MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY ERNAKULAM HELD ON 19-01-2019

Present:-  Chairman-

Sri. K. MOHAMMED. Y. SAFIRULLA ,I.A.S, The District Collector, Ernakulam

Member:-

Sri. Abey John, Deputy Transport Commissioner [Law], CZ- II, Ernakulam (In-Charge).

Item No.01

Heard;Adv. G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the grantee of regular permit Sri. Deepesh.C.George. This is the application to consider the request to allow maximum time to produce current records of a suitable stage carriage for obtaining the fresh permit granted on the route Eramalloor- Infopark- Edachira via Ezhupunna, Kumbalangy, Kandakkadavu, Thoppumpady BOT Bridge, Kundanmoor, Vyttila, Palarivattom Signal Jn, Vazhakkala and Kakkanad as Ordinary Moffusil Service.

The Regional Transport Authority held on 02/08/2018 granted a regular permit in favour of Sri. Deepesh.C.George on the applied route Eramalloor- Infopark- Edachira in respect of his suitable stage carriage not older than eight years subject to settlement of timings on production of records of the vehicle within the time limit specified U/R 159[2] of KMV Rules-1989.. The proceedings showing the decision of RTA was communicated to the applicant Sri. Deepesh.C.George on 20/10/2018 and acknowledged on 23/10/2018. Then on 11/12/2018 the grantee of
regular permit submitted a request to allow maximum time to produce the current records of a suitable stage carriage for obtaining the regular permit granted by the RTA.

Many objections are received against allowing extra time to the grantee for the production of current records of the suitable stage carriage. But the objections are not sustainable.

Hence considering the request, this authority is hereby granted maximum time specified U/R 159[2] of KMV Rules-1989, for the production of the current records of the suitable stage carriage not older than eight years. The Secretary RTA is entrusted to issue permit, if the grantee has obeyed the above decision.

If the grantee has not produced current records of the vehicle within the above time limit, the sanction of regular permit stands revoked.

**Item No.02**

1) Perused the judgment of Hon’ble High Court in WP(C) No.41106/2018 dated 04/01/2019.

2) Heard; Adv. G. Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage **KL 08 AJ 2500**. This is the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage **KL 08 AJ 2500** permitted to operate on the route Parambayam Kappela Angamaly Bus Stand touching Manjapra via. Para, Manjikkad, Malamcharrakku, Azhakam, Parappuram, Library, Njalookara, Krayamparambu Jn., Angamaly, Tharavoor, Chandrapura Jn. as MOFFUSIL Service on the strength of regular permit no.7/4036/2007 valid upto 11/11/2017. The permit is desired to be varied changing starting & halting at Poothamkutty. First trip from Poothamkutty to Angamaly via Vettikkad, FISAT, Mookkannoor & Kidangoor Kappela. One trip from Angamaly is wish to operate to FISAT, Vattikkad and return via Karayamparambu. Trip no.3 is to Parambayam via FISAT Vattikkad, Mookkannoor and return accordingly to Angamaly via Njalookkara itself trip via will be avoided for 3 trips and avoid Njalookkara other than Two trip and Shappumpady Devagiri with
proposed timings. The permit was issued on the year 2007 and the travelling public have been using with the existing set of timings from the beginning of the service. More over the starting and halting places are changing and intends to have Poothamkutty instead of Manjikkad. Presently there are nine trips but after variation the route changes for each trips except 12 and 13. The general public have used to the existing set of timings on the said route the advantages stated by new variation application will not over ride the disadvantages, since the entire route is mixed and revamped amounting to a new application for the permit. The enquiry officer has reported that this application may not be entertained at this stage. Hence this authority hereby rejected the application for variation in respect of stage carriage **KL 08 AJ 2500**.

### Item No.03

1) Perused the judgment of Hon’ble High Court in WP(C) No 37070/2018 dated 14/11/2018

2) Heard; Adv. Dinesh Menon I, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage **KL 36 B 1314**. This is the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage **KL 36 B 1314** operating on the route Kaippuzhamuttu-Vaikom-Thoppumpady-Kaloor[via]Poothotta-T’thura,Vytila,By-pass ,Aroor, as L S O S on the strength of regular permit No.7/ 10161/2002 valid upto 28/10/2022. The permit is desired to be varied so as to operate trip No. 6 from Thoppumpady to Vytila via BOT Bridge , Kundannur Bridge without time change .

In order to comply the judgment judgment of Hon’ble High Court in WP(C) No 37070/2018 dated 14/11/2018 the variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage **KL 36 B 1314 granted** without disturbing the existing time schedule.
Item No.04

1) Perused the judgment of Hon’ble STAT in MVAA No. 319/2018 dated 20/12/2018.

2) Heard; Adv. M. Jithesh Menon, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage **KL 42-502**. This is the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage **KL 42-502** operating on the route High Court Jn-N. Parur so as to operate the service by avoiding existing route portion from Kottayil Kovilakam to N. Parur to exclude Aluva-Vadakkumpuram scheme.

    Aluva-Vadakkumpuram scheme is a fully exclusion scheme, which do not allow to renew any private stage carriage permit on the any portion of the route. Considering these reasons this authority can’t object the proposed curtailment. Hence this authority hereby granted the proposed variation without affecting the existing time schedule.

Item No.05

1) Perused the judgment of Hon’ble STAT in MVAA No.199/2018 dated 22/09/2018.

