chakkarakkal, reduction of one round trip on the sector Thalassery- Kannur, addition of one round trip on the sector Mambram – Anjarakandy and change of halting place from Panayattamparamba to Mambram. It is reported that the portion of the route, where trip is curtailed; is well served and the extension

portion is not well served by stage carriages. Hence variation **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 113

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit, involving extension of route and direction of trips. The existing trip from Mayyil to Kolachery mukku (via) Niramthode and Chekkikulam is deviated (via) Karingalkuzhy. It is not reported whether this deviation affects the existing travelling facility. Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry and submit a specific report regarding the proposed deviation and extension and place before the next RTA.
Adjourned.

Item No 114

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit involving deviation of trips, curtailment of trips and addition of one trip. It is submitted before this authority that the permit holder is trying to curtail the trip at 8.15 AM from Kanhirathara to Kannur, so as to avoid students. Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry regarding this aspect and report whether this trip curtailment affects the travelling public. The frequency of services on the proposed curtailed, deviated and extended portions shall also be furnished.
Adjourned.

Item No115

Heard. Variation is applied for change of halting place from Ezhimala Naval Academy to Muttam, to the permit holders residence area. No other variation is involved. Variation **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 116

Applicant absent. Hence **adjourned**.

Item No 117

Heard. Reconsidered the application. This application was adjourned by this authority for specific report regarding the proposed variation. It is reported by the field officer that there is no change in running time and the

proposed variation will not effect the travelling public, as the existing route (via) thottade is well served. It is also reported that the travelling facilities in the proposed deviation portion less. Hence variation **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 118

Heard. Reconsidered the application. This application was adjourned by RTA in its meeting held on 28/10/2021, with direction to furnish a specific enquiry report regarding the proposed variation. It is reported that the proposed deviation portion is ill served than the existing route and beneficial to the travelling public and students of that sector. Variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 119

Heard. Reconsidered the application. Variation involves extension from Chekkikulam to Kuttiaattoor for 3 Kms and curtailment of one round trip form Kannur HQ Hospital to Chekkikulam. This application was adjourned by the RTA in its meeting held on 28/10/2021, with direction to submit a report regarding the advantages and disadvantages regarding the curtailment of trip and proposed variation. It is reported that the curtailment is not beneficial, since the curtailment is not beneficial, since the last round trip at 7.30PM from Chekkikulam to Kannur HQ Hospital and back at 8.50 PM to Chekkikulam curtailed. This curtailment seriously affects the existing travelling facility of the passengers. Hence **rejected**.

Item No 120

Heard. Variation involves an extension of route for a distance of 23.5 Kms and curtailment of one round trip. The report of the field officer is not specific regarding the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed variation. The frequency of stage carriages on the proposed and curtailed portion and the necessity of variation under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rules is to be ascertained. Hence **adjourned**. Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry and submit the application before the next RTA along with the specific report regarding the proposed variation.

Item No 121

Heard. Variation involves one single trip deviation and extension for a distance of 6.5 Kms. It is reported that the proposed variation is useful to the travelling public, since this portion is ill served. Hence variation **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 122

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit so as to extend the route from Iritty to Vaniyappara and from Madathil to Vilamana and reduction of one round trip on the route Peruvloor – Kottiyoor. It is reported that Mundayamparamba, Vaniyappara, Vilamana are ill served areas and the extension of service through these areas are beneficial to the travelling public. It is also reported that reduction of one single trip from Iritty to Kottiyoor and Thalassery touching Peravoor town will not seriously affect the travelling public. Hence variation **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 123

Heard. Variation involves extension of 6.5 Kms from Koramukku to Aralam (via) Edoor, Vellarvayal without any curtailment. It is reported that the proposed extension portion are ill served areas and is beneficial to the travelling public of these areas. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 124