2) Heard; Adv. Dinesh Menon I, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage **KL-58-M-670**. This is the application for VARIATION of permit in respect of S/C **KL-58-M-670** operating on the route Chottanikkara- Trissur via Tripunithura Vytila Ernakulam, Kaloor Edappally, Manjummal, FACT, Pathalam, Kongorpilly, Parur, Moothakunnam, Kodungalloor, Vellangalloor, Irinjalakuda, Tana (without touching Irinjalakuda stand)OOrakam & Koorkkancherry as LSOS so as to operate North Paravur-Trissur as LSOS. As per the proviso (i) of subsection (3) of section 80 says that ‘In the case of variation, the termini shall not be altered and the distance covered by the variation shall not exceed twenty four kilometres.’ In this case the curtailment of the route portion from North Paravoor to Chottanikkara is having 42 Km which violated the above said condition. Hence this authority
hereby **rejected** the application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage **KL-58-M-670**.

**Item No.06**

1) Perused the judgment of Hon’ble STAT in MVAA No.190/2018 dated 25/10/2018.

2) Heard; Adv. G. Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL 07 AQ 644. This is the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL 07 AQ 644 operating on the route Mambrakadavu-Angamaly-Perumbavoor-Palissey-Karamattom via Thuravoor Jn. Mooppankavala, Sivajipuram, Marottichodu-Kalady-Karakutty Cable - Cochin Kagas, Marangadam - Koramana, Kaippalam and Edakkunnny with round trip between Angamaly and Perumbavoor via Vengoor and kalady variation had applied as avoiding operation to Mambrakadavu and instead of which operate first and last trips. Two trips to Perumbavoor via Nayathodu Jn Vengoor and Kalady on additional trip via Sivajipuram with proposed timings. According to the judgment of Hon’ble STAT in MVAA No.190/2018 dated 25/10/2018, the earlier order of this authority dtd. 17/08/2016 is in existence and liable to be issued.

3) At the time of RTA meeting the power of attorney holder of the permit holder requested to allow sufficient time for submitting the explanation against the memo issued by Secretary, RTA. His request is allowed and the decision on the application for variation of regular permit is hereby **adjourned**.

**Item No.07**

2) Heard; Adv. M. Jithesh Menon, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-17-A-4055. This is the application for VARIATION of permit in r/o S/C KL-17-A-4055 operating on the route KAKKANAD-TRIPPUNITHURA-KAVUMTHAZHAM via Irumpalam, Mini Byepass, Kundannoor, Vytila Byepass, Edappally, Toll Gate, Judgmukku, NGO Qrtrs, Vazhakkala, Infopark, Karimugal, Peechingachira, Kaninadu and Vadavucose as Ordinary Moffusil Service so as to operate

1. Trips 4 and 5 as Tripunithura to Medical College, via Vytila Byepass, Edappally toll Unichira, Trikkakkara Temple Judgemukku, Seaport Airport road and HMT Junction.

2. Trip 6 & 7 from HMT Jn. to Kakkanad via Seaport Airport road Irimumbanam to Karingachira and back.

In the enquiry report the field officer remarked that ‘the curtailments of trips are adversely affecting the public who are accustomed with the existing timings. The variation of route and timings will lead to unhealthy competition among the route operators. The merits are not supersede the demerits. There is no urgent necessity exists for this variation.’

Considering the adverse remarks in the above report this authority hereby rejected the application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL-17-A-4055.

**Item No. 08**

1) Perused the Judgment in MVAA No. 07/2018 of The State Transport Appellate Tribunal Ernakulam dtd 07/12/2018.

2) Heard; Adv. Jithesh Menon, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-17-D-3639. This is the application for VARIATION of permit in
respect of stage carriage KL-17-D-3639 on the route Mambra--Mulamkuzhy via Karukutty N H Jn., Angamaly, Kalady, Malayattoor and Kadappara so as to operate

i. Trip no.1 from Mambra [at 06.25 am] to Illithode via Puliyanam, Angamaly and Kalady and trip no.2 from Illithode to Mambra via Kalady, Angamaly and Karukutty and trip no.3 to Mulamkuzhy as at present.

ii. Trip no.6 from Mulamkuzhy to Mambra and to Angamaly via Karukutty and to Illithode via Puliyanam, Angamaly and Kalady. Last trip from Illithode to Mambra via Kalady, Angamaly and Puliyanam and halt.

In the enquiry report the field officer remarked that ‘the observed benefits will supersede the demerits since the public have a chance to adopt the benefit of newly introduced railway over bridge at Puliyanam and reduces traveling time. So necessity exists in the interest of public for the route variation.’

In view of the above enquiry report this authority hereby granted the variation in respect of stage carriage KL-17-D-3639 as per requested subject to the settlement of timings.

**Item No.09**

1) Perused the Judgment in MVAA No. 202/2018 of The State Transport Appellate Tribunal Ernakulam dtd 15/11/2018

2) Heard; Adv. Sjeevkumar K Gopal, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL 07 AP 3456. This is the application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 07 AP 3456 operating on the route Manjikkad-Kalady-Angamaly-Manjapra-Mookkannoor-Thuravoor-Manjapra so as to operate

1st trip from Pallisery to Manjikkad [ie change of starting and halting ]

9th trip Manjapra

11th trip Manjapra to Kalady Jn
10th trip Kalady Jn – Manjapra, Muringadathpara-Anappara, Devagiri, Manjikkad Mookkannur, Kidangoor and to Angamaly Chippy Jn.

13th trip Manjapra-Anapara, Vathakkad, Thuravur, Angamali

14th trip Manjikkad to Palissery and halt with trip changes.

The above application included in the agenda can’t be consider due to the following reason. The meeting of this authority held on 24/11/2018 considered the the request for three months of extension time for the replacement of Stage Carriage KL 07 AP 3456 covered by regular permit 7/433/2012 to operate on the route Kalady-Angamaly by another stage carriage and decided as follows ‘Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL 07 AP 3456. This is the request of permit holder to obtain extension time to submit application for Vehicle replacement KL 07 AP 3456 with another vehicle. This authority considered the application in detail. The above mentioned stage carriage was covered by a valid regular permit on the route Kalady-Angamaly. The vehicle KL 07 AP 3456 completed the age of fifteen years on 21/10/2018, and hence thereafter, the vehicle cannot be operated as stage Carriage. Eventhough the permit holder was well aware to replace the vehicle with another suitable vehicle before completing 15 years of old vehicle covered by the permit, he has not complied the permit condition attached to the permit. Sub Section[1]a of Section 86 of Motor Vehicles Act-1988 stipulates that the transport Authority which granted a permit may cancel the permit or may suspend it for such periods as think fit on the breach of any condition contained in the permit. Here, the permit holder breached the permit condition. Rule 152 of the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, 1989 explained the consequence of failure to use the transport vehicle, as follows.

“It shall be a condition of the permit of every transport vehicle(other than private service vehicle permit) that the
vehicle shall be so maintained as to be available for the service for which the permit was granted, for the entire period of currency of the permit and that the permit is liable to be suspended or cancelled, after due notice to the permit holder, if the vehicle has not been used for the purpose for which the permit was granted, for any day in the case of stage carriage unless a reserve bus duly authorized in this behalf has conducted substitute service in place of the route bus which defaulted service, and for a continuous period of fifteen days or more in the case of other transport vehicles, during the period for which the permit authorizes the use of the vehicle on the road, unless the State or Regional Transport Authority is satisfied that the permit holder was prevented by sufficient cause from running the service or that the permit holder had obtained the previous permission of the State or Regional Transport Authority to suspend the service for such period during which the vehicle was not operated”.

Here, the permit holder has not offered suitable vehicle before the expiry of the validity of the vehicle to be operated as stage carriage.

Therefore, this authority viewed that the permit holder is not qualified for the continuance operation of the stage carriage service and he is incapable of maintain a stage carriage providing better service to the public. Hence the application is hereby rejected and the regular permit
7/433/2012 issued to stage carriage KL 07 AP 3456 on the route Kalady-Angamaly is hereby cancelled.

In view of the above decision the application for variation of regular permit is infructious. Hence this application for variation of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 07 AP 3456 is hereby rejected.

**Item No.10**

Heard;Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL 40 3355. This is the the application for VARIATION of permit in respect of stage carriage KL 40 3355 operating on the route Brahmapuram – Co-operative Medical College via Karimugal Tripunithura ,Vyttila ,Kaloor Edappally,Bypass,K K Road,Infopark & FACT as ordinary city service.

In the enquiry report the field officer mentioned that, ‘the curtailment of trip from Tripunithura to Kaloor will adversely affects the travelling public who are accustomed with the existing timings. But in this case from Tripunithura to Kaloor lot of stage carriages operating in 3 minutes gaps in the curtailed portion and hence the curtailment affect is much less. Extension of trip from Info park to Kakkanad and back will be beneficial to public. The change in timings will lead to unhealthy competitions among other stage carriage operators enroute. The benefits are not superseding the demerits and there is no urgent necessity exists as per rule 145(6) of KMVR.’

In the first portion of the above specific remark the field officer report some favourable remarks, but at the time of conclusion he reported that the benefits are not superseding the demerits. Hence this authority found some mismatching in the report . Hence Secretary, RTA is instructed to clarify the defects of the report and place before ensuing RTA.Hence the application is hereby adjourned.
Item No. 11

Heard; Adv. G. Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-17-C-9730. This is the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage Carriage KL-17-C-9730 operating service on the route Perumbavoor - Poothamkutty via. Kalady, Angamaly, and Azhakam ordinary mofusil service (The permit is varied so as to operate as Perumbavoor-Parambayam, Kappela avoiding the service to Poothamkutty from Manjikkad and to deviate from Manjikkad to Parambayam Kappela) so as to operate all trips (No 1 to 8) passing via Malancharakku kavala are intended to extend to Mookkanoor Pala Kavala and return to Malancharakku Kavala.

Almost all time schedule are seen changed after variation including notified route. On perusal of specific remarks of field officer, it is reported that the proposed variation will be beneficial to the travelling public and also mentioned that education institutions, hospitals and business establishments are located near Mookkanoor Paala Kavala. Hence variation is allowed subject to the settlement of timings.

Item No. 12

Heard; Adv. G. Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-07-AS-8169. This is the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage Carriage KL-07-AS-8169 operating service on the route Pallikkara-Thevara Ferry- Via Kakkanadu- And Padma ordinary mofusil service so as to operate the 1st and 5th trip from Kakkanad, 7th and 11th trip from Pallikkara and 8th trip from Thevara ferry via Menaka instead of Padma via, in the existing time schedule. The report of field officer specifying that deviating 3Km from Madhava Pharmacy Jn. To KPCC Jn. Via Menaka having in the first and fifth trip from Kakkanad, 7th and 11th from Pallikkara and 8th trip from Thevara ferry, the newly proposed entire varied portion is lying in notified route Trivandrum- Palakkad and Trivandrum- Kannur. This proposal violates clause
19 of GO(P) No-8/2017. Hence the application for variation of regular permit in respect of stage Carriage KL-07-AS-8169 is rejected.