Heard. The permit holder of Stage Carriage KL 18/ (110) has applied for variation of permit so as to extend the route from Moonunirath to Azhikkal ferry, curtailment of trip from Moonunirath to Kannur HQ Hospital and change of halting place to Azhikkal ferry Field officer has reported that introduction of trip in the morning and night to Azhikkal ferry is beneficial to the travelling public of that area. Hence variation **granted**, subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 125

Heard. Variation involves deviation of trip from Cherukkunnuthara to Palaythuvalappu (via) Mottammal instead of Keezhara and addition of one trip from Paliyathuvalappu to Cherukkunnuthara (via) Keezhara. Objections have been received from the travelling public of Keezhara area, stating that the existing travelling facility is curtailed. Secretary RTA shall conduct a detailed enquiry and report on the aspect. The frequency of services on the deviated and curtailed portion shall also Stage carriage furnished. **Adjourned.**

Item No 126

Heard. This is an application for variation of permit involving deviation of two round trips from Mattool to Payyannur to Puthiyangandi beach Payyannur by curtailing trip on the portion from Mottambaram to Mattool adding trip on the portion Mottambram to Puthiyangadi beach. It is reported that the area from Mottambram to Puthiyangadi beach is ill served than the sector Mottambram to Mattooor and the proposal is beneficial to the travelling public and students of this sector. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 127

Heard. Variation involves deviation of four trips from Korrapuram to Eripuram (via) Chemballikundu instead of existing route (via) Aduthila. Field officer has reported that the proposal deviation portion is ill served and the existing route (via) aduthila is adequately served by stage carriages.

Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 128

Heard. The permit holder has applied for extension of 2 Kms from Muthatipeedikka to Varapra after avoiding the portion Panoor – Kaivelikkal, Muliyaathode to Varapra for a distance of 9 Kms. The report of the field officer is not specific regarding the advantages of the proposed variation especially on the existing deviated portion. The frequency of services on the proposal and curtailment portion is to be ascertained for considering this application. Secretary RTA shall conduct an enquiry and submit a specific report regarding the variation. **Adjourned.**

Item No 129

Heard. Variation involves extension from Kadavathur to Kallikkandy for a distance of 3 Kms, by reducing one round trip on the route Kadavathur-Thalassey and to change the halting place to Mundathode from Thalassey. It is reported that the trip at night from Kadavathur to Kallikkandy at night will be beneficial to the travelling public and the curtailed trip portion Kadavathur to Thalassery is having ample bus service and will not seriously affect the travelling public. Hence, variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 130

Heard. The proposed application of variation of existing permit involves extension of route from Chonadm to Kakkara for a distance of 8Kms. It is also suggested to operate the last trip Thalassery to Parammal School through Kakkara. Field officer has reported that the proposal is needful to the travelling public as these portions are ill served. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 131

Heard. The permit holder has applied for variation of permit in respect of Staged Carriage KL 59/A/1323 so as to conduct additional trip from Karuvanchal to Kudiyanmala and Karuvanchal to Alakode. Secretary RTA has submitted that the proposal is beneficial to the travelling public. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 132

Heard. It is reported that the reduction and rearranging of existing trips will affect the travelling public to certain extent. A specific report showing the following aspects are needed before considering this application.

- (a). Advantages and disadvantages of the proposal submitted by the permit holder.
- (b). Frequency of service on the major portion of the route.
- (c). Feasibility of the proposal.
- (d). Necessity under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rules.

Application along with the report shall be placed before next RTA. **Adjourned.**

Item No 133

Heard. Variation involves extension of route from Kakkayangad to Aralam, thereby advancing the halting place to Aralam. A round trip from Kakkayangad to Iritty is reduced to facilitate the extension. It is reported that the extension portion passes through rural remote areas of the district and there is real need for promotion of stage carriage service through this portion. It is also reported that reducing the single trip to Iritty will most seriously affect

the public, as this route is well served. Hence variation **granted** subject to settlement of timings.