**Item No.13**

1) Perused the judgment in M.V.A.A. No-106/2018 of Hon: STAT.

2) Heard; Adv. Prasad Chandran, the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-32-B-8962(Previous number-KL-07-BJ-3007). This is the application for Variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-32-B-8962(Previous number-KL-07-BJ-3007) on the route Eramalloor – Elamakkara so as to operate in the route Thuravoor – Eramalloor - Puthukkalavattom – Elamakkara - Ponekkara as detailed below.

i) To operate trip no.1 from Thuravoor to Puthukkalavattom and trip no.2 from Puthukkalavattom to Eramalloor.

ii) To operate trip no.5 from Eramalloor to Ponekkara and trip no.6 from Ponekkara to Eramalloor.

iii) To operate the last trip [trip no.8] from Elamakkara to Thuravoor and halt.

iv) To change the starting & halting place as Thuravoor.

In this case as per report of field officer an extension of 7.3 Km from Eramalloor Jn. to Thuravoor via Ezhupunna and Chavady in the first trip and 8th trip starting from Elamakkara and halt at Thuravoor. According to the existing time schedule it is seen that the starting and halting places are different. Hence this authority realized that the termini are changed. This is the violation of clause (i) of section 80(3). More over the permit holder intends to change the timings in the guise of variation. Hence this authority is hereby rejected the application for variation of permit in respect of S/C KL-32-B-8962(Previous number-KL-07-BJ-3007).

**Item No.14**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-07-AZ-1338. This is the application to consider the renewal of regular permit issued on route POOYAPILLLY-KALOOR BUS STAND (Via)
Paravoor, Koonammavu, Varapuzha Bridge And Edappilly as MOFFUSIL Service with regular permit 7/3039/2008. The validity of regular permit was upto 29/08/2018, the application has been filed on 23/06/2018.

This authority considered the application in detail. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is no legal impediment exist for the renewal of permit and the renewal will not disturb the scheme of nationalization. The permit was issued on 30/08/2008. Therefore the Secretary RTA is permitted to renew the regular permit.

**Item No.15**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage **KL-27-A-2607**. This is the application to consider the renewal of regular permit and to consider the request of permit holder to condone the delay in filing renewal of permit issued with a regular permit on the intra district on the route Manjaly-Aluva-Via Kunnukara-Chengamanad-Athani as ordinary mofusil service. The regular permit was expired on 14/09/2018, but application is filed only on 20/12/2018. Which was belated by 111 days.

This authority considered the application in detail. On perusal of the connected permit file, it is found that the applicant that is the permit holder conducted the service during the period from 15/09/2018 to 28/12/2018 even after the expiry of the permit. More over the certificate of fitness was expired on 01/11/2018, which is also after the expiry of the validity of the permit.

After the expiry of the permit the service was regularly conducting. Matter is being so Motor Vehicles Inspector, Ernakulam checked the vehicle and prepared check report for permitless operation on 22/10/2018 and permit holder admitted the offence and remitting compound fee. Even after this incident the permit holder continued the
operation of service without valid permit and certificate of fitness. Permit holder admitted these offence and compounded Rs 5000/- for each offence on 20/12/2018. It is pertinent to note that during the above period the vehicle was operating service endangering the passengers’ safety as provided under section 146 of MV act, which need to be viewed seriously so far as this being a public service vehicle.

The period of treatment mentioned in the medicate certificate is from 17/08/2018 for 30 days after this period the permit holder continuing his service without any reason for non-renewal of permit. More over he was operating his service during the treatment period also. Hence, it can’t be said that the reason furnished in the medical certificate and delay condone application for non-filing the renewal application is genuine and reasonable. It is therefore inferred that the applicant was not prevented by good and sufficient cause from making the application within the time specified under sub-section (2) of section 81 of MV act and in 88 of MV act. Therefore the inordinate delay due to slackness from the part of the applicant can’t seek protection under sub-section (3) of section 81 of MV act. So as to entertain the application in the absence of good and sufficient cause preventing the applicant from making the application within the time prescribed under sub-section (2) of section 81 of MV act 1988; the renewal application is not having entertainability and this authority is not inclined to condone the delay for the afore said reason and therefore the application for condone the delay and for renewal of regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL-27-A-2607 is hereby rejected.

Item No.16

a) Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL 42 5202. This is the application to consider the renewal of regular permit issued on the route North Paravoor-Cheranelloore via
Koonammavu and Varappuzha Bridge (route extended from North Parur to Kunhithai vide decision of RTA dated 20/01/2018 item no 7) as ordinary Moffusil service with regular permit 07/3485/2013. The validity of regular permit was upto 05/09/2018, the application has been filed on : 20/07/2018.

This authority considered the application in detail. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is no legal impediment exist for the renewal of permit and the renewal will not disturb the scheme of nationalization. The permit was issued on 06/09/2013. Therefore the Secretary RTA is permitted to renew the regular permit.

b) Heard; the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of S/C KL 42 5202 operating on the route North Paravoor-Cheranelloore via Koonammavu and Varappuzha Bridge (route extended from North Parur to Kunhithai vide decision of RTA dated 20/01/2018 item no 7) as ordinary Moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.17**

1) Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL 07 BX 7380. In the agenda it is says that to re-consider the application for renewal of regular permit rejected by RTA, Ernakulam dtd.15/07/2017 vide supplymentary item No-04. On perusal of the order in WP(C) No-28225 of 2017 dtd.25/10/2018 it is understood that the decision in the above item taken by this authority is a legal one. There fore re-consideration of application for renewal of permit dtd. 18/08/2016 cannot be reconsidered by this authority.

2) Perused the judgment. It is realized that the further relief sought for in the WP(C) No-28225 of 2017 is consideration of application for the renewal of regular permit dtd.21/08/2017 filed by the permit holder accompanied with the
covering letter condonation of delay and cash receipt. In obedience to the judgment this authority perused the covering letter dtd.21/08/2017 and renewal application prepared on 21/08/2016 and submitted by the permit holder on 22/08/2017.

On scrutiny of the application for condonation of delay, the permit holder revealed that due to the unawareness of the renewal application procedure the application for renewal of permit submitted by the registered owner of KL 07 BX 7380 Sri. Ajas Jabbar whose stage carriage is under lease agreement with the permit holder. He also remarked that the actual procedure has been realized from the decision of RTA dtd.18/07/2017 in relation with the application for renewal of permit of the stage carriage. He was not given an intimation about the wrong procedure by the Secretary, RTA. The permit holder also said that he could not submitted the application before the expiry of the permit as well as he failed to appear for personal hearing due to out of station. He also stated that the slackness occurred from the part of him were not purposefully. So the hurdles against the renewal of permit shall be considered in favour and permitted to allow the application.

On further scrutiny of the permit file it is understood that one Sri. Asharaf, Neymana Parambil , Nettoor P O, Ernakulam 682040 has submitted the judgment in WP(C) No-28225/2017 dtd.25/10/2018, who is the power of attorney holder of permit holder who executed on 24th November 2017. Subsequently he has produced only a photocopy of the power of attorney. After receiving the above said document, The Secretary, RTA has been send hearing notice to the permit holder, registered owner and power of attorney holder for personal hearing. But they didn’t appear for personal hearing. Then an enquiry has been conducted through the field officer and reported the following on 07/01/2019.

‘visited permit holder’s residence and it has been revealed that Sri. Vinuraj Vijayan permit holder (Permit No-7/2660/2006) has never been attained possession of stage carriage KL 07 BX 7380 as seen from the office file.
Spoke to Sri. Vinuraj Vijayan over phone No 984710002 and he is unaware of the said vehicle and told that he had sold all the stage carriage 5 years ago and he has never attained possession of any stage carriage and operated any one thereafter. Neither his wife nor his servants are aware of such service operated by him.

Even though he has assured to meet in person on 03/01/2019, he never turned up and reluctant to pick up phone call as well. It has also been noted that, he never turned up for personal hearing ever since the vehicle in question is said to be in his possession.

More over several temporary permit applications and renewal of permit applications found in the file are signed by Sri.Ajas Jabbar, S/o Khader Abdul Jabbar, Mulakkampilly House,Kakkanad who is the registered owner of the leased vehicle. He has appeared in person in the office and set his hand in connection with renewal of permit personal hearing too. He shouldn’t have applied for temporary permit and need not to be visited Regional Transport Office since he has given his vehicle to the permit holder under lease agreement.

By going through the permit file of KL 07 BX 7380 Stage carriage and considering the facts revealed during enquiry, it has been ascertained that the possession of stage carriage KL 07 BX 7380 has never been taken over by the permit holder Sri. Vinuraj Vijayan. In that event, it might have been possessed and operated by the registered owner of the vehicle himself and that's why he made so many applications in respect of the vehicle in question.

**Permit holder is not in possession of vehicle KL 07 BX 7380 Stage Carriage.**

On scrutiny of the application submitted by the permit holder for the delay condonation dtd.21/08/2017 and the applicant prepared the application for renewal of permit on 21/08/2016 it self. So his argument in the condonation of delay that he was not aware the procedure on submission of the renewal application, is not genuine and the application for delay condonation is not deserving merit.
Considering the above facts the permit holder Sri. Vinuraj Vijayan has no genuine intention to renew the permit. He made the renewal application, remittance of fees, and application for condonation of delay in different dates which can’t be considered by this authority.

The permit holder as well as the power of attorney holder did not produces the original documents for scrutinizing before the Secretary, RTA to prove the genuineness. The enquiry report of the filed officer says that the permit holder is unaware the said vehicle and he did not operate any stage carriage service including the renewal of permit application in question. Considering the above facts the application for renewal of permit and application for condonation of delay are cannot be considered by this authority and hence the applications are hereby rejected.

**Item No.18**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-11-V-8079. This is the application to consider the renewal of regular permit issued on route KUMBALANGHY FERRY,KALOORBUSSTAND(VIA)ERAMALLOOR,AROOR,KUMBALAM,VYTTILA,OUTHKK ROAD & PADMA AS MOFFUSIL SERVICE.(Permit is varied so as to deviate from Vyttila via Byepass and extend upto Kakkanad and curtail 12.2 km from Vyttila to Kaloor). The validity of regular permit was upto20/08/2018, the application has been filed on 16/07/2018.