Item No 134

Heard. This is an application for temporary permit so as to conduct service on the route Kannur to Kozhikode LSOS. The primary permit was owned by Sri. V.J Sebastian (late) and was on the route Arivilanipoil to Kozhikode as LSFP. The regular permit was valid up to 23/08/2012. Stage Carriage KL 59/A/8714 owned by Sri V.J. Sebastian (late) obtained clearance certificate, keeping the permit under suspended animation, on 21/07/2012. No renewal application was submitted by the permit holder. The successor of the permit holder Smt. Soly Sebastian has now applied for variation of permit, by restricting the route length below 140 Kms and to conduct service on the route.

Considered the application on merits. Perused the judgment of Hon'ble High Court. Regular permit expired on 23/08/2012. No application for renewal was submitted by the permit holder. No application for transfer of permit was also submitted by the legal successor of the permit holder, after his death, within the prescribed period. The primary permit is invalid from 21/07/2012. Temporary permit is considered and granted based on the provision of section 87 (1), (a), (b), (c) and (d). The application submitted by the applicant does not satisfy the conditions prescribed in section 87(1), (a), (b), (c) and (d). The application is devoid of merits. Hence rejected.

Item No 135

Heard. Variation involves additional trip on the portion Thalassery – Nadapuram reducing single trip on the route Parapram – Thalassery. A specific report showing the following aspects are needed before considering this application.

- (a). Advantages and disadvantages of the proposal submitted by the permit holder.
- (b). Frequency of service on the major portion of the route.
- (c). Feasibility of the proposal.

(d). Necessity under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rules.

Application along with the report shall be placed before next RTA. Adjourned.

Item No 136

Heard. Variation involves deviation of single trip from Chakkarakkal (via) Eachur, instead (via) Kappad. A specific report showing the following aspects are needed before considering this application.

(a). Advantages and disadvantages of the proposal submitted by the permit holder.

(b). Frequency of service on the major portion of the route.

(c). Feasibility of the proposal.

(d). Necessity under Rule 145(6) of KMV Rules.

Application along with the report shall be placed before next RTA. Adjourned.

Item No 137

Heard. Renewal of permit granted subject to

(1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

Item No 138

Heard. Delay condoned. Renewal of permit granted subject to

(1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

Item No 139

Heard. Delay condoned. Renewal of permit granted subject to

(1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any

(2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

Item No 140

Ratified.

Item No 141

Nil

Item No. 142

21.06.2022

Supplementary Item No 1

Heard. Variation involves extension of 18 Kms and reduction of one round trip on the portion Thalassery – Vadakara. The proposed extension portions are ill served and as per the report of field officer only one stage carriage is operating on the route portion Kuthuparamba – Malur (via) Neerveli, Alakapuri, Ayithara, Patheri and Kunderipoyil. The route portion Thalassery – Vadakkumbad HS (via) Kolassery, Parkett is also an ill served area and the proposed variation through these areas is useful to the travelling public. The curtailed trip is through a well served area. It is also reported that advancement of halting place to Thalassery is for facilitation of work shop and convenience of crew. Hence variation granted, subject to settlement of timings.

Supplementary Item No 2

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed subject to

- (1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

Supplementary Item No 3

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed subject to

- (1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

Supplementary Item No 4

“Heard, transfer of permit (death) allowed, subject to Clearance of Govt. dues, if any

Supplementary Item No 5

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed subject to

- (1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

Supplementary Item No 6

Heard. Transfer of permit allowed subject to

- (1). Clearance of dues to Govt, if any
- (2). Production of NOC from HP Company. if applicable”.

**1. Sri. S.CHANDRASEKAR IAS,
District Collector and Chairman RTA**

**2. Sri. P. B. RAJEEV,IPS
District Police Chief, Kannur Rural
Member RTA**

**3. Sri. R.Rajeev,
Deputy Transport Commissioner [NZ]
Member RTA**