This authority considered the application in detail. As per the report of the route enquiry officer, there is no legal impediment exist for the renewal of permit and the renewal will not disturb the scheme of nationalization. The permit was issued on 21/08/2008. Therefore the Secretary RTA is permitted to renew the regular permit.
Item No.19

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage **KL-02-V-1114** operating on the route VATTAPPARA - KALOOR VIA THIRUMARAYOOR VELIYANAD PEPPATHY ARAKKUNNAM MULAMTHURUTHY PALLITHAZHAM CHOTTANIKKARA PUTHIYAKAVU THRIPUNITHURA & M G ROAD as Moffusil service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.20

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage **KL-05-U-2435** operating on the route CHERUKARA--ERNAKULAM(KALOOR BUS STAND) VIA VATTAPPARA, THIRUMARAYUR, VELIYANAD, PEPPATHY, ARAKKUNNAM, MULAMTHURUTHY, P.THAZHAM, N.KAVU, P.KAVU, T"THARA, VYTLA, MG ROAD as Moffusil service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.21

Heard; Adv. Stalin Peter Davis, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage **KL-07-AQ-1400** operating on the route MUNAMBAM - VYPIN as Moffusil service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.22

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage
carriage **KL-08-AA-923** operating on the route KAKKANAD--PERUMBALAM FERRY[VIA] PALARIVATTOM,KALOOR,SOUTH,AROOKUTTY as City service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.23**

Heard; the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage **KL-39-A-1269** operating on the route ALUVA-TRIPUNITHURA as Moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.24**

Heard; Adv. M Jithesh Menon, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage **KL-42-502** operating on the route KOTTAYIL KOVILAKAM - (MALAVANA FERRY) - VYPIN - ERNAKULAM HIGH COURT JN as Moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.25**

Heard; Adv. M Jithesh Menon, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 AR 3847 operating on the route Vyppin -Munambam as Ordinary moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.
Item No.26

Heard; the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 05 T 2699 operating on the route Thalayolaparambu - Ernakulam as Ordinary moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.27

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL-07-AR -3924 operating on the route Annamanada - Aluva Palissery, Poovathussery, Parakkadavu junction, Moozhikulam, Kurumassery, Poikkattussery, Chengamanad, Athani, Pasrambayam, Desamkavala, Kunnumpuram and Paravur junction as Ordinary moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.28

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 AZ 3996 operating on the route Edayar- Aluva as Ordinary moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.29

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 AS 4352 operating on the route Edakochi-Mattancherry as city
service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.30**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 BH 2832 operating on the route Tripunithura – Tripunithura as city service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.31**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 39 A 5000 operating on the route Thevakkal--Chittethukara--Cepz--Kakkanad--Edakochi--Kakkanad--Edakochi as City Ordinary service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.32**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 BF 4343 operating on the route Cheranalloor-Mattancherry Via Edappally-Palarivattom as City Ordinary service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.
Item No.33

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented the proposed transferee. Transfer of Permit (Death Transfer) in respect of Stage carriage KL 34 D 6839 operating on the route Kothavara - Kaloor Via Vaikom, Poothotta, Thrippunithura, Gandhi Square, Petta & Vyttila, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.34

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 43 B 5814 operating on the route Cheranallur-Mattancherry (via) Edappally And Menaka in The Vacancy Of KEE 3497 as Ordinary City service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.35

Heard; Adv. M Jithesh Menon, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 42 5599 operating on the route North Parur-Bus Stand-Ernakulam (Kaloor Bus Stand) Via Koonammavu, Varapuzha Bridge, Edappally Railway Station And Palarivattom as Ordinary Moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

Item No.36

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage
carriage KL 07 BE 5032 operating on the route Angamaly - Kalady Plantation 15th block via., Thuravoor, Manjapra, Naduvattom, Kannimangalam, Pandupara in the vacant timings of s/c KL 11/ B 4109 as moffusil service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.37**

1) Heard; the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 AP 7464 operating on the route route Vypin-Malinkara. On perusal of the report of field officer it is revealed that the permit holder Sri. Radhakrishnan is working abroad and at present not residing in his permanent address. The enquiry officer could not meet him personally for an enquiry for the genuineness of the application. In the absence of the permit holder the enquiry officer could not find the application for transfer of permit is to be genuine and bonafide and he also reported that so many other illegal transactions have been done upon the permit. Hence this authority is decided to conduct a detailed enquiry and submit a specific report regarding the matters mentioned in the agenda with solid evidence. Hence this authority hereby adjourned the application for transfer of permit.

**Item No.38**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 07 BJ 6741 operating on the route Ponekkara-Mattancherry in the V/O S/C KEF 2313 via Menaka as Ordinary City service, is allowed as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.
**Item No.39**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 42 7374 operating on the route Aluva Bus stand-Ernakulam-Shipyard Junction via Thottakkattukara,Kadungallore,Muppathadam, FACT, Manjummal, MuttarBridge, Edappally , Padma,Pallimukku as Ordinary Moffusil service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.40**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 02 R 7011 operating on the route Aluva – Fortkochi via Palarivattom, Menaka as Ordinary City service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.41**

Heard; Adv. Stalin Peter Davis, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 05 Y 6570 operating on the route Vyppin-Munambam with extension from Kalmukku Junction to Collector’s Square(Near High Court of Kerala) Via Gosree bridges as Ordinary moffusil service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.
**Item No.42**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL 02 S 5310 operating on the route Angamaly - Perumbavoor Via Nayathode & Mattur road for 4 single Trips & Balance Trips Via Marottichode With Starting & Halting At Nayathode as Ordinary Moffusil service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.43**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL-27-7566 operating on the route DONBOSCO JN-ALUVA-HMT JN[VIA] KEEZHMADU-GTN,CHOONDY,KOMBARA,CO-OP MEDICAL COLLEGE as Moffusil Service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.44**

Heard; Adv. Stalin Peter Davis, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL-07-BC-8162 operating on the route Vyppin - Munambam With Extension From Kalamukku Junction To Collector’S Square (near High Court Of Kerala) Via Goshree Bridges Moffusil Service, is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.
**Item No.45**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL-40-F-7853 operating on the route KUMBALANGHI-PERUMPADAPPU-SOUTH CHITTOOR WITH A CUT TRIP BETWEEN SOUTH CHITTOOR TEMPLE AND W/ISLAND as City service, (VARIED SO AS TO OPERATE BY AVOIDING THE TRIP 7.20 PM FROM KUMBALANGHY TO AYYAPPANKAVU AND HALT AT PERUMPADAPPU AT 7.38 PM INSTEAD OF 10.23 PM) is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.46**

Heard; Adv.G.Prabhakaran, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL-07 BD-9077 operating on the route KLADAVANTHRA SOUTH-CHERANALLOOR BUS STAND VIA KADAVANTHRA,-BOAT JETTY-KACHERIPADY,SOUTH CHITTOOR AS CITY SERVICE.(variation of pt 1st trip frm S.Chittur to Ch'nellur &then to K'vanthra so as to operate on the route Cheranellore--Kadavanthra[s] via,Thevara &Jetty) is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.

**Item No.47**

Heard; Adv. Stalin Peter Davis, the learned counsel represented both the transferor and proposed transferee. Transfer of permit in respect of Stage carriage KL-07-AR-8818 operating on the route MUNAMBAM - ELOOR FERRY VIA CHERAI, N.PARAVOOR, KOONAMMAVU AS MOFFUSIL SERVICE is **allowed** as applied for subject to the clearance of Government dues, if any.
Item No.48

Heard; this is to consider the request for concurrence for variation of Regular permit in respect of stage carriage KL-06-7119, operating on the route Kunnamkbulam- Ernakulam via Guruvayoor, Chavakkad, Vadanappally, Triprayar, S.N. puram, Kodungalloor, North-Paravoor, Muttar, Kunnumporam, Ernakulam. By the proposed variation the permit holder desired to curtailing the portion from Koonammavu to Kunnumpuram via Muttar, so as to operate by deviating the service from Koonammavu to Kunnumpuram via Varappuzha bridge. On perusal of the agenda and related file it realized that the regular permit of the stage carriage is valid only upto 10/06/2011 and the application for renewal of permit is under consideration of RTA, Thrissur.

As per the sub-section (3) of section 80 of MV act 1988, considering any application to vary the condition of any permit can be considered only if the regular permit is operationable. In this case the application for renewal of permit is under consideration of the primary authority. Hence this authority could not ascertain whether the permit is renewable.

The report of the field officer says that at present many stage carriages are operating on the proposed deviation portion including sufficient KSRTC services. More over this portion is also considered to be one of the accident prone area. Allowing the above variation would adversely affect road safety. It is also reported that the portion proposed to be curtailed lies in interior part of the villages and frequency of services are also less through this portion. Hence curtailment would not be beneficial to the travelling public living in the route portion from Koonammavu to Kunnumpuram via Muttar. The sub-section (3) of section 80 of MV act 1988 says that any variation or extension shall be allowing after the certification of the transport authority that such variation will serve the convenience of the public. In this case the filed officer has not recommended the proposed concurrence for variation. Sighting that the proposed variation would affect adversely the road safety and curtailment will not be beneficial for travelling public. Considering these facts the request from the Secretary, RTA, Thrissur for concurrence is hereby rejected.
**Item No.49**
This item is to ratify the action taken by the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Ernakulam with regard to the replacement of vehicle in respect of S/C KL 07 AQ 1501 operating on the route Anappara-Aluva Via Manjapra, Kalady, Kanjoor, Chowara Swargom And Thottakkattukara as mofussil service with another stage carriage KL-57-5319 of later model having material difference of 28.57 %. On perusing the case this authority hereby ratified the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam.

**Item No.50**
Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL 58 M 670, on perusal of the agenda it is understood that some check reports for curtailment of trips are pending against the Stage Carriage KL 58 M 670 operating on the route Chottanikkara – Trissur as LSOS. But the details of the check reports and procedure taken to dispose the check report are not mentioned in the agenda in detail. The agenda is not a self explanatory one. Hence Secretary, RTA is instructed to submit the details of all procedures already taken for disposing the check report any other special report. Hence this authority is hereby adjourned this item.

**Item No.51**
Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL-13-K-4969, a draft notification has been published in relation with the extension of age limit of stage carriage from 15 to 20 years. So this authority decided to wait for the finalization of the notification. Hence the application is hereby adjourned.

**Item No.52**
Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL 08 Y 4259, a draft notification has been published in relation with the extension of age limit of stage carriage from 15 to 20 years. So this
authority decided to wait for the finalization of the notification. Hence the application is hereby **adjourned**.

**Item No.53**

This item is to ratify the action taken by the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Ernakulam with regard to the replacement of vehicle in respect of S/C **KL 07 AN 4311** operating on the route Annamanada- Aluva with another stage carriage KL 07 AR 3924 of 2004 model having material difference of 35% On perusing the case this authority hereby ratified the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam.

**Item No.54**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL-05-P-3923, a draft notification has been published in relation with the extension of age limit of stage carriage from 15 to 20 years. So this authority decided to wait for the finalization of the notification. Hence the application is hereby **adjourned**.

**Item No.55**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL-07-BC-3888. As per the report of the enquiry officer it is revealed that the mishap was occurred due to the laps from the part of the driver and the conductor. So the licence of the conductor and driver has been suspended by the licencing authority, Ernakulam. In the agenda it is says that the representative of the permit holder has heard on 17/12/2018 and there is no specific report of slackness from the part of the permit holder. Hence secretary, RTA is instructed to submit a detailed enquiry report about this accident and also report is there is any lapse for controlling the crews from the part of the permit holder. Hence the application is hereby **adjourned**.
Item No.56

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL 07 AP 5616, a draft notification has been published in relation with the extension of age limit of stage carriage from 15 to 20 years. So this authority decided to wait for the finalization of the notification. Hence the application is hereby adjourned.

Item No.57

This item is to ratify the action taken by the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Ernakulam with regard to the replacement of vehicle in respect of S/C KL 07 AP 7328 operating on the route Munambam - Vyppin With Extension From Kalamukku Junction To Collector’S Square (near High Court of Kerala) via Goshree bridges as moffusil service with another stage carriage KL 38 2752 of 2006 model having material difference of 35.71% On perusing the case this authority hereby ratified the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam.

Item No.58

This item is to ratify the action taken by the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Ernakulam with regard to the replacement of vehicle in respect of S/C KL 07 AP 6702 operating on the route Eloor Ferry-Kodungalloor via North Paravur as moffusil service with another stage carriage KL 47 H 5615 of later model having material difference of 29.16% On perusing the case this authority hereby ratified the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam.

Item No.59

This item is to ratify the action taken by the Secretary, Regional Transport Authority Ernakulam as received the surrender of permit on the route Ernakulam South-Pudukkalavattom-via Deshabhimani Jn Curtailing the portion from Deshabhimani Jn To Aluva And Extension From Deshabhimani
Jn To PDKtm as City Ordinary service on the strength of regular permit (07/100040/1995) valid up to 28.11.2020 and cancelled the Registration certificate in respect of stage carriage KL 17 5776. On perusing the case this authority hereby **ratified** the action taken by the Secretary, RTA, Ernakulam.

**Item No.60**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of stage carriage KL-02-P-5805. This is the application to peruse the Judgment in MVAA No 194/2018 dtd 15.12.2018 of State Transport Appellate Tribunal Ernakulam and to re-consider delayed application for replacement of vehicle in respect of Stage carriage KL-02-P-5805. This authority perused the Judgment in MVAA No 194/2018 and the application. The matter was placed before the RTA Meeting held on 19.05.2018 and it is decided that the permit holder violated the conditions of the permit and Rule 152 of Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989. Hence the application for the replacement of the vehicle covered by a non-operative permit is rejected and regular permit 07/2789/2014 issued to Stage carriage KL 02 P 5805 on the route Anappara-Angamaly-Annamanada is cancelled.

The active check reports placed before RTA Meeting held on 19.05.2018 vide Item No 52 were disposed by the applicant.

As per the judgment of Honorable State Transport Appellate Tribunal in M.V.A.A.No 194/2018 dated 15.12.2018 the order of this authority dtd.19.05.2018 is set aside and the Regional Transport Authority, Ernakulam is directed to reconsider the application for replacement on merits and pass orders in accordance with law within two months from the date of receipt of the copy of judgment after hearing the all the parties concerned. Hence this authority hereby **granted** the replacement of stage carriage KL-02-P-5805 as per law.
**Item No.61**

Heard; the learned counsel represented the permit holder of Stage Carriage KL-07-AP-8094, a draft notification has been published in relation with the extension of age limit of stage carriage from 15 to 20 years. So this authority decided to wait for the finalization of the notification. Hence the application is hereby adjourned.

**Item No.62**

Delegation of power has been given to The Joint Regional Transport Officer, Aluva as per 133 of (3) of KMV Rules. So the action taken by The Joint Regional Transport Officer, Aluva is legal. Hence this authority hereby ratified the action taken by The Joint Regional Transport Officer, Aluva.

**Item No.63**

In obedience with the order of Hon’ble Government of Kerala as per GOP No 41/2018 dated 22/11/2018 this authority is hereby fixed the limit for autorikshaws LPG/LNG/CNG/Electric autorickshaws in Kochi city as 3000 numbers in which 2000 permits will be allotted only for electric autos and a separate quota of 1000 permits will be allotted to other fuels like CNG/LNG/LPG apart from the auto rikshaws already fixed. The Secretary, RTA is entrusted to disburse the permit among the deserving applicants.

**Item No.64**

This authority is hereby ratified all the action taken by Secretary, RTA.
Item No.65

No other items allowed by Chairman RTA

Item No.66

This authority hereby fixed **16/03/2019** as the date for next meeting of RTA, Ernakulam.

Supplementary Item No.01

This is the request of the candidates of RCGF Surya, an organization for the visually impaired for constructing a waiting shed at IMG junction on the Info Park Road, Kakkanad, East of Shappumpady. The feasibility of the request enquired through the field officer of this office. The field officer has reported that the proposed site is eastern side of the Kakkanad-Infopark road where there is adequate space leaving margin to the carriage way. The place is isolated and there are no shops, buildings, shelters etc. that area does not have any trees as well. There are several services operated by both KSRTC stage carriages and Private stage carriages. In view of the above this authority realized the necessity of the requested waiting shed. Hence this authority hereby **granted** permission for constructing the waiting shed.

Supplementary Item No.02

This is a request to peruse the direction of Transport Commissioner, Kerala regarding the delegation of power to RTA, Secretary for considering the permit applications of KSRTC on notified routes as per rule 133 of KMV Rules so as to avoid inordinate delay in permit applications of KSRTC. On perusal of the direction this authority hereby **granted** the delegation of power to RTA, Secretary for considering
the permit applications of KSRTC on notified routes as per rule 133 of KMV Rules.

Sd /-

Sri. K. MOHAMMED. Y. SAFIRULLA ,I.A.S,

The District Collector, Ernakulam

Sd /-

Sri. Abey John, Deputy Transport Commissioner [Law],

CZ- II, Ernakulam (In-Charge).